Dear Bill,
As I said the Rapoport letter contains gaps. I still hope that my conjectural classification of points mod $p$ is alright, but it lies deeper than I thought. There are two major blunders. I misunderstood the situation in regard to lifting. It is more complicated than I imagined. But a careful study of Fontaine might take care of the difficulties. However I was also too facile and thinking that I had identified the action of the Frobenius. At the moment I don't see any way of dealing with this, even for the standard case of the group of symplectic similitudes. To give you an idea of what I had in mind, let me explain the situation in this case.

Start from an abelian variety $A \bmod p$ and polarization $\lambda$ on $A$. Let

$$
T^{p}(A)={\underset{(n, p)=1}{ } A_{n}, ~}_{\lim _{n}}
$$

be the Tate module, and $M$ the Dieudonné module of $\widetilde{A}$. Thus $M$ is a covariant functor. Let $V(\mathbf{Q})$ be the standard $2 n$-dimensional space over $\mathbf{Q}$ provided with the standard alternating form, and choose an isomorphism $x \rightarrow \psi(x)$ of $T^{p}(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ with $V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ compatible with the bilinear forms on the two spaces. Of course $\varphi$ is determined up to composition with an element of $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right), G$ being the group of symplectic similitudes. Let $N$ be $M \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Whenever we have a lattice $g V\left(\mathbf{Z}_{f}^{p}\right), g \in G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ in $V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$, and a Dieudonné submodule $M^{\prime}$ of $N$ whose dual, with respect to the bilinear form defined by the polarization, is a scalar multiple of itself, then we have a complete set of data for the moduli problem.

The associated abelian variety $A^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\psi} A \\
T^{p}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} T^{p}(A) \text { has image } \operatorname{ag} V\left(\mathbf{Z}_{f}^{p}\right) \text { with } a \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times} \\
M\left(A^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} M(A) \text { has image } c M^{\prime} \text { with the same } a .
\end{gathered}
$$

In other words there is an isogeny $A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\psi} A$ whose associated image in the Tate module or Dieudonné module has the indicated images. The polarization $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $A^{\prime}$ is that defined by the commutativity of


The identification of $T^{p}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ with $V\left(\mathbf{Z}_{f}^{p}\right)$ is obtained by composition

$$
T^{p}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow T^{p}(A) \longleftrightarrow V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right) \xrightarrow{g^{-1} a^{-1}} V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)
$$

The first question to ask is when $g_{1}, M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g_{2}, M_{2}^{\prime}$ define isomorphic data. If $\mathfrak{A}=$ End $A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ then an element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is simply an element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$
a g_{1} V\left(\mathbf{Z}_{f}^{p}\right) \subseteq g_{2} V\left(\mathbf{Z}_{f}^{p}\right)
$$

and

$$
a M_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq M_{2}^{\prime}
$$

It is an isomorphism if both relations are equalities. Note that we regard $\mathfrak{A}$ as acting on $V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$, by means of our identification, and on $N$. If $a \rightarrow \widetilde{a}$ is the involution on $\mathfrak{A}$ defined by the polarization then the associated isomorphism $A_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{2}^{\prime}$ takes $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\widetilde{a} a \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$. This equation defines a group $I(\mathbf{Q})$.

Consequently if $X$ is the set of all Dieudonné submodules of $N$ which are multiples of their duals then the set of points in our moduli space obtained from $A, \lambda$ is

$$
I(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right) \times X
$$

where $I(\mathbf{Q})$ is imbedded in $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ in the way indicated, and acts on $X$ through its action on $N$. Of course, for the true moduli problem one has to divide by an open compact subgroup of $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$, but the discussion is, I hope more transparent if one passes to the limit.

Notice that a high power of the Frobenius lies in $I(\mathbf{Q})$. Call it $\gamma$. Via the imbedding $I(\mathbf{Q}) \hookrightarrow G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right), \gamma$ defines an element of $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$. Since $T^{p}(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ is not uniquely fixed, it is only the conjugacy class of $\gamma$ which is uniquely determined.

