Dear Roger,

You observed to me several years ago that a cuspidal representation of a Chevalley group G over a finite field $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$ yielded by induction an absolutely cuspidal representation over the local field F itself. I was intrigued, for as you know I am always trying to understand my Washington problems better and, in particular, always looking for examples in which the suggestions I made there could be tested.

I decided that the representations obtained in the above manner should correspond to homomorphisms φ of the Weil group into the associate group with the following two properties:

(i) As usual φ is realized as a homomorphism of the Weil group $W_{K/F}$ at a finite level

$$1 \longrightarrow K^{\times} \longrightarrow W_{K/F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}(K/F) \longrightarrow 1$$

and $\varphi(w)$ is semi-simple for all w. The first new property to be insisted upon is that φ be tamely ramified, that is, be trivial on $1 + \mathfrak{p}_K$. Moreover K is to be unramified but arbitrarily large.

(ii) The image $\varphi(W_{K/F})$ is contained in no proper parabolic subgroup of \widehat{G} , which is, because G is a Chevalley group, a direct product of its connected component $G^{\widehat{o}}$ and $\mathfrak{G}(K/F)$.

Then I tried to check this for Sp(4) by using Mrs. Srinivasan's results. Everything was almost perfect. To each such homomorphism there corresponded, as I shall describe later, in a fairly natural way finitely many cuspidal representations of Sp(4, κ) where $\kappa = \mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$. There was, alas, one difficulty. It was not clear what to do with the anomalous representation. I have been puzzled by this representation ever since. Your recent letter suggests a way out. You probably know whether or not it is feasible; so I would appreciate your comments. However I have first to describe the difficulty. What I want to verify first is that the possible φ , which are of course determined only up to conjugacy by elements of $G^{\widehat{o}}$, correspond in a 1:1 manner to pairs consisting of an anisotropic torus T over φ , the residue field of F, and a "non-degenerate" character of $T(\varphi)$. First of all let me deduce some consequences of (i) and (ii) and the other usual conditions on φ . Since G is a Chevalley group, G is a direct product $G^{\widehat{o}} \times \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$. Since φ composed with $\widehat{G} \to \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$ must be the standard map $W_{K/F} \to \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$, we may regard φ as a homomorphism of $W_{K/F}$ into $G^{\widehat{o}}$.

We may divide by $1 + \mathfrak{p}_K \subset K^{\times}$ to get an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \kappa^{\times} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here κ is the residue field of K. $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the Frobenius. If q is the number of elements in ϕ then $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ acts on κ^{\times} by $\theta \longrightarrow \theta^{q^z}$. The extension is split.

Since κ^{\times} is cyclic Theorem E.5.16 of Borel et al., Seminar on algebraic groups together with its proof shows that there is a torus $\widehat{T} \subset G^{\widehat{o}}$ which contains $\varphi(\kappa^{\times})$ and is normalized by $\varphi(\mathbf{Z})$. Set $\omega = \varphi(1)$. It is the image of $\varphi(1)$. (Observe: one usual demand is that the image of φ consist of semi-simple elements.)

Claim. \widehat{T} is the connected component of the centralizer of the image of $\varphi(\kappa^{\times})$.

Observe that, because of (ii), ω , which normalizes T, can fix no rational character of T. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be the centralizer of $\varphi(\kappa^{\times})$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the Lie algebra of $G^{\widehat{o}}$. $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is reductive and is normalized by ω . By Gantmacher (Mat. Sb. (1939)) there is a Cartan subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}$ and a Borel subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ normalized by ω . Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ clearly has the same rank as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ we may suppose $\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}$ is the Lie algebra of \widehat{T} . Then ω fixes the sum of the simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}$ with respect to $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus the sum must be 0. That is, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \widehat{\mathfrak{t}}$ as required.

Corollary. If $\widehat{\alpha}$ is a root of \widehat{T} there is a $\theta \in \kappa^{\times}$ such that $\widehat{\alpha}(\varphi(\theta)) \neq 1$.

The Weyl group of \widehat{T} is the same as the Weyl group of T_0 , a split Cartan subgroup of G. Thus the image of ω in the Weyl group can be used to twist T_0 to T, a Cartan subgroup of G over ϕ . T is anisotropic.

L: lattice of rational characters of T_0 \widehat{L} : lattice of rational characters of \widehat{T} $\widehat{L} = \operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbf{Z})$.

