

1
 H hyperbolic plane, upper half plane
 model $z = x + iy, y > 0$. G group of
 motions, elements $g, g z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$,
 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ real, $\det = 1$.

Γ discrete subgroup of G , elements
 γ and such that quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$
 has finite measure. For simplicity
 assume quotient compact (compact
 fundamental domain D_Γ in H), and
 no elliptic elements. Then
 consideration of eigenvalue problem

$$(1) \quad y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \psi + \lambda \psi = 0,$$

where ψ is a function of x and y
 or of z such that

$$\psi(\gamma z) = \psi(z)$$

for all γ in Γ leads to the
 trace formula

$$(2) \quad \sum_j h(\rho_j) = \frac{A(D)}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2 \frac{e^{\pi n} - e^{-\pi n}}{e^{\pi n} + e^{-\pi n}} h(n) dn$$

$$+ \sum_{\{\gamma\}_\Gamma^*} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \rho_\gamma}{\rho_\gamma^{\frac{\nu}{2}} - \rho_\gamma^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} g(\nu \log \rho),$$

here the r_j are derived from the eigenvalues λ_j of (1) by $\frac{1}{4} + r_j^2 = \lambda_j$. The summation $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}^*$ extends over one representative of each "primitive" hyperbolic conjugacy class in \mathbb{P} , ρ_γ denotes the ~~Square~~ of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix γ .

$A(\mathcal{D})$ is the (hyperbolic or invariant) area of \mathcal{D} , $h(r)$ is an even function holomorphic for $|\Im(r)| < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and such that

$$h(r) = O\left(\frac{1}{|r|^{2+\varepsilon}}\right), \text{ while}$$

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iur} h(r) dr.$$

(2) by its similarity with the well known explicit formulas connecting a series extended over the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function with another series over the primes, we suggest defining

$$(3) \quad Z(s, \mathbb{P}) = \prod_{\sum \mathcal{P}^*} \prod_{v=0}^{\infty} (1 - \rho_\gamma^{-s-v}),$$

Convergent for $\Re s > 1$

Using (1), can prove Z is integral function of order 2. Functional equation

$$(4) \quad Z(s, \rho) \exp(-A(s) \int_0^{s-\frac{1}{2}} v \log \pi v \, dv) = Z(1-s, \rho)$$

Z has "trivial" zeros at at neg. integers (implied by 4), non-trivial at points $\frac{1}{2} \pm i n_j$.

Form of weight l , l integer

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma}^l(z) f(\gamma z) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma$$

where $\epsilon_{\gamma}(z) = \frac{cz+d}{c\bar{z}+d}$; $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

Operator

$$(5) \quad \Delta_l = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) - 2ixy \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

carries form of weight l into form of weight l .

Operators

$$2ixy^{1-l} \frac{d}{dz} y^l \quad \text{and} \quad -2ixy^{1+l} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} y^{-l}$$

carries form of weight l into form of weight $l+1$ and $l-1$ respectively

NB! (through a finite number of forms)

(may be annihilated in the process).

Integral operator

$$(6) \int_H \frac{(y\eta)^l}{\left(\frac{z-\xi}{2i}\right)^{2l}} k\left(\frac{|z-\xi|^2}{4\eta}\right) f(\xi) d\omega_\xi$$

for $l \geq 0$ carries form of weight l into form of weight l . For $l < 0$ we replace it by

$$(6') \int_H \frac{(y\eta)^{-l}}{\left(\frac{\xi-\bar{z}}{2i}\right)^{-2l}} k\left(\frac{|z-\xi|^2}{4\eta}\right) f(\xi) d\omega_\xi.$$

A trace formula arises if we consider the restriction of (6) or (6') to the space of forms of weight l , in the same way as the case $l=0$ led to (2), to giving rise to the h and g . Here Δ_l plays the role of the $\Delta_0 = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$ for $l=0$.

For different l , we get essentially the same formula since with a finite number of exceptions we have the same eigenvalues.

For $|l| > 1$ we may use (6) or (6')

with $k \equiv 1$, then the trace formula collapses to a finite formula which counts only the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $|l|(1-|l|)$ for $l > 1$ the forms for which $y^l f(z)$ is an holomorphic function of z , and for $l < -1$, those for which $y^l f(z)$ is an holomorphic function of \bar{z} . For $l > 1$ this is equivalent to counting the number of holomorphic analytic automorphic forms of weight l for Γ .

II Groups on products of hyperbolic planes.

