Borel,

I justify my observation to the effect that:

If G is semi-simple,  $\pi$  irreducible unitary,  $\Lambda - g$  the highest weight of  $\widetilde{\mu}$   $(2g = \sum_{\alpha>0} \alpha)$ , G/K a bounded symmetric domain, and  $\Lambda$  sufficiently non-singular, then  $H^q(\pi, \mu) = 0$  unless  $q = \frac{1}{2} \dim(G/K)$ ,  $\pi$  is a member  $\pi_s \Lambda$ ,  $s \in \Omega_{\mathbf{C}}$ , of the Weyl group when  $H^q(\pi, \mu) \cong \mathbf{C}$ .

I first reinterpret some results of Matsushima-Murakami (Osaka J. Math 1965) representation theoretically. s unitary, acts on U,  $U^{\infty}$  infinitely differentiable vectors.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$  as usual. The complex structure on G/K determines a decomposition  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}'_+ + \mathfrak{m}'_-$  if  $\mathfrak{m}' = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{C})$ .  $C^n(U^{\infty}, \mu)$  is the set of K-invariant elements in  $U^{\infty} \otimes L(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \Lambda^n \mathfrak{m}'$ . Thus

$$C^n(U^n, \mu) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}(U^\infty, \mu)$$

with

$$C^{p,q}(U^{\infty},\mu) = U^{\infty} \otimes L(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \Lambda^p \mathfrak{m}'_{+} \otimes \Lambda^q \mathfrak{m}'_{-}.$$

If  $s = \pi$  is unitary, irreducible,  $\pi(\omega) = \mu(\omega)$  then  $C^n(U^{\infty}, \mu) = H^n(\pi, \mu)$  and there is a Hodge decomposition.

Assume now, it is the interesting case, that  $s = \pi$ ,  $\pi(\omega) = \mu(\omega)$ . Fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  contained in k and an order on the roots so that the annihilator of  $\mathfrak{m}'_+$  in  $\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  is spanned by the positive roots. According to Proposition 10.1 of Matsushima-Murakami the space  $L(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{m}'_+$  is a direct sum

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\omega\in\Omega'}X_{\omega}\right)\oplus X$$

of spaces invariant under K. X is of no importance.

$$\Omega' = \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \omega^{-1} \text{ takes positive compact roots to positive roots } \}.$$

The lowest weight of the representation of k on  $X_{\omega}$  is  $-\omega \wedge +g$ . As in their paragraph 11 one deduces the very strong vanishing theorem:

$$H^{p,q}(\pi,\mu) = 0$$
 if  $p + q \neq \pm \dim G/K$  provided  $(\Lambda,\alpha) > (q,\alpha)$  for all positive roots  $\alpha$ .

My notation (in particular my  $\Lambda$ ) differs from theirs. To treat the case p+q=N, I reformulate things in the language of people like Okamoto and Schmid although this is probably ultimately unnecessary.

I mention first a general type of cohomology problem which might interest you. It has been studied by M.S. Osborne. G: real semi-simple (or reductive),  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{h}$  a reductive subalgebra, the Lie algebra of H,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta$ : nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

William Casselman rewrote this letter of Langlands by hand. This typed version is based on Casselman's handwritten version, not the original one by Langlands.

We suppose  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  is the reductive part of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . s irreducible, admissible representation of G on Banach space U.  $U^{\infty}$  defined in obvious manner.  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  acts on  $U^{\infty}$ . Consider Lie algebra cohomology of  $\mathfrak{n}$  on  $U^{\infty}$ .  $C^q(\mathfrak{n}, U^{\infty})$  standard cochain complex. Semi-norm ||Xu||,  $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  gives topology on  $U^{\infty}$  and hence on  $C^q(\mathfrak{n}, U^{\infty})$ . Are coboundaries closed? Then  $H^q(\mathfrak{n}, U^{\infty})$  is a Banach space on which  $\mathfrak{h}$  acts. Does it have a finite composition series? If so, what is the relationship between s and the representations in the composition series?

In any case Okamoto-Ozeki (Osaka J. Math.) and Okamoto-Narasimhan (Annals) study these for our original  $\mathfrak{g}$  when  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ , the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}_+$  with  $s = \pi$  unitary. They study rather, if  $\tau_{\lambda}$  is an irreducible representation of K on  $V_{\lambda}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ ,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_K(V_{\lambda}, H^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^{\infty}))$$

which is the cohomology of the complex

$$\operatorname{Hom}_K(V_{\lambda}, C^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^{\infty}))$$

or, if  $\widetilde{V}_{\lambda} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(V_{\lambda}, \mathbf{C})$ , of the K-invariant elements in

$$\widetilde{V}_{\lambda} \otimes C^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^{\infty}).$$

In Okamoto-Ozeki, a Lapacian  $\square$  is introduced. On this complex it equals a scalar