Suppose we start from the same abelian variety $A$ but another polarization $\lambda^{\prime}$. Then there is a symmetric, positive element $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda \circ \eta$. We want to examine the conjugacy class associated to $\left(A, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$, and discover its relation to $\{\gamma\}$.

In $\mathfrak{A}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$

$$
\eta=\widetilde{c} c .
$$

Since, for any element $\rho$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$,

$$
\eta=\rho(\eta)=\rho(\widetilde{c}) \rho(c)
$$

the cocycle $D_{\rho}=\rho(c) c^{-1}$ satisfies $\widetilde{D}_{\rho} D_{\rho}=1$ and therefore lies in $I(\mathbf{Q})$. I claim that it is trivial over $\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}$ if and only if the conjugacy class $\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}$ associated to $A, \lambda^{\prime}$ is the same as $\{\gamma\}$. We have fixed $\varphi$ so that

$$
\{x, \lambda y\}=\alpha\langle\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\rangle
$$

for some $\alpha \in I_{f}^{p}$. Thus

$$
\left\{x, \lambda^{\prime} y\right\}=\langle x, \lambda \eta y\rangle=\alpha\langle\varphi(x), \psi(\eta y)\rangle=\alpha\langle\psi(x), \eta \psi(y)\rangle .
$$

For the last equality, we have identified $\eta$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ with its image in $\operatorname{End}\left(V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)\right)$. There is a $B$ in End $\left(V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\langle X, \eta Y\rangle=\langle B x, B y\rangle .
$$

If $\lambda$ is replaced by $\lambda^{\prime}$ then $\varphi$ can be replaced by $\varphi^{\prime}=B \varphi$, and $\gamma$ by $\gamma^{\prime}=B \gamma B^{-1}$. This is conjugate to $\gamma \Longleftrightarrow$ there is a $g$ in $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}\right)$ with $g B$ centralising $\gamma$, i.e. by Tate's theorem, in $\mathfrak{A}\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$. Then

$$
\langle X, \eta Y\rangle=\alpha^{\prime}\left\langle B_{1} X, B_{1} Y\right\rangle \quad \alpha^{\prime} \in I_{f}^{p} .
$$

In conclusion, $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are conjugate $\Longleftrightarrow \eta=\alpha^{\prime} \widetilde{B}_{1} B_{1}$ with $\widetilde{B}_{1}$ in $\mathfrak{A}\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$.

However if

$$
\eta=\alpha \widetilde{c} c=\alpha_{1} \widetilde{c}_{1} c_{1}
$$

with $c, c_{1} \in \mathfrak{A}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ then $c c_{1}^{-1} \in I(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ and

$$
\rho\left(c_{1} c^{-1}\right) \rho(c) c^{-1}\left(c c_{1}^{-1}\right)=\rho\left(c_{1}\right) c_{1}^{-1}
$$

This shows that the cocycle is well-defined, and that it is trivial over $\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}$ if and only if the $B_{1}$ above exists.

We are going to put the set corresponding to $(A, \lambda)$ and $\left(A, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ if the cocycle defined by $\eta$ is trivial at every finite place. Since $\eta$ has to be positive and symmetric, it is automatically trivial at infinity.

Since $H^{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}^{*}\right)$ is trivial, every cocycle trivial at infinity is defined by an $\eta$. Thus the number of sets $I(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right) \times X$ that we lump together is equal to the number of elements in $H^{1}(I)$ that are locally trivial everywhere.