For simplicity we shall first consider the case of product space of two hyperbolic planes, $H_1 \times H_2$, our G is now $G_1 \times G_2$, elements (g_1, g_2) and points in space represented by $z = (z_1, z_2)$. We now assume we have a discrete subgroup of G , Γ such that the measure of the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is finite; for simplicity again we assume the quotient

is compact (or fundamental domain \mathcal{D}_P compact). Elements of Γ denoted by $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.

We assume that Γ is irreducible, that is its projection on G_1 or G_2 is not discrete (it does not contain any subgroup of finite index which is a direct product $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are discrete groups acting on H_1 and H_2 respectively). The projection of Γ on G_1 (or G_2) is then everywhere dense, also if one component of γ is the identity (e), the other component must also be.

For $l = (l_1, l_2)$ where l_1 and l_2 are integers we may consider forms of weight l , that is: functions that transform by the rule

$$(7) f(z) = \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}^{l_1}(z_1) \varepsilon_{\gamma_2}^{l_2}(z_2) f(\gamma z),$$

and which are eigenfunctions of the operators:

Δ_{l_1, z_1} and Δ_{l_2, z_2} , defined by (5), where we have added subscripts z_1 and z_2 to indicate which variables they act on.

We can then develop a general trace formula, but here we consider instead the case $l = (l, 0)$ where we have dropped the subscript on the first component. We consider first the integral operator

$$(8) \int_{H_1} \int_{H_2} \frac{(y_1 \eta_1)^l}{\left(\frac{z_1 - \bar{\xi}_1}{2i}\right)^{2l}} k\left(\frac{|z_2 - \xi_2|^2}{y_2 \eta_2}\right) f(\xi) d\omega_\xi$$

if l is positive, for l negative we replace

$$\frac{(y_1 \eta_1)^l}{\left(\frac{z_1 - \bar{\xi}_1}{2i}\right)^{2l}} \quad \text{by} \quad \frac{(y_1 \eta_1)^{-l}}{\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \bar{z}_1}{2i}\right)^{-2l}} .$$

The restriction of this integral operator to forms of weight $(l, 0)$ for Γ will have only eigenfunctions corresponding

to the eigenvalue $|k|(1-k)$ with respect to Δ_{e, z_1} , and with eigenvalues $\frac{1}{4} + n_j^2$ with respect to Δ_{o, z_2} (these n_j will depend on l of course). If we for simplicity assume that Γ has no element of finite order (or both components elliptic) and denote the volume of \mathcal{D}_Γ by $V(\mathcal{D})$ (in this case $\frac{V(\mathcal{D})}{(4\pi)^2}$ is an integer), we get when we compute the trace of (8) in two ways that

$$(9) \quad \frac{4\pi}{2|k|-1} \sum h(n_j) = \frac{V(\mathcal{D})}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\bar{u}\Lambda - \bar{u}\Lambda}{-t}}}{e^{\frac{\pi n}{t}} + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{t}}} h(n) dn$$

$$+ \frac{4\pi}{2|k|-1} \sum_{\{\gamma\}_\Gamma^*} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_\gamma^{v \operatorname{sgn} l}}{1 - \varepsilon_\gamma^v} \frac{\log p_\gamma}{p_\gamma^{\frac{v}{2}} - p_\gamma^{-\frac{v}{2}}} g(v \log p_\gamma)$$

Here h and g are functions related as in (2) and satisfying the same conditions. $\{\gamma\}_\Gamma^*$ here means that summation is over one representative

of each primitive conjugacy class in P where the first component is elliptic and the second hyperbolic. $\epsilon_\gamma = e^{i\varphi}$ where φ is the rotation angle implied by γ , and $\rho_\gamma = \rho_{\gamma_2}$. (9) can be rewritten

$$(9') \sum h(\gamma_j) = \frac{(2l|l-1)V(\infty)}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi n} - e^{-\pi n}}{e^{\pi n} + e^{-\pi n}} h(n) dn$$

$$+ \sum_{\{\gamma\}_p^*} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_\gamma^{lv}}{1 - \epsilon_\gamma^{v \text{sq} l}} \frac{\log \rho_\gamma}{\rho_\gamma^{\frac{v}{2}} - \rho_\gamma^{-\frac{v}{2}}} g(v \log \rho_\gamma).$$

