$SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ the group of integer $n \times n$ matrices of determinant equal to 1.

- It is a complicated big group
- It is central in automorphic forms, number theory, geometry
  ...

It satisfies some basic properties when reduced modulo $q$:

(1) **Strong Approximation** (Chinese remainder theorem)

$$SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_q} SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \text{ is onto.}$$

There is a quantification of this that is also fundamental.
Fix a finite generating set $S$ of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (assume that it is symmetric, $s \in S \iff s^{-1} \in S$).
Form the finite “congruence graphs”

$$X_q = (\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}), S),$$

vertices are elements of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, edges $g \mapsto sg$, $s \in S$.

$X_q$ is connected (by strong approximation), $X_q$ is $|S|$-regular.
(2) **Super-strong Approximation**

The $X_q$'s are an “expander family”, i.e. if the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix

$$|S| = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_N$$

satisfy

$$\lambda_2 \leq |S| - \varepsilon_0$$

with $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (independent of $q$!)

“spectral gap”.

$\implies$ the graphs $X_q$ are very highly connected, random walk on $X_q$ with generators $S$ is rapidly mixing, . . . .
(2) follows from automorphic forms. If $\Gamma(q) = \ker(A \mapsto A \pmod q)$, consider $L^2(\Gamma(q) \backslash \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, and in particular the Ramanujan–Selberg Conjectures about which a lot is known. (If $n \geq 3$, one can also use “property $T$”).

More generally, if $G$ is a semisimple simply-connected group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, then both (1) and (2) continue to hold for $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$ (assume $G(\mathbb{R})$ has no compact factors).

(2) due to Burger–Sarnak Clozel “property tau”.
For many applications, one needs these fundamental properties for general $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $G = \mathcal{Z}\text{cl}(\Gamma)$, the “Zariski closure” of $\Gamma$. The smallest algebraic matrix group to contain $\Gamma$. Its equations are over $\mathbb{Q}$.

So $G$ is a familiar and a well understood object.

**Definition**

If $\Gamma$ is of infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$, we say $\Gamma$ is thin.

- In general, the question of whether $\Gamma$ is thin has no decision procedure (Mikhailova 1958).

**Ubiquity of Thin Groups:**

(A) Fix $\ell \geq 2$ and choose $A_1, \ldots, A_\ell$ at random in $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by taking them from a big ball $\|A_j\| \leq X, j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then with probability tending to 1 as $X \to \infty$, $\Gamma = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_\ell \rangle$ is Zariski dense in $\text{SL}_n$, it is thin and free (in fact “Schottky”). R. Aoun (2010).
(B) Diophantine geometric constructions typically yield thin groups.

E.g.: Integral Apollonian packings:

\[
F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2) - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2
\]

\[
G = O_F, \quad \text{the orthogonal group of } F
\]

\[
O_F(\mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})
\]

\[
A = \text{Apollonian group}, \quad A = \langle S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 \rangle
\]

\[
S_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
S_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad S_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A \leq O_F(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A \text{ is thin!}
\]
If \( a = (-11, 21, 24, 28) \), then the orbit \( \mathcal{O}_a = a \cdot A \) of \( a \) under \( A \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^4 \) produces the curvatures of all 4-tuples of mutually tangent circles in the packing determined by \( a \).

(C) Topological monodromy often produces thin groups. E.g. 1: Consider the family of hyperelliptic curves

\[
C_t : y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_r)(x - t).
\]

Here \( a_1, \ldots, a_r \) are distinct in \( \mathbb{C} \), \( t \) varies over \( S = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \). Fix a base point \( t_0 \), \( H_1(C_{t_0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \), where \( g = \text{genus}(C_{t_0}) \).
Traverse the closed loop $\gamma$ and follow a cycle $\beta$ in $H_1(C_{t_0})$ gives

$$M(\gamma)\beta \in H_1(C_{t_0}), \quad \text{representation}$$

$$M : \pi_1(S, t_0) \to \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$$

monodromy

$$\text{Sp} : X^t JX = J, \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Image($M$) is Zariski dense in $\text{Sp}(2g)$.
- K. Yu (1990's): $M(\pi_1(S))$ is finite index in $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$, not thin.
However, the family

\[ C_t : y^5 = x^3(1 - x)^3(1 - tx)^2 \]

corresponds to a non-arithmetic triangle group (Paula Cohen–Wolfart).

\[ \mathcal{Z}\text{cl}(M(\pi_1(S))) = H \not\cong \text{Sp}(2g). \]

\( H \) is a Hilbert modular subgroup. \( M(\pi_1(S)) \) is thin (in \( H(\mathbb{Z}) \)).

(D) Veech or Teichmüller curves in \( M_g \) yield thin monodromy.

(E) Do Calabi–Yau and Dwork families yield thin monodromy?

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 &= 3ty_4y_5y_6 \\
y_4^3 + y_5^3 + y_6^3 &= 3ty_1y_2y_3.
\end{align*}
\]

(F) Covers of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with large Heegaard genus are given by thin groups (Lackenby, Long–Lubotzky–Reid).
Matthews–Weisfeiler–Vaeserstein
Strong approximation holds for thin groups:

**Theorem**

Let $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be Zariski dense in $\text{SL}_n$. There is a finite set $S$ of primes $p_1, \ldots, p_v$ depending on $\Gamma$ such that for $(q, S) = 1$, $\Gamma \to \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ is onto.

- Similarly for other simple, simply-connected $G$’s in place of $\text{SL}_n$. New treatments: Nori, Larsen–Pvik.

As for expansion, the familiar number theoretic methods don’t work when $\text{Vol}(\Gamma \setminus G(\mathbb{R})) = \infty$. However, a combinatorial method going back to S.–Xu 1990’s does when combined with many new ideas.

