

# Dirichlet $L$ -function

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \chi(n) n^{-s};$$

We always assume  $\chi$  primitive to avoid  
g. Functional equation:  $a = \frac{1 - \chi(-1)}{2}, |\varepsilon| = 1,$   
write:

$$\phi(s, \chi) = \varepsilon \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} q^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right) L(s, \chi),$$

then

$$\phi(s, \chi) = \overline{\phi(1-\bar{s}, \chi)}.$$

Implies  $\phi(s, \chi)$  real for  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it, t$  real.

For simplicity, only consider case  $\chi(-1) = 1$   
Case of odd  $\chi$  can be handled similarly.

If we have  $n$  distinct even  $\chi_j$  and

form

$$F(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varepsilon_j q_j^{\frac{s}{2}} L(s, \chi_j); c_j \text{ real } \neq 0,$$

$$\left( \text{or alternatively } F(s) = \sum_j c_j \varepsilon_j (1 + q_j^{s-\frac{1}{2}}) L(s, \chi_j) \right),$$

then  $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) F(s)$  is real for  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ .

Apart from trivial zeros implied by the  
functional equation, the zeros of  $F(s)$  are  
confined to a vertical strip, and if  
we let  $N(T, F)$  denote the number

of these zeros with imaginary part in  $(0, T)$ , then for large  $T$  we have

$$N(T, F) = \frac{T}{2\pi} (\log T + B) + O(\log T),$$

where  $B$  is a constant dependent on  $F$ .

It is conjectured that almost all of these zeros have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . A proof of this can be given if one assumes some other plausible but at present unverifiable conjectures.

For the single  $L$ -function it has been proved that a positive proportion of the zeros have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . More precisely, if we denote the number of zeros with imaginary part in  $(0, T)$  and real part  $\frac{1}{2}$  by  $N_0(T, F)$  for a general linear combination  $F(s)$ , then it has been shown that

$$N_0(T, L) > c T \log T \text{ for } T > A q^2,$$

where  $c$  and  $A$  are ~~positive~~ positive constants independent of  $\chi$ .

For a general linear combination, there are some results in the literature,  $N_0(T, F) > c T$  is implied in the work of Hardy-Littlewood, recently

3

A.A. Karatsuba has looked at linear combinations of the form

$$F(s) = \varepsilon L(s, \chi) + \bar{\varepsilon} L(s, \bar{\chi}),$$

where  $\chi$  is a complex character, and has shown

$$N_0(T, F) > T (\log T)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c \sqrt{\log \log T}}, \quad T > T_0$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant (1993 or 1994). For a more general linear combination he claims a much weaker and much more complicated result.

I shall sketch a proof that for the general combination  $F(s)$  we have

$$(1) \quad \underline{N_0(T, F) > c(n) T \log T \text{ for } T > T_0(F),}$$

where  $c(n)$  is positive and depends on  $n$  only.

$$(2) \quad \underline{\text{If } \omega(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ with } t, \text{ then } F(\frac{1}{2} + it) \text{ has a zero in the interval } (t, t + \frac{\omega(t)}{\log t}) \text{ for almost all } t.}$$

We go back to the method that was used to show these results for a single  $L$ -function.

We write for  $\frac{4}{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon t$ ,

$$\mathcal{J}(t) = \arg \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$X(t, \chi) = \varepsilon_\chi q^{\frac{it}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{J}(t)} L(s, \chi).$$

We need the "approximate functional equation" for  $L(s, \chi)$ : Assume  $t > 0$ , then

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n < \sqrt{\frac{tq}{2\pi}}} \chi(n) n^{-s} + \varepsilon_\chi^{-2} q^{-it} e^{-i\mathcal{J}(t)} \sum_{n < \sqrt{\frac{tq}{2\pi}}} \overline{\chi}(n) n^{s-1} + O\left(\left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

We also write

$$(\zeta(s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_n \frac{\alpha_n}{n^s}; \alpha_1 = 1; (L(s, \chi))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_n \frac{\chi(n) \alpha_n}{n^s},$$

and for  $T \leq t \leq 2T$ ;  $\xi = T^{t_0}$ ,  $T > q^2$ ;

we write

$$\eta(t, \chi) = \sum_{n < \xi} \frac{\alpha_n}{n^s} \left(1 - \frac{\log \frac{n}{\xi}}{\log \xi}\right).$$

We now consider for

$$\frac{1}{\log T} \leq H \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log T},$$

the three expressions:

$$I_{\chi}(t, H) = \int_t^{t+H} \chi(u, \chi) |\eta(u, \chi)|^2 du,$$

$$M_{\chi}(t, H) = \int_t^{t+H} L(\frac{1}{2} + iu, \chi) \eta^2(u, \chi) du - H,$$

and

$$J_{\chi}(t, H) = \int_t^{t+H} |\chi(u, \chi) \eta^2(u, \chi)| du.$$

It is clear that if

$$J_{\chi}(t, H) > |I_{\chi}(t, H)|,$$

then  $\chi(u, \chi)$  changes sign in  $(t, t+H)$ ,  
and so has at least one zero there.

