Bill,

Let me try to clarify my question slightly by giving the classification of pairs (t', C) in the case G is the simply-connected form of C_2 . Then $G^{\hat{0}}$ is the adjoint form of $B_2(=C_2)$, that is the quotient of Sp(2) by its centre.

Up to conjugacy these are the following possibilities for X.

- (i) X = 0
- (ii) Rank X = 1, then we may take

(iii) Rank X = 2, then we may take

(iv) Rank X = 3, then we may take

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's look for the corresponding \hat{t} , up to conjugacy.

(i) \hat{t} is any diagonal matrix (modulo ± 1) up to the action of the Weyl group. If $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}(t)$ is defined by

 $|\lambda(t)| = q^{+\langle\lambda,\hat{\lambda}\rangle}$ (I worry about the sign)

define $\chi = \chi(\widehat{t})$ by

$$\chi(t) = \lambda(t).$$

The representation associated to $(\hat{t}, 0)$ are the constituents of $PS(\chi)$ which contain the trivial representation of a good maximal compact.

Example. Let $\widehat{\alpha}(\widehat{t}) = q$ for every simple positive root $\widehat{\alpha}$. Then if δ is one-half the sum of the positive roots of G,

$$\chi(t) = q^{\left\langle \delta, \widehat{\lambda}(t) \right\rangle} = \left| \delta(t) \right|$$

so that the representation corresponding to $(\hat{\lambda}, 0)$ in this case is the trivial representation.

(ii) one possible choice of \hat{t} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & q^{1/2} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

By basic general facts any other possible \hat{t} is conjugate to an element in the normalizer of

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Any element in the normalizer of this group which maps X into a multiple of itself lies in the group. Thus up to conjugacy we may take

$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & \pm q^{1/2} & & \\ & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & \pm q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Replacing a by a^{-1} does not change the conjugacy class of (\hat{t}, X) . Recall also that \hat{t} and $-\hat{t}$ are to be identified. Consider the parabolic subgroup of C given by

Note: both G and $G^{\hat{0}}$ have been taken in the C_2 form. Thus we have to be careful about the pair in between L and \hat{L} .

$$L = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \qquad \Delta : \alpha = (1, -1) \quad \beta = (0, 2)$$
$$\widehat{L} \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \qquad \widehat{\Delta} : \widehat{\beta} = (1, -1) \quad \widehat{\alpha} = (0, 2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x,y) \in L \quad (u,v) \in \widehat{L} \qquad & \left\langle (x,y), (u,v) \right\rangle = \frac{v(x-y)}{2} + u\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ & = \frac{u+v}{2} \cdot x + \frac{u-v}{2} \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

Thus \widehat{L} is associated to the following character:

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & \beta & \\ & & \alpha^{-1} \\ & & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widehat{\lambda}(t) = (m+n, m-n) \\ |\alpha| = q^{+m} \quad |\beta| = q^{+n} \\ \chi(t) = a^{m+n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m-n)}$$

Since

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0\\ 0 & {A'}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{A\} = \operatorname{GL}(2)$$

and since χ is a character which defines a special representation σ of GL(2), we may induce σ (with the usual modifications) from P up to G. If we take |a| = 1, the representation associated to (\hat{t}, X) should be any constituent of the result—which is unitary. Otherwise we may choose |a| > 1 and then peel off a representation from the top as for real groups. This would then be the representation associated to (\hat{t}, X) .

(iii) One possible choice of \hat{t} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{1/2} & & & \\ & q^{-1/2} & & \\ & & q^{-1/2} & \\ & & & q^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Any possible choice of \hat{t} is conjugate to something in the normalizer of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & b & & \\ & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The possible choices of \hat{t} are of the following types

(a)

$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} aq^{1/2} & & & \\ & aq^{-1/2} & & \\ & & a^{-1}q^{-1/2} & \\ & & & a^{-1}q^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(b)

$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} & & aq^{1/2} \\ & -aq^{-1/2} & \\ \frac{q^{1/2}}{a} & & \\ \frac{-q^{1/2}}{a} & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Any two two matrices in (b) are conjugate to each other by something which centralizes X. Thus they should yield exactly one representation which should be *square-integrable*. Notice that in (i), (ii), (iii)(a) we can find a Levi factor $M^{\hat{0}}$ of a proper parabolic $P^{\hat{0}}$ such that $\hat{t} \in M^{\hat{0}}$ and X lies in the Lie algebra of $M^{\hat{0}}$. This is however not possible for (iii)(b).

Look at (iii)(a). a and a^{-1} may be interchanged. Choose the following parabolic of G.

A torus in M is

 \hat{t} defines the following quasi-character. $|\alpha| = q^m |\beta| = q^n$

$$\widehat{\lambda}(t) = (m+n, m-n)$$
$$\chi(t) = a^{2m}q^n$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & & \\ & \beta & & \\ & & \alpha^{-1} & \\ & & & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$

This character defines a special representation of M. Proceed now as in (ii).

(iv) By general facts there is only one possibility for \hat{t} .

$$\widehat{t} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} q^{3/2} & & & \\ & q^{1/2} & & \\ & & q^{-3/2} & \\ & & & q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding representation is the Steinberg representation. Again (\hat{t}, X) is contained in a Levi factor of no proper parabolic.

Compiled on December 22, 2023.