Bill,

Let me try to clarify my question slightly by giving the classification of pairs (t', C) in the case G is the simply-connected form of  $C_2$ . Then  $G^{\widehat{0}}$  is the adjoint form of  $B_2(=C_2)$ , that is the quotient of Sp(2) by its centre.

Up to conjugacy these are the following possibilities for X.

- (i) X = 0
- (ii) Rank X = 1, then we may take

(iii) Rank X = 2, then we may take

(iv) Rank X = 3, then we may take

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's look for the corresponding  $\hat{t}$ , up to conjugacy.

(i)  $\hat{t}$  is any diagonal matrix (modulo  $\pm 1$ ) up to the action of the Weyl group. If  $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}(t)$  is defined by

$$\left|\lambda(t)\right| = q^{+\langle\lambda,\widehat{\lambda}\rangle}$$
 (I worry about the sign)

define  $\chi = \chi(\widehat{t})$  by

$$\chi(t) = \widehat{\lambda}(\widehat{t}).$$

The representation associated to  $(\hat{t}, 0)$  are the constituents of  $PS(\chi)$  which contain the trivial representation of a good maximal compact.

**Example.** Let  $\widehat{\alpha}(\widehat{t}) = q$  for every simple positive root  $\widehat{\alpha}$ . Then if  $\delta$  is one-half the sum of the positive roots of G,

$$\chi(t) = q^{\langle \delta, \widehat{\lambda}(t) \rangle} = |\delta(t)|$$

so that the representation corresponding to  $(\hat{\lambda}, 0)$  in this case is the trivial representation.

(ii) one possible choice of  $\hat{t}$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & q^{1/2} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

By basic general facts any other possible  $\hat{t}$  is conjugate to an element in the normalizer of

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Any element in the normalizer of this group which maps X into a multiple of itself lies in the group. Thus up to conjugacy we may take

$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & \pm q^{1/2} & & \\ & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & \pm q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Replacing a by  $a^{-1}$  does not change the conjugacy class of  $(\hat{t}, X)$ . Recall also that  $\hat{t}$  and  $-\hat{t}$  are to be identified. Consider the parabolic subgroup of C given by

Note: both G and  $G^{\widehat{0}}$  have been taken in the  $C_2$  form. Thus we have to be careful about the pair in between L and  $\widehat{L}$ .

$$L = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$$
  $\Delta : \alpha = (1, -1)$   $\beta = (0, 2)$   $\widehat{L} \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$   $\widehat{\Delta} : \widehat{\beta} = (1, -1)$   $\widehat{\alpha} = (0, 2)$ 

$$(x,y) \in L \quad (u,v) \in \widehat{L}$$
 
$$\langle (x,y), (u,v) \rangle = \frac{v(x-y)}{2} + u\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{u+v}{2} \cdot x + \frac{u-v}{2} \cdot y$$

Thus  $\widehat{L}$  is associated to the following character:

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & \beta & & \\ & & \alpha^{-1} & \\ & & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\lambda}(t) &= (m+n, m-n) \\ & |\alpha| &= q^{+m} \quad |\beta| &= q^{+n} \end{aligned}$$
$$\chi(t) = a^{m+n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m-n)}$$

Since

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{A\} = GL(2)$$

and since  $\chi$  is a character which defines a special representation  $\sigma$  of GL(2), we may induce  $\sigma$  (with the usual modifications) from P up to G. If we take |a|=1, the representation associated to  $(\widehat{t},X)$  should be any constituent of the result—which is unitary. Otherwise we may choose |a|>1 and then peel off a representation from the top as for real groups. This would then be the representation associated to  $(\widehat{t},X)$ .

(iii) One possible choice of  $\hat{t}$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{1/2} & & & & \\ & q^{-1/2} & & & \\ & & q^{-1/2} & & \\ & & & q^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Any possible choice of  $\widehat{t}$  is conjugate to something in the normalizer of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & b & & \\ & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The possible choices of  $\hat{t}$  are of the following types

(a) 
$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} aq^{1/2} & & & \\ & aq^{-1/2} & & & \\ & & a^{-1}q^{-1/2} & \\ & & & a^{-1}q^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) 
$$\widehat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} & & aq^{1/2} \\ & & -aq^{-1/2} \\ & \frac{q^{1/2}}{a} \\ \frac{-q^{1/2}}{a} & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Any two two matrices in (b) are conjugate to each other by something which centralizes X. Thus they should yield exactly one representation which should be *square-integrable*. Notice that in (i), (ii), (iii)(a) we can find a Levi factor  $M^{\hat{0}}$  of a proper parabolic  $P^{\hat{0}}$  such that  $\hat{t} \in M^{\hat{0}}$  and X lies in the Lie algebra of  $M^{\hat{0}}$ . This is however not possible for (iii)(b).

Look at (iii)(a). a and  $a^{-1}$  may be interchanged. Choose the following parabolic of G.

A torus in M is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & & \\ & \beta & & \\ & & \alpha^{-1} & \\ & & & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $\hat{t}$  defines the following quasi-character.  $|\alpha| = q^m |\beta| = q^n$ 

$$\widehat{\lambda}(t) = (m+n, m-n)$$

$$\chi(t) = a^{2m}q^n$$

This character defines a special representation of M. Proceed now as in (ii).

(iv) By general facts there is only one possibility for  $\hat{t}$ .

$$\widehat{t} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} q^{3/2} & & & \\ & q^{1/2} & & \\ & & q^{-3/2} & \\ & & & q^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding representation is the Steinberg representation. Again  $(\hat{t}, X)$  is contained in a Levi factor of no proper parabolic.

Yours, Bob Compiled on May 7, 2024.