Clearly

$$J_{\chi}(t, H) \geq H - |M_{\chi}(t, H)|.$$

Thus if

$$|M_{\chi}(t, H)| + |I_{\chi}(t, H)| < H,$$

there is a zero in  $(t, t+H)$ .

Using the approximate functional-equation for  $L(s, \chi)$  it is possible

to show

$$\int_T^{2T} |I_\chi(t, H)|^2 dt = O\left(T \frac{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\log T}}\right),$$

and

$$\int_T^{2T} |M_\chi(t, H)|^2 dt = O\left(T \frac{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\log T}}\right),$$

where the constants implied by the  $O$ -symbols are independent of  $\chi$ .

From this it follows that we have  $|M_\chi(t, H)| < \frac{H}{3}$  and  $|I_\chi(t, H)| < \frac{H}{3}$  in  $(T, 2T)$  except in a subset ~~of~~ of measure  $O\left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{H \log T}}\right)$ .

Thus, for all  $t$  in  $(T, 2T)$  except for a subset of measure  $O\left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{H \log T}}\right)$ , the interval  $(t, t+H)$  contains a zero of  $L(\frac{1}{2}+it, \chi)$ , choosing  $H = \frac{\lambda}{\log T}$  with  $\lambda$  a large enough constant, both statements made earlier follow easily for the single  $L$ -function.

7

In order to adapt this idea to the general linear combination, we need some general results that can be proved about the value distribution of

$$\log |L(\Delta, \chi)| \quad \text{for } \Delta = \frac{1}{2} + it.$$

We can show that for  $T > q^2$ ,  $k$  a positive integer, and

$$T^{\frac{1}{2k}} \leq x \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

then

$$\int_T^{2T} |\log |L(\Delta, \chi)| - R \sum_{p < x} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{\Delta}}|^{2k} dt = O(k^{\gamma k} e^{Ak} T).$$

Again the constant implied by the  $O$  is independent of  $\chi$ .

From this formula, it is possible to prove that

$$\frac{\log |L(\Delta, \chi)|}{\sqrt{\pi} \log \log t},$$

has a normal Gaussian distribution.

More precisely, if  $\chi_{a,b}(u)$  denotes the characteristic function of the interval  $(a,b)$ , we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \chi_{a,b} \left( \frac{\log |L(\rho, \chi)|}{\sqrt{\pi \log \log T}} \right) dt = \\ = T \int_a^b e^{-\pi u^2} du + O\left(T \frac{(\log \log \log T)^2}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}\right).$$

If we have two  $L$ -functions  $L(\rho, \chi)$  and  $L(\rho, \chi')$ , similar results hold for the difference

$$\log |L(\rho, \chi)| - \log |L(\rho, \chi')|,$$

only here we have to divide by

$$\sqrt{2\pi \log \log t}$$

to get the normal Gaussian distribution.

From this we see that the subset of  $(T, 2T)$  where

$$|\log |L(\rho, \chi)| - \log |L(\rho, \chi')|| \leq \\ \leq (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$



9  
 has measure which is  $O(T (\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ .

Thus in  $(T, 2T)$  for our  $n$   $L$ -functions we see that when  $j \neq k$ ,

$$|\log |L(\rho, \chi_j)| - \log |L(\rho, \chi_k)|| > (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

except for a set of  $t$  of measure

$$O(T (\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{4}}).$$

Outside of this exceptional set, which we will call  $E$ , we see that one single term is decisively dominant in the linear combination that forms  $F(\rho)$ .

We shall see that this dominance is in general fairly stable over longer (compared with  $\frac{1}{\log T}$ ) stretches.

For  $|h| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log T}}$ , we can show that

$$\int_T^{2T} |\log |L(\rho+h, \chi)| - \log |L(\rho, \chi)||^{2k} =$$

$$= O\left(T k^k \left(\log(e+|h|\log T)\right)^k + T k^{4k} e^{Ak}\right),$$

which shows that the amplitude of the oscillations of  $\chi(t, \chi)$  is fairly

10

stable over longer stretches.

$$\text{Put } \Delta = \frac{1}{\log T} e^{(\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{5}}},$$

and let us compare  $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ ,  
with the average

$$\Delta(t, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t+\Delta} \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + iu, \chi)| du.$$

Using the previous estimate with  $k$  a sufficiently large positive integer, we find that

$$|\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| - \Delta(t, \chi)| < (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{5}},$$

except in a subset  $E_2$  of measure that is  $O\left(\frac{T}{(\log \log T)^N}\right)$  for any fixed positive  $N$ .

