Late June 1974

Dear Bill,

I have been ruminating further along the lines of our discussion and I now believe I can analyze the formal aspects of the situation and reduce everything to three specific representation-theoretic problems. Since we are leaving for Montreal today I don't have time to describe the analysis; that I shall postpone to our return. However let me pose the two problems to you now to spur you into solving them. I pose the first for groups quasi-split and split over an unramified extension. You may prefer, at the moment, to treat it only for Chevalley groups.

1. Does every irreducible factor of a unitary unramified principal series contain the trivial representation of some special maximal compact?

Of this problem you are of course already aware. It means that the group

$$C = \widehat{L}(T_{\rm ad}^0) / \operatorname{Im} \widehat{L}(T^0)$$

acts transitively on each  $\Pi_{\varphi}$ . Suppose  $\chi$  is the character of C trivial on the subgroup  $C_0$  of C acting trivially on  $\Pi_{\varphi}$ . Choose a special maximal compact  $K^0$  and hence  $\pi^0 \in \Pi_{\varphi}$ . If  $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r$  are the values taken by  $\chi$  set

$$\pi^{i} = \sum_{\substack{c \in C_0 \setminus C\\ \chi(c) = \zeta_i}} c \pi^{0}$$

so that

(\*)

Suppose M is a Levi factor of a PSG of G over F. Let  $S^0$  be  $T^0$  regarded as a CSG of M. We have

 $\pi_{\varphi} = \bigoplus \pi^i.$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{L}(T^0) & \longrightarrow & L^1(T^0_{\mathrm{ad}}) \\ & & & \downarrow^{(\mathrm{surjective})} \\ \widehat{L}(S^0) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{L}(S^0_{\mathrm{ad}}) \end{array}$$

If  $\chi$  a character of  $\widehat{L}(T^0_{ad})$ , can be obtained by pulling back a character of  $\widehat{L}(S^0_{ad})$  and if  $\tau_{\varphi}$  is the principal series of M corresponding to  $\varphi$  so that  $\pi_{\varphi}$  is obtained from  $\tau_{\varphi}$  buy a normalized induction, then the decomposition (\*) as a consequence of a corresponding decomposition

$$\tau_{\varphi} = \bigoplus \tau^i.$$

I shall try to convince you in a later letter that, given  $\chi$ , one can choose M so that  $M_{ad}$  is isomorphic over F to a product of groups of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{K/F} \operatorname{PSL}(m)$$

with K/F unramified.

These comments may be a help in solving the first problem. They also form an introduction to the second.

Take *n* unramified extensions  $K_i \ 1 \leq i \leq n$ , of *F* and take unramified extensions  $E_i/K_i$ of degrees  $m_i$ . Choose the basis of  $O_{E_i}$  over  $O_{K_i}$  (also one of  $E_i$ ) and use it to imbed  $E_i^{\times}$ in  $\operatorname{GL}(m_i, K_i)$ . Let *G* be a closed subgroup of  $\prod_i \operatorname{GL}(m_i, K_i)$  containing

$$\left\{g \mid \eta(g) \in \prod K_i^{m_i}\right\}$$

Here

$$\eta: g \to \prod \det g_i \in \prod K_i^{m_i}.$$

Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\prod K_i^{\times}$  trivial on  $\eta(G)$  and such that the kernel of  $\chi$  in  $K_i^{\times}$  is Nm  $E_i^{\times}$ 

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary unramified principal series representation of G and let  $\Pi$  be the set of irreducible components of its restrictions to G.

$$H = \prod K_i^{\times} / \eta(G)$$

acts on  $\Pi$ . Let its kernel be  $H^0$ .

2. If m = 1 and  $G = K^{\times}SL(m, K)$  then the inverse image of  $H^0$  in  $K^{\times}$  is  $\{\alpha \mid m \mid o(\alpha)\}$  if and only if  $\pi$  is a principal series representation corresponding to a character

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \alpha_m \end{pmatrix} \to \nu(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \zeta^{o(\alpha_2) + 2o(\alpha_2) + \dots + (m-1)o(\alpha_m)}$$

Here  $o(\alpha)$  is the order of  $\alpha$ .

Anyhow suppose  $H^0$  is contained in the kernel of  $\chi$ . Let  $\pi^0 \in \Pi$  be the representation containing the trivial representation of G in  $\prod_i \operatorname{GL}(m, O_{K_i})$ .

Form

$$\Theta = \sum_{H^0 \setminus H} \chi(h) \Theta_{h\pi^0}.$$

Let T be the set of all  $g = \prod g_i$  in G with  $g_i \in E_i^{\times}$ . It is clear that  $\Theta$ , which one has to prove is a function (this is known only in characteristic 0), has support in  $\bigcup_{g \in \prod \operatorname{GL}(m_i, K_i)} g^{-1}Tg$ .

It is clear that

$$\Theta(\gamma^w) = \Theta(w^{-1}\gamma w) = \chi(\eta(w))^{-1}\Theta(\gamma)$$

3. Find a formula for  $\Theta(\gamma)$  when  $\gamma \in T$  is regular. A suggestion Let

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$$

and fix

$$\gamma^0 = (\gamma_1^0, \dots, \gamma_r^0) \qquad E_i = K_i[\gamma_i^0].$$

For each  $i, \chi$  defines a character  $\chi_i$  of  $K_i^{\chi}$  and of  $\mathfrak{G}(E_i/K_i)$ 

$$\delta_j = \frac{\sum_{\tau \left( \mathfrak{G}(E_i/K_i) \right)} \chi_i(\tau) \tau(\gamma_i)}{\sum \chi_i(\tau) \tau(\gamma_i^0)} \in K_i^{\times}$$

if  $\gamma$  is regular. We may introduce

 $\prod \chi_i(\delta_i).$ 

Then

$$\Theta(\gamma) = c \prod \chi_i(\delta_i)$$

where c is a constant involving orders of Weyl groups and Gaussian sums for the characters  $\chi_i$ .

I hope to hear from you soon, Bob

Compiled on May 7, 2024.