There is a pointed to be noticed. Namely replacing $\lambda$ by $\lambda^{\prime}$ replaces $I(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ by

$$
I^{\prime}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})=\left\{c^{-1} h c \mid h \in I(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})\right\} .
$$

and $c^{-1} h c$ is rational if and only if

$$
\rho\left(c^{-1}\right) \rho(h) \rho(c)=c^{-1} h c
$$

or

$$
D_{\rho}^{-1} \rho(h) D_{\rho}=h .
$$

Thus $I^{\prime}$ is obtained from $I$ by twisting by the cocycle $D_{\rho}$. By Hasse's theorem, if it is trivial locally then $\operatorname{Ad} D_{\rho}$ is trivial. Thus the groups $I(\mathbf{Q})$ are in fact the same for all the $\left(A, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ lumped together with $(A, \lambda)$. However the imbeddings of $I(\mathbf{Q})$ in $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{D}\right)$ vary. This I did not stress in the DeKalb talk. In fact, I was not explicitly aware of it, but it is perhaps important.

So far, I have said nothing that was not formal. Now, I want to begin, and immediately difficulties arise. First of all, I want to say that given $(A, \lambda)$ I can find an isogenous $A^{\prime}$, so that if $\lambda^{\prime}$ is defined by

then $A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}$ can be lifted to $\widetilde{A}, \tilde{\lambda}$ over the ring of integers in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$, the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Then, if I have fixed $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, this gives me a variety over $\mathbf{C}$. Moreover, I want $\widetilde{A}$ to be of CM type, i.e. to contain a commutative endomorphism algebra, and I want that endomorphism algebra to be stable under the involution defined by $\widetilde{\lambda}$.

I gave in the Rapoport letter an argument for this. But I used a result on deformations which simply does not exist, and is even false, although an approximation may be true. None the less suppose $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}$ exist. Since the set associated to $A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}$ is the same as that associated to $A, \lambda$, I may as well suppose that $A=A^{\prime}$ and $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
T^{p}(\widetilde{A}) \leftrightarrow T^{p}(A)
$$

and the identification $T^{p}(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \leftrightarrow V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ may be taken to be that defined by $T^{p}(\widetilde{A}) \otimes$ $\mathbf{Q} \leftrightarrow V\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$, which in its turn may be taken to be that provided by an identification of $H_{1}(\widetilde{A}(\mathbf{C})) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ with $V(\mathbf{Q})$ preserving the alternating form. Now $\gamma$ becomes an endomorphism of $\widetilde{A}$ and hence defines an element of $G(\mathbf{Q})$. Moreover there is an associated $h$, that defining the Hodge structure of $H_{1}$ (or its inverse). This is the pair $(\gamma, h)$ associated to $(A, \lambda)$, or to the associated $I(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right) \times X$.

It is clear that the conjugacy class of this $\gamma$ in $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ is well-defined. If $(A, \lambda)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ determine a pair $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ which are conjugate in $G\left(\mathbf{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ then by Tate's theorem $A^{\prime}$ and $A$ are isogenous. Thus we have


Replacing $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left(A, \lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we might as well suppose that $A=A^{\prime}$. Then the above discussion shows that $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda \circ \eta$ and that the cocycle determined by $\eta$ is trivial at all primes different from $p$.

We are beginning to see how the conditions of my DeKalb lecture arose, but now we come to the most serious point which, alas!, I passed over two glibly in my letter to Rapoport.

I'm still supposing that $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}$ exist. We therefore have two objects on which $\gamma$ acts.

$$
Q=H^{1}(\widetilde{A}(\mathbf{Q})) \otimes k=T_{p}(\widetilde{A}) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_{p}} k \leftrightarrow V(k)=V\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes k
$$

and $N$. They are both provided with a bilinear form, and the associated involutions have the same effect on $\gamma$, namely replace it by $p \gamma^{-1}$. Thus, there is an isomorphism

$$
\psi: N \rightarrow Q=V\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes k
$$

which preserves the form, and commutes with the action of $\gamma$. If $\sigma$ is the Frobenius on $k$, then $\sigma$ acts on $N$ in a semi-linear fashion because $N$ has been obtained from a Dieudonné module. It also acts on $\mathbf{Q}$ through its action on $k$. There is therefore a $b$ so that

$$
\psi(\sigma(x))=b \sigma(\psi(x))
$$

If we modify $\psi$ to $B \psi$ with $B$ in $I^{0}(k)$, the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $G(k)$, and this is the only way we are allowed to modify it, then $b$ is replaced by $\operatorname{Bb\sigma }\left(B^{-1}\right)$.

