Dear Harish-Chandra,

Thank you for paper No. 1. I have been slow in responding to your letter because there is something I want to mention to you that I had to clarify it for myself.

Unfortunately I do not have my notes for the talks I gave in 62/63 with me so I cannot be sure exactly what I did prove then. Let me tell you what I still remember how to prove. Suppose G is connected,  $\gamma$  is regular, the Cartan subalgebra j which  $\gamma$  centralizes is non-compact, and the integral

(a) 
$$\int_{G_{\gamma}\backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g) d\bar{g}$$

is absolutely convergent. These are the conditions of which I am not sure; besides they could be weakened or at least changed by applying results available at the time and Th 3 of your paper No. 5 (Inv. Eig.-Dist. on a S.S.L.G)<sup>1</sup> however it was also necessary to suppose (and this is the real weakness of my result) that f is a finite linear combination of functions of the form  $(\pi(g)\phi,\phi)$  where  $\pi$  is an irreducible integrable representation of G and  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  transform according to some finite-dimensional representation of K. The conclusion was of course that under these conditions the integral (a) vanished. As I recall the proof was as follows.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the non-compact part of j, let A be the group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  and as usual let G = ANMK. It is enough to show that

$$\int_{N} f(ng) \, dn = 0 \qquad \text{for all } g \in G$$

and it is not hard to show that this is a consequence of the vanishing almost everywhere of

(b) 
$$\int_{G} \varphi(gh) f(h) \, dh$$

for all infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi$  on  $N \setminus G$  with compact support. If  $f(g) = (\pi(g)\phi, \phi)$  this will follow if it is shown that  $\pi$  does not occur discretely in the regular representation of G on  $L^2(N \setminus G)$ . To show this one shows that the Casimir operator has no eigenfunctions in  $L^2(N \setminus G)$  and if this is done by utilizing the Fourier transform with respect to A, which acts on  $N \setminus G$  to the left. I once mentioned this procedure to you and you indicated that you were familiar with it.

Finally I should like to make a comment, which might interest you, about the kind of integrals which occur in the process of getting a trace formula. If

$$\lambda(f)\phi(g) = \int_G \phi(gh)f(h) dh \qquad (\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie group, 1964, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 119:457–508

the problem is to express the trace T(f) of the restriction of  $\lambda(f)$  to the space of cusp forms in terms of  $T_{\pi}(f)$ , or better  $\pi(f)$ ,  $\pi$  irreducible and unitary. T(f) is of course an invariant "distribution" and if  $\Gamma \setminus G$  one is led to express it in terms of integrals (a), also invariant, and the problem is to calculate the Fourier transforms of the latter distributions. If, however,  $\Gamma \setminus G$  is not compact the process envisaged by Selberg is such that one is led to the problem of expressing certain elementary but not invariant "distributions" in terms of the various  $\pi(f)$ . I am still unable to reduce the contribution from the non-semi-simple elements to the trace form to the elementary form; however, I believe I am now in a position to reduce the contribution from the semi-simple elements to an elementary form. Let me describe to you the kind of integral that results. To understand the following replace percuspidal by minimal parabolic/ $\mathbf{Q}$ .

Let P be a percuspidal subgroup, let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a split component of P, and let  $\Omega$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{a}$  (normalizer/centralizer). A distinguished subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a subspace defined by the vanishing of a subset of the set of simple roots. If  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  are two distinguished subspaces  $\Omega(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)$  is the set of all linear transformations from  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  obtained by restricting elements of  $\Omega$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_1$ .  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  are called associate if  $\Omega(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)$  is not empty. To each distinguished subspace there corresponds a cuspidal (read parabolic/ $\mathbb{Q}$ ) subgroup. Let  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  be a distinguished subspace; let  $\mathfrak{a}^1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}^r$  be the distinguished subspaces associate to  $\mathfrak{a}^0$ . Let  $P^i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , be the cuspidal subgroup corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}^i$ ; if  $A^i$  is the connected group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}^i$  then  $P^i = A^i S^i$  ( $S^i = M^i N^i$  is a normal subgroup of  $P^i$ ). Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G (suppose G is semi-simple with finite centre) and suppose there is a Cartan involution with  $\theta(H) = -H$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\theta(X) = X$ ,  $X \in k$ .

Suppose  $\sigma \in \Omega(\mathfrak{a}^0, \mathfrak{a}^i)$ ; let  $s(\sigma)$  be a representative of  $\sigma$  in the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . If  $s(\sigma)g = bsk$ ,  $b \in A^i$ ,  $s \in S^i$ ,  $k \in K$  let  $H_{\sigma}(g)$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  be such that

$$\exp H\sigma(g) = s^{-1}(\sigma)b^{-1}s(\sigma)$$

 $H_{\sigma}(g)$  depends only on  $\sigma$  and g. The points  $H_{\sigma}(g)$ ,  $\sigma \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \Omega(\mathfrak{a}^{0}, \mathfrak{a}^{i})$  are the vertices of a convex polyhedron in  $\mathfrak{a}^{0}$ . Let the volume of this polyhedron be  $\xi(g)$ .  $\xi(g)$  is a function on  $A^{0}M^{0}\backslash G$ . Suppose  $\gamma$  is such that  $A^{0}\subseteq G_{\gamma}\subseteq A^{0}M^{0}$ . The integral I want to draw to your attention is

$$\int_{G_{\gamma}\backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g)\xi(g) \, d\bar{g}.$$

Yours truly,

Bob Langlands

Compiled on February 14, 2025.