

1.

The problem we are going to consider in this lecture is the following:

Suppose that we have an infinite sequence of real numbers > 1 tending to infinity

$$1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \leq \dots \quad p_i \rightarrow \infty,$$

these numbers we call "primes". From the primes we build up a sequence of "integers" in the way that we form all possible products

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r} \quad ; \quad \alpha_i \geq 0$$

These numbers we order in an ~~increasing~~ ^{non-de-}creasing sequence

$$n_1 = 1 < n_2 \leq n_3 \leq \dots \quad n_i \rightarrow \infty$$

Now we denote by $N(x)$ the number of $n_i \leq x$ and by $\pi(x)$ number of primes $p_i \leq x$. Our problem is whether we from a given ~~law of~~ ^{asymptotic} behaviour of $N(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, can deduce anything about the asymptotic behaviour of $\pi(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

This question was first considered A. Beukling in a paper in Acta Math. (1938). Sur la loi asymptotique de la distribution des nombres premiers generalisées, where

$$N(x) = A x + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

$$\mu(n) = \{ \dots$$

$$\lambda(n) = \{ \dots$$

$$\psi(x) = \mathcal{O}(x) \dots$$

$$x \int_1^x \frac{dt}{t \log^2 \frac{x}{t}}$$

$$\int_0^{t^x} \frac{du}{1+(t^x-u)^2}$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} = \log x + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

$$\sum_{n_i \leq x} \log n_i = \sum_{n_i \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d)$$

$$= \sum \lambda(d) N\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) =$$

$$A x \sum \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{d} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d \log^2 \frac{x}{d}}\right)$$

$$\frac{\psi\left(\frac{x^r}{d}\right) \cdot 1}{2^r + (t^x - r)^2}$$

$$2^{k+1} \leq \log^2 \frac{x}{d} \leq 2^k$$

$$p^{2k+1} \leq \frac{x}{d} \leq p^{2k}$$

2.
he attacks it by rather deep analytical methods.

We shall consider this problem using only methods ^{which are} in the technical sense elementary.

under the assumption

$$(1) \quad N(x) = Ax + o\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

where A is a pos. absolute constant and prove that (1) implies that

$$(2) \quad \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

First let us remark that obviously all properties and concepts, connected with the ordinary natural properties, which depend only on the concept of multiplication ^{can be} ~~hold~~ ^{extended} to our generalized integers. This holds for concepts as divisors and divisibility, and numbertheoretical functions like Möbius function can be defined with all essential properties conserved.

Def.: $\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^v & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } v \text{ diff primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$\lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k \text{ with } p \text{ prime } k > 0. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

As usual we define

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = x + \mathcal{R}(x).$$

(2) is then equivalent to

$$(3) \quad \psi(x) \sim x \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

\sim

$$(3') \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = o(x).$$

Preliminary formulas.

$$(A) \quad \psi(x) = O(x)$$

$$(A') \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \log x + O(1).$$

(4) stems from the relations

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{d \leq x} \Lambda(d) N\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)$$

Besides (4), we need a deeper asymptotic formula which can be written in one of the forms:

$$(A) \quad \psi(x) \log x + \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = 2x \log x + o(x \log x)$$

$$(B') \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \log n + \sum_{nm \leq x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(m) = 2x \log x + o(x \log x)$$

For this purpose we start from the well-known following facts:

$$(i) \quad \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \log \frac{x}{d} = \begin{cases} \log x & \text{for } m=1 \\ \lambda(m) & \text{for other } m \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \log^2 \frac{x}{d} = \begin{cases} \log^2 x & \text{for } m=1 \\ \log^2 x - \log^2 \frac{x}{p_i} & \text{for } m=p_i^{\alpha_i} \\ 2 \log p_i \log p_i' & \text{for } m=p_i^{\alpha_i} p_j^{\alpha_j'}; p_i \neq p_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m} \sim A \log \frac{x}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

or

$$\overline{\log x} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{m \leq kx} \frac{1}{m} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

where k is a pos. constant.

To prove (A), we consider the expression

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \left\{ \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \log^2 \frac{x}{d} \right\} = \log x + \sum_{p^{\alpha} \leq x} (\log^2 x - \log^2 \frac{x}{p})$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} p_i^{\alpha_i'} \leq x \\ p \neq p_i}} \log p \log p_i' = \log x \sum_{m \leq x} \lambda(m) + \sum_{m \leq x} \lambda(m) \lambda(m) + O(x);$$

5.