Notice $T(\kappa) \simeq \widehat{L} \otimes \kappa^{\times}$. If θ is a fixed generator of κ^{\times} then we write $\widehat{\lambda} \otimes \theta = \theta^{\widehat{\lambda}}$. This represents an arbitrary element of $T(\kappa)$. The Frobenius sends

$$\theta^{\widehat{\lambda}} \longrightarrow \theta^{q\omega\widehat{\lambda}}.$$

Thus if s is the order of κ^{\times}

$$T(\phi) = \left\{ \theta^{\widehat{\lambda}} \mid q\omega \widehat{\lambda} - \widehat{\lambda} \in s\widehat{L} \right\}.$$

Thus the characters of $T(\phi)$ are the characters of

$$\left\{\widehat{\lambda} \mid q\omega\widehat{\lambda} - \widehat{\lambda} \in s\widehat{L}\right\} \mod s\widehat{L}.$$

On the other hand, \widehat{T} and $\omega = \varphi(1)$ being given, consider all ways of defining φ on κ^{\times} . We have only to define $\varphi(\theta)$ or

$$\widehat{\lambda}(\varphi(\theta)), \quad \theta \in \widehat{L}.$$

The condition is

$$\widehat{\lambda} \big(\varphi(\theta^q) \big) = \widehat{\lambda} \Big(\omega \big(\varphi(\theta) \big) \Big) = \omega^{-1} \widehat{\lambda} \big(\varphi(\theta) \big)$$

or

$$q\omega\widehat{\lambda}(\varphi(\theta)) = \widehat{\lambda}(\varphi(\theta)).$$

Thus the set of possible φ is, since $\widehat{\lambda}(\varphi(\theta))$ must be an s^{th} root of unity, the set of characters of

(**)
$$\widehat{L} \mod (q\omega - 1)\widehat{L} + s\widehat{L}$$
.

Since $n = \det(q\omega - 1)$ is prime to p we may choose K so large that it is divisible by s. Set $M = q\omega - 1 : \widehat{L} \longrightarrow \widehat{L}$. There is an N such that

$$MN = n$$
.

If $\widehat{\lambda} \in \widehat{L}$ and $\frac{s}{n}N\widehat{\lambda} = \widehat{\mu}$ then $M\widehat{\mu} \in s\widehat{L}$. If $\widehat{\lambda} = M\widehat{\nu}$ then $\widehat{\mu} \in s\widehat{L}$. Thus $\frac{s}{n}N$ defines a map from the group (**) to the group (*). It is easily seen to be an isomorphism. The character groups are also isomorphic.

The φ associated to a character of (**) will satisfy (ii) if and only if the character is 1 on no root $\widehat{\alpha}$. A character of (*) will therefore be called non-degenerate if it is 1 on no $\widehat{\beta} = \frac{s}{n} N \widehat{\alpha}$, $\widehat{\alpha}$ a root. Observe also that \widehat{T} being given ω is only determined up to conjugacy within the normalizer and that only the image of ω in the Weyl group matters for ω can be replaced by $t\omega t^{-1} = t\omega(t^{-1})\omega$, $t \in \widehat{T}$ and $t\omega(t^{-1})$ is arbitrary because ω fixes no rational character. The image of ω in the Weyl group being given, $\varphi(\theta)$ is determined only up to the action of the centralizer of ω in the Weyl group. This means that the character of $T(\phi)$ is only determined up to the action of the Weyl group of T over ϕ .

The group Sp(4). There are two possibilities for ω .

- (i) Rotation through 90°. The centralizer has order 4.
- (ii) Rotation through 180°. The centralizer has order 8.

If we represent the roots of T as $(x, y) \longrightarrow x - y, x + y, 2x, 2y$, then the dual roots may be represented as

$$\widehat{\alpha}_1$$
 $\widehat{\alpha}_2$ $\widehat{\alpha}_3$ $\widehat{\alpha}_4$ $(1,-1)$ $(1,1)$, $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$.

These roots generate \widehat{L} .

(i) Choosing $\widehat{\alpha}_3$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_4$ as a basis

$$q\omega - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & q \\ -q & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has determinant $q^2 + 1$ and

$$(q\omega - 1)\widehat{L} = \{(u, v) \mid q^2 + 1|qu - v\}.$$

The quotient of \widehat{L} by this is cyclic of order q^2+1 . It is generated by $\widehat{\alpha}_3$, $\widehat{\alpha}_4$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_1$, $\widehat{\alpha}_2$ generate the subgroups of index 2 for gcd $(q^2+1,q+1)=\gcd(q^2+1,q-1)=2$. (We are taking q odd.) Thus a character is non-degenerate if and only if it is not of order 2. There are q^2-1 such characters which break up into $\frac{q^2-1}{4}$ orbits under the action of the Weyl group.

(ii) Here

$$q\omega - 1 = -\begin{pmatrix} q+1 & 0\\ 0 & q+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now break the characters of the group (**) into two classes.

- (a) Those which do not take $\hat{\alpha}_3$ or $\hat{\alpha}_4$ into ± 1 .
- (b) Those which do.

