

2-f. an with groups & hybrid base formulas.

1.

Hybrid T-formulas

While T-formula in general is transcendental in nature asserting the equality of two sides when both sides are infinite series over functions summed over, there it is well known that there are also cases when it reduces to a finite identity counting the multiplicity of a single point in the spectrum, For $\mathbb{C}(z, R)$, say an of regular analytic forms of a given dimension, or for general complex bounded symmetric domains, by using powers of $\left(\frac{k(z, \xi)}{\sqrt{k(z, z)k(\xi, \xi)}} \right)^l$ with l sufficiently large to insure admissibility.

The object of this lecture is to illustrate some instances, where we consider groups acting on products of symmetric spaces, and use a point pair representation which is an eigen function for some factors and a general point pair invariant for others, we get then what I will call a hybrid base formula.

First some remarks on groups P acting on $S_1 \times S_2$; call reducible if P is commensurable (that is has a common subgroup of finite index with) a direct product $P_1 \times P_2$ where P_1 acts on S_1 , P_2 on S_2 otherwise "irreducible". The irreducible groups are any factorisation of S are in a sense the only that properly belong to that space, and

we shall restrict our selves to those, an irreducible group Γ has following 2 properties, (1) its projection onto any factor group of G acting on a factor of S is everywhere dense in G , (2) if an element γ of Γ projects on the identity element of any factor of G , γ is the identity element.

I shall ~~begin by~~ consider the case of a product of two copies of hyperbolic plane or one copy of hyperbolic plane, one of hyperbolic 3-space. In most cases an irreducible group (with finite volume of D) for $S_1 \times S_2$ (compatibility) of spaces or groups.

Assume for simplicity that Γ acting on $H_2 \times H_2$ has no element with fixpoints (except identity element) could otherwise go to a subgroup of finite index which has that property and also that D is compact $V(\Gamma \backslash H_2 \times H_2) < \infty$ in that case $\frac{V(D)}{(4\pi)^2}$ is an integer.

in $H_2 \times H_2$ we have no similar statement about volume, but as we shall see it is not needed there

We consider for l integral ≥ 1 , functions in $z = (z_1, z_2)$ which under γ transform in the way that if $\gamma z = \left(\frac{a_1 z_1 + b_1}{c_1 z_1 + d_1}, \frac{a_2 z_2 + b_2}{c_2 z_2 + d_2} \right)$

$$F(\gamma z) = \left(\frac{c_1 z_1 + d_1}{c_1 \bar{z}_1 + d_1} \right)^l F(z)$$

and furthermore are of the form that

$$\frac{\partial y_1^l F(z)}{\partial z_1} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad F(z) = y_1^l f(z) \quad \text{where}$$

$f(z)$ analytic holomorphic in z_1 .

Forming the point pair function z, ξ

$$\left(\frac{\eta_1 \eta_1}{(z_1 - \xi_1)^2} \right)^l k \left(\frac{|z_2 - \xi_2|^2}{y_2 \eta_2} \right)$$

if we assume $l > 1$; k a function tending reasonably to zero, say $k(t) = O(t^{-1/2})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, this is admissible and we can at once write down the resulting base formula for P , since the various integrals that occur split into direct products of the kind that occur for a single hyperbolic plane.

Denoting by ν_j the eigenvalues for

$$\text{which} \quad y_2^2 \Delta_2 F(z) + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \nu_j^2 \right) F(z) = 0 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \nu_j \\ \text{depend} \\ \text{on } l \end{array} \right)$$

and let $h(\nu)$ and $g(u)$ be the functions that derive from k in the way given in my paper in the Indian journal, we shall proceed to write down the τ -formula

(1) only elements γ whose first component is elliptic give a contribution. Let us denote by $\{ \gamma \}_p^*$ equivalence classes in P for which 1st component is elliptic, then second is hyperbolic

call them primitive if not positive power with $\exp > 1$ of other element in group; γ is then primitive class described by rotation angle φ , and ^{minimal} distance ρ that the components move the two copies of H . just $\xi = e^{i\varphi}$ ($\frac{\varphi}{2\pi}$ irrational)

$$\frac{4\pi}{2\ell-1} \sum_j h(n_j) = \frac{V(\mathcal{D})}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r h(r) \frac{e^{\bar{u}r} - e^{-\bar{u}r}}{e^{\bar{u}r} + e^{-\bar{u}r}} dr$$

$$+ \frac{4\pi}{2\ell-1} \sum_{\{\gamma\}_\rho^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{lm}}{1-\xi^m} \frac{\rho}{e^{\frac{m\rho}{2}} - e^{-\frac{m\rho}{2}}} g(m\rho)$$

$$\checkmark \sum_j h(n_j) = \frac{V(\mathcal{D})}{8\pi^2} (2\ell-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r h(r) \frac{e^{\bar{u}r} - e^{-\bar{u}r}}{e^{\bar{u}r} + e^{-\bar{u}r}} dr$$

$$+ \sum_{\{\gamma\}_\rho^*} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\xi^{lm}}{1-\xi^m} \frac{\rho}{e^{\frac{m\rho}{2}} - e^{-\frac{m\rho}{2}}} g(m\rho)$$