The structure of (9') is very similar to (2) only the factor $\frac{\epsilon_\gamma^{lv}}{1 - \epsilon_\gamma^{v \text{sq} l}}$

seems inconvenient. However, if we for $l > 1$ combine (9') for l and $-l$ and observe

$$\frac{\epsilon^{lv}}{1 - \epsilon^v} + \frac{\epsilon^{-lv}}{1 - \epsilon^{-v}} = - \frac{\epsilon^{lv} - \epsilon^{-(l-1)v}}{\epsilon^v - 1} =$$

$$= - \sum_{(i) < l} \epsilon^{vi}$$

we see that we are led to consider the expression

$$(10) \quad Z_e(\Delta, \Gamma) = \prod_{\sum \gamma_j = \Delta} \prod_{\substack{\nu=0 \\ |k| < L}}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_{\gamma}^i \rho_{\gamma}^{-\Delta - \nu})^{-1},$$

which can be shown to be convergent for $\Re \Delta > 1$. From (9') we can deduce that it is an integral function of order 2 with the functional equation

$$Z_e(1-\Delta, \Gamma) = Z_e(\Delta, \Gamma) \exp\left(-(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{V(\mathcal{D})}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} v \log \Gamma(v) dv\right),$$

again with trivial zeros at the ^{negative} integers and non-trivial at the points

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \pm i r_j.$$

If we instead had a group Γ acting on a product of n hyperbolic planes $H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n$, and Γ is irreducible and again with compact fundamental domain D_{Γ} , and no elements of finite order, we can in a similar manner consider

forms of weight

$l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1}, 0)$, where
 $|l_i| > 1$ for $1 \leq i < m$, and so that
 for $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$ our
 functions for $1 \leq i < m$ are eigen-
 functions of the operator

$$\Delta_{l_i, z_i} \quad \text{with eigenvalue } |l_i|(z - |l_i|),$$

We are thus led to form the function

$$(10') \quad Z_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}}(\Delta, P) = \\
= \prod_{\substack{\{x\}_P^* \\ |i_j| < |l_j|}} \prod_{\nu \geq 0} \left(1 - \sum_{\gamma_1}^{i_1} \dots \sum_{\gamma_{m-1}}^{i_{m-1}} p_\gamma^{-s-\nu} \right)^{(-1)^{m-1}},$$

which again has similar properties.

A problem arises when we try
 to consider the case when some of the
 $|l_j| = 1$. For $m = 2$ this would formally
 lead to

$$(11) \quad Z_1(\Delta, P) = \prod_{\{x\}_P^*} \prod_{\nu \geq 0} (1 - p_x^{-s-\nu})^{-1},$$

which must have a singularity on the real line for some ξ , since we quite easily can establish that the product converges $\Re s > 1$, the singularity would be in the interval $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. Since for the weight $\ell = (\pm 1, 0)$, the integral operator (8) does not converge if we take absolute values of the kernel, and so our earlier manipulations could not be justified in this case, we have to use other more complicated means.

We introduce a factor, with $\alpha > 0$,

$$\frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2)^\alpha}{|z_1 - \bar{\xi}_1|^{2\alpha}} \quad \text{in order to obtain}$$

convergence, so for the case $(1, 0)$ we consider the operator (instead of (8)),

$$(12) \int_{H_1} \int_{H_2} \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2}{(z_1 - \bar{\xi}_1)^2} \frac{(\eta_1 \eta_2)^\alpha}{|z_1 - \bar{\xi}_1|^{2\alpha}} k\left(\frac{|z_2 - \xi_2|^2}{4\eta_2}\right) f(\xi) d\omega_\xi$$

on forms of weight $(1, 0)$ for P , and develop the trace formula for this operator (which of course will contain the full two parameter spectrum for weight $(1, 0)$), as the case $\alpha = 0$ would formally

correspond to (8) for $l=1$, one could try to make $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and see what happens, it is possible to proceed in this way but very messy (and even more so for $n \geq 2$ if more than one $l_i = \pm 1$). Instead we consider also the weight $(0,0)$ and the operator with the kernel

$$(12') \quad \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{(y_1, \eta_1)^{1+\alpha}}{\left| \frac{z_1 - \xi_1}{2i} \right|^{2+2\alpha}} K\left(\frac{|z_2 - \xi_2|^2}{4\eta_2}\right),$$

and develop the resulting trace-formula for this kernel and forms of weight $(0,0)$ for Γ^2 . The two spectra for weights $(1,0)$ and $(0,0)$ are largely identical, since we can pass from an eigenform for $(1,0)$ to one for $(0,0)$ by the operators