It is this $b$, up to the indicated ambiguity, that I thought I had found (cf. especially the construction in the app[endix] to the paper on Shimura varieties submitted to the Can. Jour.) However, my argument is not complete, and I am beginning to believe that the problem of identifying it is much deeper than I had originally thought, and perhaps tied up with the questions in my Corvallis talk. Sometimes it can be verified, but I have not yet tried to see exactly when this is easy.

Finally I would like to add a remark which may make the condition at $p$ clearer. Suppose that $A, \lambda$ is the reduction of $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}$ and $E$ is a commutative endomorphism algebra of $\widetilde{A}$ stable under the involution defined by $\widetilde{\lambda}$, or rather the algebra tensored with $\mathbf{Q} . E$ acts on $N$ and on $Q$ and I may choose $\psi$ so that it respects the action of $E$.

Suppose that $\eta \in E$ and is positive and symmetric. Then $\widetilde{\lambda} \circ \eta$ is a polarization reducing to $\lambda \circ \eta$.
(a) Suppose $\langle X, \eta Y\rangle=\langle B X, B Y\rangle$ with $B$ in $\mathfrak{A}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$. In other words the cohomology class defined by $\eta$ is to be trivial at $p$. The map $\psi: N \rightarrow V\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes k$ is to [be] replaced by $B \psi$. Thus $\gamma \rightarrow B \gamma B^{-1}=\gamma$ and $b \rightarrow B b \sigma\left(B^{-1}\right)$. However $\mathfrak{A}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ consists precisely of those elements of End $N$ which commute with $\gamma$ and $\sigma$, i.e. in terms of its realization $B$ on $\mathbf{Q}$

$$
b \sigma(B) b^{-1}=B
$$

or

$$
B b \sigma\left(B^{-1}\right)=b
$$

Thus $\gamma$ and $b$ are left unchanged.
(b) At all events, $\eta$ comes from an endomorphism of $\widetilde{A}$ and thus its action on $V$ is defined over Q. Hence

$$
\langle X, \eta Y\rangle=\langle B X, B Y\rangle
$$

with $B$ an endomorphism of $V(\mathbf{Q})$. When $\lambda$ is replaced by $\lambda^{\prime}$ then $\psi$ must be replaced by $B \psi$. Thus $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^{\prime}=B \gamma B^{-1}$ and $b \rightarrow b^{\prime}=B b \sigma\left(B^{-1}\right)$. However there exists a $g \in G(k)$ so that $g \gamma g^{-1}=\gamma^{\prime}$. Thus $g^{-1} B$ commutes with $\gamma$. Suppose, and this is the condition for equivalence at $p$ introduced in the DeKalb lecture,

$$
b^{\prime}=a g b \sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(c^{-1}\right)
$$

with $c$ in the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $G(k)$. Then

$$
B^{-1} c g \gamma g^{-1} c^{-1} B=\gamma
$$

and

$$
b=B^{-1} c g b \sigma\left(g^{-1} c^{-1} B\right) .
$$

Thus $g^{-1} c^{-1} B$ lies in $\mathfrak{A}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\langle X, \eta Y\rangle=\langle B x, B y\rangle=\alpha\left\langle g^{-1} c^{-1} B X, g^{-1} c^{-1} B Y\right\rangle .
$$

It follows at the cocycle defined by $\eta$ is trivial in $I\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$.
Since the other demands of the DeKalb lecture are fairly simple, these remarks may give you some idea of what I had in mind. However I did not have in mind that the Rapoport letter was incomplete.

None the less I am not unhappy, only embarrassed, for the whole complex of problems associated with Shimura varieties is beginning to assume a coherence, and thus an attraction, that it lacked before. But can they be solved? Perhaps, but not before Rennes!

Yours
Bob.
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