(2) Proof in (1) depends on Helfgott’s combinatorial A.A.A. theorem (nonabelian “sum-product” theorem) for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

(3) (2) is generalized to Chevelly groups $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by Pyber–Szabo, Breuillard–Green–Tao (2010).

(4) P. Varjú extends (1) to $G = \text{SL}_n$ (2010).

(5) A. Salehi–Varjú prove the most general expander property (2010).
Theorem (Super-strong Approximation (Salehi–Varjú 2011))

Let $\Gamma \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be finitely generated with generating set $S$. Then the congruence graphs $(\pi_q(\Gamma), S)$ for $q$ square-free, $q$ prime to a fixed set of primes (depending on $\Gamma$) is an expander family iff $G^\circ$, the connected component of $G = \mathbb{Z} \text{cl}(\Gamma)$, is perfect ($G = [G, G]$). (effective)

This and its earlier versions is at the heart of many diophantine applications. We discuss the affine sieve which is an extension of the Brun Sieve to orbits of affine linear actions.
Search for Primes

1-dimension: $\mathbb{Z}$, $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Are there infinitely many $x$ such that $f(x)$ is prime?

(I) $f(x) = x$ — yes.

(II) $f(x) = ax + b$ — yes if $(a, b) = 1$, otherwise no (Dirichlet).

(III) $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ — (Euler conjectured yes).

(IV) $f(x) = x(x + 2)$ — are there infinitely many $x$ such that $f(x)$ has at most two prime factors? $\iff$ twin prime conjecture.

Brun: There are infinitely many $x$ such that $f(x) = x(x + 2)$ has at most 20 prime factors.
Saturation Number
Let $r_0(\mathbb{Z}, f)$ be the least $r$ such that the set of $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ which have at most $r$ prime factors is infinite $\iff$ (better for higher dimensions) the least $r$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : f(x) \text{ has at most } r \text{ prime factors}\} = \mathbb{A}^1.$$ 

Brun: for any $f$, $r_0(\mathbb{Z}, f)$ is finite!

More generally, let $\mathcal{O} = a \cdot \Gamma$, $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the orbit of $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ under $\Gamma$. 
Let \( f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \).
Set \( r_0(\mathcal{O}, f) \) be the least \( r \) (if it exists) such that

\[
\mathcal{Z} \text{cl}(\{x \in \mathcal{O} : f(x) \text{ has at most } r \text{ prime factors}\}) = \mathcal{Z} \text{cl}(\mathcal{O}).
\]

Enemy is a torus (for saturation). E.g.

\[
\mathcal{O} = \Gamma = \{2^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{a torus.}
\]

Set \( F(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2) \). Then the standard heuristics suggest that the number of prime factors of \((2^m - 1)(2^m - 2)\) goes to infinity with \( m \), i.e. \( r_0(\Gamma, F) = \infty \).
So we must avoid tori that are in the radical of $G$. The following was conjectured in B–G–S.

**Fundamental Theorem of the Affine Sieve (Salehi–S. 2011)**

Let $\Gamma \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathcal{O} = a \cdot \Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$. If $G = \mathbb{Z}\text{cl}(\Gamma)$ is Levi semisimple (i.e. $\text{rad } G$ contains no torus) then for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $f|_{\mathbb{Z}\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})} \neq 0$ (on any compact), $r_0(\mathcal{O}, f) < \infty$. That is, there is an $r < \infty$ (effective but not feasible) such that

$$\mathbb{Z}\text{cl}(\{x \in \mathcal{O} : f(x) \text{ has at most } r \text{ prime factors}\}) = \mathbb{Z}\text{cl}(\mathcal{O}).$$
This applies to integral Apollonian packings. For these and certain \( f \)'s there are some gems.

**Theorem (S. ’07)**

There are infinitely many circles with curvature a prime number in any integral Apollonian packing. In fact, there are infinitely many pairs of tangent circles ("twin primes"), both of whose curvatures are prime.

In fact,

\[
r_0(\mathcal{O}_a, x_1) = 1,
\]

\[
r_0(\mathcal{O}_a, x_1x_2) = 2.
\]
Zaremba’s Conjecture:
For $A$ large ($\geq 5$) and fixed, let $D_A$ be the positive integers $q$ such that there is $1 \leq b \leq q - 1$, $(b, q) = 1$, with
\[
\frac{b}{q} = [a_1, \ldots, a_k] \quad \text{continued fraction}
\]
\[a_j \leq A.\]

Conjecture

\[D_A = \mathbb{N}.\]

Equivalently, let $\Gamma_A$ be the semi-subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a
\end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq A
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_2
\end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_k
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
* & b \\
* & q
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\iff \frac{b}{q} = [a_1, \ldots, a_k].
\]
So the conjecture is equivalent to the orbit of \((0, 1)\) under \(\Gamma_A\) having second coordinate \(q\) for any given \(q \geq 1\). \(\Gamma_A\) is “thin”. This is a “local to global” question for thin semigroups.

**Theorem (Bourgain–Kontorovich 2011)**

*For \(A \geq 3000\) fixed, \(D_A\) has density 1, i.e. almost all \(q\) in the sense of density are in \(D_A\).*
One of the many new ingredients in the proof of expansion for thin groups is sum product theory from additive combinatorics.

**Theorem (Bourgain, Nets Katz, Tao)**

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for $p$ any large prime and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $p^\varepsilon \leq |A| \leq p^{1-\varepsilon}$,

$$|A + A| + |A \cdot A| \geq |A|^{1+\delta}.$$
Some references:


A. Lubotzky, “Expander Graphs in Pure and Applied Math”.