$-2i y_1^2 \frac{d}{d\bar{z}_1} y_1^{-1}$ and from an eigenform for $(0,0)$ to one for $(1,0)$ by the operator $2iy \frac{d}{dz}$. In the first case we see that we annihilate just those forms for which

$$\Delta_{1, z_1} f(z) = 0 \text{ and no}$$

others. In the second case we only annihilate the constant eigenfunction, since this is the only eigenfunction which is holomorphic in \bar{Z} , (as easily follows using the maximum principle for Z , and the properties of an irreducible group Γ). So when we subtract the trace formula for the kernel (12') from that for the kernel (12), and divide out a common factor depending only on α which is found in all remaining terms, we are on the left-hand side left with:

$$\sum_j h(\alpha_j) - h\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)$$

and on the right-hand side

$$\frac{V(D)}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r \frac{e^{\pi r} - e^{-\pi r}}{e^{\pi r} + e^{-\pi r}} h(r) dr +$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \gamma \neq 1}} \frac{\sum_{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\gamma}^v}{1 - \varepsilon_{\gamma}^v} \frac{\log p_{\gamma}}{p_{\gamma}^{\frac{v}{2}} - p_{\gamma}^{-\frac{v}{2}}} g(v \log p_{\gamma}).$$

Thus instead of (9') we get for $l = (1, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \sum_j h(\rho_j) - h\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) = \\
 & = \frac{v(\mathcal{D})}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n \frac{e^{\bar{u}n} - e^{-\bar{u}n}}{e^{\bar{u}n} + e^{-\bar{u}n}} h(n) dn + \\
 & + \sum_{\{\gamma\}_p^*} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}^{\nu}}{1 - \varepsilon_{\gamma}^{\nu}} \frac{\log p_{\gamma}}{p_{\gamma}^{\frac{\nu}{2}} - p_{\gamma}^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma}(\nu \log p_{\gamma}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining again this with the (identical) formula for $l = (-1, 0)$ (or combining terms coming from γ and γ^{-1}), we can again simplify the term in the last sum since

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{\nu}}{1 - \varepsilon^{\nu}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{-\nu}}{1 - \varepsilon^{-\nu}} = -1.$$

Thus the function $Z_1(s, P)$ defined in (11) can be continued analytically in the same way as the $Z_l(s, P)$ for $l > 1$, however the term $-h\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)$ creates a pole at $s=1$ in the same way as $h(\rho_j)$ produces a zero at $\frac{1}{2} \pm i\rho_j$. The functional equation is as that we found for $l > 1$, if

we only replace l by 1.

For general n , we may use the same differencing argument for all the $l_i = \pm 1$, and only when all $|l_i| = 1$ for $1 \leq i < n$, does the new term $(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i} \chi(\frac{i}{2})$ enter on the left-hand side. For n even this produces a pole at $s=1$, for n odd it produces a zero. As to the points $\frac{1}{2} \pm i r_j$, it should be noted that for a weight $(l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}, 0)$ the r_j that enter will depend on the l_i as well as on Γ , and that when we change the sign of some of the l_i , in general the r_j will change, only if we change the sign of all do the r_j stay the same, since there are in all 2^{n-1} sign combinations, we get that in general zeros $\frac{1}{2} \pm i r_j$ in our Z come from 2^{n-2} different eigenvalue problems.

It is of interest to compare the formulas arising in this

Situation with those arising when we consider a group Γ acting on a single hyperbolic plane and when we have $(n-1)$ representations of Γ by unitary matrices (two by two) and let these representations each act on one of $(n-1)$ spheres and consider functions of a variable which consists of a point z , in the hyperbolic plane and one point on each of the spheres and functions invariant under this action and eigenfunctions of the operator $\Delta_{0,z}$ and ^{are} spherical functions of level l_i on the sphere with index i . The formulas are quite analogous only the factor $(-1)^{n-1}$ that occurred earlier does not enter.

One may of course use these $Z_l(\rho, P)$ to investigate the distribution of the E_γ occurring, as well as the distribution of the rotation angles in the elliptic components (the arguments of the $E_{\gamma i}$.)

However, this can just as well be done directly from the base formulas without any $Z_e(\Delta, \Gamma)$.

If Z_m in the earlier development is replaced by a copy of the hyperbolic 3-space, one can repeat the analysis above, among the slight changes: the $Z_e(\Delta, \Gamma)$ will now be functions of order 3, the functional equation is simpler, and there are no trivial zeros.

Finally, if we permit elements of finite order in Γ , the formulas get rather more complicated, but the main features remain the same. Permitting Γ with non-compact fundamental domain (but with finite volume) brings in more complication, particularly in the handling of the case when some or all $(k; l=1)$, the functional equation gets further modified, but basically the nature of the $Z_e(\Delta, \Gamma)$ remain the same.