We can now conclude that  $(T, 2T)$  apart from a subset of measure

$$O\left(T (\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right),$$

which we call  $E$ , can be divided into  $n$  sets  $S_j$  such that in  $S_j$

$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_j)|$  exceeds all

11

the other  $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_k)|$  with  $k \neq j$   
 by at least  $(\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  
 in addition such that in the interval  
 $(t, t+H)$  where  $\frac{1}{\log T} < H < \frac{\log \log T}{\log T}$   
 and  $t$  in  $S_j$  we have

$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it', \chi_j)| > \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it', \chi_k)| + \frac{1}{2} (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

for  $t \leq t' \leq t+H$ , except in a subset  
 of  $(t, t+H)$  of measure

$$O\left(\frac{H}{(\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{5}}}\right).$$

The measure of  $S_j$  can be shown  
 to be  $\geq \frac{1}{\alpha} - O(T (\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{4}})$

(The  $\log |L(\rho, \chi_j)|$  are statistically  
 independent so each dominates  
 about equally often).

We also have for all  $j$  that

$$\int_T^{2T} |L(\frac{1}{2}+it, \chi_j) \eta^2(t, \chi_j)|^2 dt = O(T).$$

This shows that we have

$$\int_t^{t+H} |L(\frac{1}{2}+it, \chi_j) \eta^2(t, \chi_j)|^2 dt < H(\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{6}},$$

except for a subset  $E_j'$  of measure  $O(T(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{6}})$ .

If we now exclude from  $S_j$  the  $t$  that may be in  $E_j'$  we get a set  $S_j'$  of

$$\text{measure} > \frac{T}{m} - O(T(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{6}}),$$

and such that for  $t$  in  $S_j'$  we have

$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2}+iu, \chi_j)| > \log |L(\frac{1}{2}+iu, \chi_k)| + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

for  $t \leq u \leq t+H$ , except for an exceptional set of measure at most

$$O(H(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

13.

This exceptional set in  $(t, t+H)$  can contribute at most

$$O\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{10}}\right), O\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log \log)^{\frac{1}{12}}\right) \\ = O\left(H(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{60}}\right),$$

to the integrals

$$I_{\chi_j}(t, H), M_{\chi_j}(t, H) \text{ and } J_{\chi_j}(t, H).$$

Calling these integrals with the exceptional set ~~included~~ excluded

$$I_{\chi_j}^*(t, H), M_{\chi_j}^*(t, H) \text{ and } J_{\chi_j}^*(t, H),$$

we see that we have a sign change of  $\chi_{\chi_j}(t)$  in  $(t, t+H)$  outside of the excluded subset if

$$J_{\chi_j}^*(t, H) > |I_{\chi_j}^*(t, H)|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$H > |I_{\chi_j}(t, H)| + |M_{\chi_j}(t, H)| + O\left(H(\log \log T)^{-\frac{1}{60}}\right).$$

14

For  $T$  large enough this holds in  $(T, 2T)$  outside a set of measure

$$O\left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{H \log T}}\right),$$

and therefore in most of  $S'_j$  if  $H = \frac{\lambda n^2}{\log T}$  with  $\lambda$  a large enough constant.

This produces more than

$$\frac{c}{n^3} T \log T$$

sign changes in  $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) F(s)$  for  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$  and  $t$  in  $S'_j$ , adding up over the  $j$ , we get in all more than

$$\frac{c}{n^2} T \log T$$

sign changes for  $T > T_0(F)$ . This proves our statement (1); (2) also follows simply by taking  $\lambda = (\omega(2T))^{1/2}$ .

The dependence on  $n$  can be sharpened by improving the estimations for  $\int_T^{2T} |I_\alpha(t, H)|^2 dt$  and  $\int_T^{2T} |M_\alpha(t, H)|^2 dt$ ,

If one replaces the estimation

$$O\left(T \frac{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\log T}}\right)$$

by

$$O\left(T \frac{H^2}{(H \log T)^\alpha}\right)$$

one gets

$$> c n^{-\frac{1}{2}} T \log T$$

sign changes. This can be proved for any  $\alpha < 1$ , I cannot yet show that it holds for  $\alpha = 1$  (which I think is the best one can hope for). So for my best result is ( $\alpha > 1$ )

$$N_0(T, F) > \frac{c}{n \log n} T \log T \text{ for } T > T_0(F).$$

Problem of generalizations to:  
L-functions with higher F-factors.