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ (\lambda + g, \lambda + g) - (g, g) - \pi(\omega) \}$$

if  $\omega = \text{Casimir operator}$ . Thus the cohomology groups are 0 or the boundary operator is 0. Comparing this with the results of Matsushima-Murakami we see that  $H^{p,q}(U^{\infty},\mu)$  is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega' \\ \eta(\omega) = p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(V_{\lambda(\omega), H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}_{+}, U^{\infty})})$$

where  $\lambda(\omega) = \omega \wedge -g$ .  $\eta(\omega)$  is defined in Matsushima-Murakami. Note these results (in §§5, 11, 12) imply in particular that if  $\pi(\omega) = \mu(\omega)$  and as on p. 1 of this letter

$$L(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{m}'_{+} = \left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega'} X_{\omega}\right) \oplus X$$

then there are no K-invariant elements in  $U^{\infty} \otimes X \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{m}_{-}^{-}$ .

Schmid however considers the general problem above with  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$  (as above),  $\mathfrak{n}$  chosen to contain  $\mathfrak{m}_+$ , the subalgebra spanned by positive roots.

The groups  $H^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^{\infty})$  are finite-dimensional. Let  $\mathfrak{n}_0 = \mathfrak{n} \cap (\mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathbf{C})$ . According to my notes (not always reliable) a spectral sequence argument + finite-dimensional Borel-Weil shows that

$$H^n(\mathfrak{n}, U^n) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(\mathfrak{n}_0, H^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^\infty))$$

This must be in any case a generally accepted fact. Let T be the Cartan subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and let  $\lambda$  be a highest weight of  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to k (not  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Let  $W_{\lambda}$  be the corresponding 1-dimensional representation of T. By Borel-Weil again

$$\operatorname{Hom}_T(W_{\lambda}, H^n(\mathfrak{n}, U^{\infty})) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda_i = \lambda} \mathbf{C}$$

if as a K-module

$$H^q(\mathfrak{m}_+, U^\infty) \cong \bigoplus_i V_{\lambda_i}$$

But Schmid (Annals '71) shows that if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently regular the left-side is 0 unless  $\pi = \pi_{\lambda+g}$  in the discrete series. If  $\Lambda$  is sufficiently regular so are the  $\lambda(\omega)$  occurring in (\*) and  $\lambda(\omega) + g = \omega \Lambda$ . Retracing our steps backward from Schmid to Matsushima-Murakami via Okamoto-Ozeki, we get all the required vanishing.

To completely justify my assertion I have only to show that for sufficiently non-singular  $\Lambda$ , if  $\pi = \pi_{\omega\Lambda}$  the  $H^n(\pi, \mu) \cong \mathbf{C}$ .

It may be over-elaborate but I use the weak form of Blattner's conjecture has given in Schmid (Rice Studies) Theorem 2 to compute

(\*\*) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(\widetilde{L}(\mathbf{C})\otimes\Lambda^{p}\mathfrak{m}_{+}\otimes\Lambda^{q}\mathfrak{m}_{-},U^{\infty}\right)$$

where

$$\widetilde{L}(\mathbf{C}) = \operatorname{Hom}(L(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$$

Suppose  $s \in \Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$ , the real Weyl group, and

$$s\mu + s\rho_K - (\omega\Lambda + \rho_p) = \sum \delta$$

where  $\delta$  are positive with respect to an order putting  $\omega\Lambda$  in the positive chamber. With respect to this order  $\rho_K$  and  $\rho_p$  have the same meaning as in Schmid, so  $\rho = \rho_K + \rho_p = \omega g$ . If, with respect to this order,  $\mu$  is the highest weight of a representation occurring in (\*\*) (the first term) then  $s\mu$  is a weight and

$$s\mu = \omega \Lambda - \omega g = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j$$

where  $\gamma$  are positive in this new order,  $\alpha_i$  are positive and distinct in the old order,  $\beta_i$  are distinct and negative in the old order. Since  $\omega g = \rho$  and  $s\mu = \omega \Lambda + \rho_p - s\rho_K + \sum \delta$  we have

$$\sum \delta + \sum \gamma + \{\rho_K + s\rho_p\} + \{2\rho_p - \sum \alpha_i - \sum \rho_i\} = 0.$$

The two sums in brackets are sums of roots positive in the new order. Thus the sums over  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are 0 and  $s\rho_K = s$  so that s = 1. Moreover

$$2\rho_p = \sum \alpha_i + \sum \beta_i$$

This determines  $\mu$  uniquely. It is equal to

$$\omega \Lambda - \omega g + 2\rho_p = \omega \Lambda + \rho_p - \rho_K$$

Thus there is at most one  $\lambda$  such that  $\tau_{\lambda}$  occurs in the representation  $\widetilde{L}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \Lambda^{p} \mathfrak{m}_{+} \otimes \Lambda^{q} \mathfrak{m}_{-}$  for which

$$\operatorname{Hom}_K(V_\lambda, U^\infty) \neq 0$$

and for it the Hom is of dimension 1.

I hope that with this my work is justified.

Compiled on July 30, 2024.