These are easily seen to be (q-1)(q-3) non-degenerate characters of the first type which break up into $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8}$ orbits under the Weyl group. There are 2(q-1) non-degenerate characters of the second type. They break up into $\frac{q-1}{2}$ orbits.

Comparison with Mrs. Srinivasan's classification (cf. also p. D-44–D-45 of Borel et al.).

- (i) The φ 's which correspond to an ω of type (i) correspond in a 1:1 fashion with the cuspidal characters $\chi_1(j)$ of Mrs. Srinivasan.
- (ii) (a) These φ 's correspond in a 1:1 fashion to the cuspidal characters $\chi_4(k,\ell)$.

(b) To each of these φ 's correspond **two** cuspidal representations of G, one of type $\xi'_{21}(k)$, one of type $\xi'_{22}(k)$.

That one φ should correspond to more than one representation is not surprising. This happens already over \mathbf{R} .

We have now accounted for every cuspidal representation but one, the anomalous representation of Mrs. Srinivasan.

Difficulty: How does the general prediction account for the anomalous representation? Four possibilities present themselves.

- (1) To one of the φ above there corresponds an extra representation, the anomalous one.
- (2) The anomalous representation corresponds either to some homomorphism of the Weil group into \widehat{G} which does not satisfy (i) and (ii) or to some homomorphism of the Galois group into the ℓ -adic \widehat{G} (note: \widehat{G} can be defined over any field and in particular over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$). Thus the anomalous representation could be special.
- (3) There are algebro-geometric objects (motives) over \mathbf{Q}_p which do not yield ℓ -adic representations into \widehat{G} over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ but yet correspond to representations of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$.
- (4) There are representations of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ which do not correspond to algebro-geometric objects.

The last two possibilities entail such complications that one fervently hopes they do not occur. The first *seems* to be excluded on grounds of symmetry. There is no obvious way to guess the appropriate φ . This leaves the second possibility. There is an experiment which can be performed to test this assumption. You are I suppose in a position to perform it. Let me describe the experiment.

Experiment: Consider $G = \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ the symplectic group on 2n variables. $G^{\widehat{o}}$ is the orthogonal group in 2n+1 variables. Consider an orthogonal group H in 2n variables. $H^{\widehat{o}}$ is also the orthogonal group in 2n variables. There is an obvious imbedding $H^{\widehat{o}} \hookrightarrow G^{\widehat{o}}$. \widehat{G} is a direct product $G^{\widehat{o}} \times \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$. Suppose H is an outer form. Then \widehat{H} is a semi-direct product $H^{\widehat{o}} \times \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$. We can imbed $\widehat{H} \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$ extending $H^{\widehat{o}} \hookrightarrow G^{\widehat{o}}$. Namely realize $G^{\widehat{o}}$ as the adjoint group of the orthogonal group of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We map $1 \times \sigma \in H^{\widehat{o}} \times \mathfrak{G}(K/F)$ onto $1 \times \sigma$ or onto

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & I & & \\ & 1 & 0 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \times \sigma$$

according as σ does or does not act trivially on the Dynkin diagram of H.

According to the *expected* functoriality this map $\psi: \widehat{H} \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$ should carry with it a map from L-indistinguishable classes of representations of H to L-indistinguishable classes of representations of G.

According to Gelbart's paper *Holomorphic Discrete Series for the Real Symplectic Group* this functoriality can over the reals be realized in the following concrete manner.

Take the Weil representation in $L^2(M_{2m,m})$ $(M_{2m,m})$ are the $2m \times m$ matrices) and decompose according to the action of SO(2m). The representation of Sp(2m) associated to a representation ρ of SO(2m) in this way lies in the L-indistinguishable class $\Pi_{\psi,\eta}$ if ρ lies in Π_{η} (notation of my preprint On the classification ...). In any case to get at least one element of the L-indistinguishable class of representations of G corresponding to ρ one works with the Weil representation in the usual way.

Presumably the same is true over a p-adic field. Thus the difficulty could be resolved by an answer to the following question.

Question: Does the anomalous representation or rather the corresponding induced representation occur in the Weil representation of $Sp(4, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ defined by an anisotropic quadratic form in four variables? If so, for what forms, and for which representations of the special orthogonal group of the form?

I hazard the guess that it is a one-dimensional representation of the special orthogonal group which is relevant. I could make further guesses but I prefer to wait for your response, for I believe you are able to answer the question.

Deinen jüngsten Brief habe ich gestern bekommen. Es würde mich freuen, dein Manuskript lesen zu dürfen.*

Mit herzlichem Gruße

Dein Bob

^{*}Roger Howe had just spent a year in Bonn.

Compiled on December 22, 2023.