Structurally quite similar to trace formula in case of single hyperbolic plane, only factor $\frac{\xi^{lm}}{1-\xi^m}$ is somewhat inconvenient. We may however combine term for class γ with class γ^{-1} that for they have same ρ , but φ changes sign $\xi \rightarrow \xi^{-1}$

and we have

$$\frac{\sum \varepsilon^{\ell m}}{1 - \varepsilon^m} + \frac{\sum \varepsilon^{-\ell m}}{1 - \varepsilon^{-m}} = - \frac{\sum \varepsilon^{\ell m} - \varepsilon^{-(\ell-1)m}}{\varepsilon^m - 1}$$

$$= - \sum_{|i| < \ell} \varepsilon^{mi}$$

from $Z_\ell(s, \rho) = \prod_{\substack{v \geq 0 \\ |i| < \ell}} (1 - \varepsilon^i e^{-(s+v)\rho})^{-1}$

analytic continuation & this Dirichlet series has functional equation

$$Z_\ell(1-s) = Z_\ell(s) \exp \left[- (2\ell-1) \frac{V(s)}{4\pi} \int_0^{s-\frac{1}{2}} v \log v \, dv \right]$$

Zeros in critical strip at $s = \frac{1}{2} + ir_j$ and "trivial" zeros at ~~negative~~ integers $-n$ for $n \geq 0$ (our poles), so far we considered $\ell > 1$

For $\ell = 1$ ^{series} our kernel does not converge absolutely; Formally if we put $\ell = 1$ in

$$Z_\ell(s, \rho) \text{ we get } Z_1(s, \rho) = \prod_{v \geq 0} (1 - e^{-(s+v)\rho})^{-1}$$

which clearly must have singularity somewhere on real axis, so something has to change if we try to consider this case too

We use a limit process

and we see that our statements about $Z_p(s)$ remain true in case $l=1$ except that $Z_1(s)$ has a pole of order 1 at $s=1$

From Z_1 , can determine distribution of the ρ (prime geodesics theorem)

not so surprising in after thought

Perhaps more interesting and unexpected are the results of distribution of the φ for $\rho \leq x$ find not equidistributed but ^{has} distribution function $\frac{1 - \cos \varphi}{2\pi}$

If second factor of our space was H_3 then is some simple modification.

factor in functional equation becomes of form $a(s-1)^2 + b(s-1)$; form of zeta function modified in terms with $v > 0$

noncompact case not much changed our continuous spectrum can enter here though parabolic terms do contribute to base formula and form of functional equation.

must add copies where factors are

NB. Some factors act on lower half planes.

Product of n hyperbolic planes

$$Z_{l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}}(s, P) = \prod_{\substack{\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}\} \\ i_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}} (1 - \varepsilon_{i_1} \dots \varepsilon_{i_{n-1}} e^{-\rho(s+v)})$$

$v \geq 0$

non integral ρ ; half integers greater integers

$Z_{l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}}(s, P)$ has pole at $s=1$ for n even, zero for n odd

similar for case last factor is tt_3 .

Other cases, complex ^{symplectic domains} spaces, expect ζ -function only in case of factors of rank 1.

say products of ^{unit balls} $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2 < 1$
for same m .

problem. $z_i \rightarrow \varepsilon_i z_i$

$$\frac{(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_m)^e}{(1-\varepsilon_1) \dots (1-\varepsilon_m)} + \frac{(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \dots \bar{\varepsilon}_m)^e}{(1-\bar{\varepsilon}_1) \dots (1-\bar{\varepsilon}_m)} \text{ does}$$

not simplify, ^{perhaps} probably this can be attained by bringing in representations of rotation group which are not one dimensional, or otherwise expressed consider differential forms of various order. I have not tried to look into this.

One can of course also look at hybrid trace-formulas where the last factor is of higher rank (or is a product of several factors of rank 1) in this case no connection with a ζ -function would be expected, but the resulting formulas are still of interest and do throw additional light on the distribution of elements in such groups.