Secondly we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left\{ \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log^2 \frac{x}{d} \right\} =$$

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \log^2 \frac{x}{d} N\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) =$$

$$= A \times \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log^2 \frac{x}{d} +$$

$$\mathcal{O}\left(x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \log^2 \frac{x}{d} \cdot o\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 \frac{x}{d}}\right)\right)$$

$$= Ax \cdot S = o\left(x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d}\right) = o(x \log x).$$

Further by (iii)

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log^2 \frac{x}{d} = \sum_{d \leq kx} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log^2 \frac{x}{d} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

further by (iii)

$$\log \frac{x}{d} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{n \leq kx/d} \frac{1}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{d}}\right)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{d \leq kx} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log^2 \frac{x}{d} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{d \leq kx} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log \frac{x}{d} \sum_{n \leq kx/d} \frac{1}{n}$$

$$+ \sum_{d \leq kx} \frac{\log \frac{x}{d}}{d} o\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{d}}\right) = o(\log x)$$

6.

$$= \frac{1}{A} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(d) \log \frac{x}{d}}{d} + o(\log x) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{A} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \log \frac{x}{d} + o(\log x)$$

by (i)

$$= \frac{1}{A} \left(\log x + \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} \right) + o(\log x) =$$

$$= \frac{2}{A} \log x + o(\log x)$$

Combining we get (B), from which (B') follows immediately. By partial summation

$$(B'') \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \lambda(m) + \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\lambda(m) \lambda(m)}{\log m} = 2x + o(x).$$

or

$$\psi(x) + \phi(x) = 2x + q(x); \quad q(x) = o(x).$$

Now (B') gives when inserting (B'')

$$\log x \psi(x) + \int_1^x \psi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\psi(t) = 2x \log x + o(x \log x)$$

$$(B''') \quad \log x \psi(x) - \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\lambda(m) \lambda(m)}{\log m} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = o(x \log x)$$

or introducing $\psi(x) = x + R(x)$

7

we get

$$(1) R(x) \log x = - \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) R\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + o(x \log x)$$

$$(i) R(x) \log x = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda(n) \lambda(n)}{\log(nn)} R\left(\frac{x}{nn}\right) + o(x \log x)$$

$$2|R(x)| \log x \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) |R\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)| + \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda(n) \lambda(n)}{\log(nn)} |R\left(\frac{x}{nn}\right)| + o(x \log x)$$

or

$$2|R(x)| \log x \leq \int_1^x |R\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)| d(\psi(t) + \phi(t)) + o(x \log x)$$

$$2|R(x)| \log x \leq 2 \int_1^x |R\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)| dt + \int_1^x |R\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)| d\eta(t) + o(x \log x)$$

or

$$(c) |R(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\log x} \int_1^x |R\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)| dt + o(x)$$

$$= \frac{x}{\log x} \int_1^x \frac{|R(t)|}{t^2} dt + o(x)$$

$$(c') \int_1^x \frac{R(t)}{t^2} dt = O(1)$$

$$(c'') |R(t) - R(t')| \leq |t - t'| + o(t + t')$$

Proof of (). 8.

$$1) |R(x)| < K_1 x \text{ for } x \geq T.$$

$$2) |R(x)| < \sigma x \text{ for } x > x_0.$$

$$\left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{R(t)}{t^v} dt \right| \leq K_2$$

$$\text{Put } \rho = e^{\frac{4K_2}{\sigma}}$$

if $R(t)$ changes sign at most once

$$(i) \int_{\rho^{v-1}}^{\rho^v} \frac{|R(t)|}{t^v} dt \leq 2K_2 = \frac{\sigma}{2} \log \rho$$

if $R(t)$ changes sign twice or more is a t_0
with $R(t_0) = 0$; $\rho^{v-1} > x_0$

$$|R(t) \cancel{R(t)}| \leq |t - t_0| + \sigma(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma}{2} t \quad \text{for } t_0 e^{-\delta} < t < t_0 e^{\delta}, \text{ where}$$

Then $\rho^{v-1} > x_0$

$$e^{\delta} = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{3}$$
$$\delta \geq K_4 \sigma$$

$$(ii) \int_{\rho^{v-1}}^{\rho^v} \frac{|R(t)|}{t^v} dt \leq \sigma \log \rho - \frac{\sigma}{2} \log \delta =$$

$$\leq \sigma \log \rho \left(1 - \frac{\log \delta}{2 \log \rho}\right) \leq \sigma \log \rho (1 - K_5 \sigma^2).$$

9.

Now

(C) gives that

$$\rho^v \leq x_0$$

$$|R(x)| \leq \frac{x}{Lx} \left\{ \int_1^{\frac{x_0}{Lx}} \frac{R(t)}{t^2} dt \right\} + \bar{\sigma}$$

$$\leq \frac{x}{Lx} (K_6 + \sigma Lx (1 - K_5 \sigma^2)) + o(x)$$

$$= \sigma (1 - K_5 \sigma^2) x + o(x)$$

$$< \underline{\sigma (1 - K_7 \sigma^2)} x \quad \text{for } x > x_1$$

$$\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i (1 - K_7 \sigma_i^2). \quad ; \quad \sigma_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$