

## APPENDIX TO LETTER TO SERGE LANG—DECEMBER 17, 1970

It is enough to prove the relation

$$\xi_{\hat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^{\langle 2\rho, \hat{\mu} \rangle}$$

when  $\tilde{G}$ , and therefore also  $\hat{G}_0$  is simple.  $\epsilon^2$  certainly lies in the Cartan subgroup of  $\hat{G}_0$  corresponding to  $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ .  $\hat{G}$  acts as a group of automorphisms of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  (of course not faithfully). Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the Killing form on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .  $\hat{G}$  preserves the Killing form. Let  $e_{\hat{\alpha}}$  be a root vector. Then

$$(e_{\hat{\alpha}}, \epsilon e_{\hat{\alpha}}) \neq 0$$

and

$$(e_{\hat{\alpha}}, \epsilon e_{\hat{\alpha}}) = (\epsilon e_{\hat{\alpha}}, \epsilon^2 e_{\hat{\alpha}}) = \xi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\epsilon^2)(\epsilon e_{\hat{\alpha}}, e_{\hat{\alpha}}) = \xi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\epsilon^2)(e_{\hat{\alpha}}, \epsilon e_{\hat{\alpha}})$$

because the Killing form is symmetric. Thus  $\xi_{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$ . Since  $\langle \rho, \hat{\alpha} \rangle$  is integral and in fact equal to 1 for simple  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,

$$\xi_{\hat{\alpha}} = (-1)^{\langle 2\rho, \hat{\alpha} \rangle}.$$

In particular the assertion is true in those cases that  $\hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_+$ . Thus when  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is of type  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $G_2$ .

In the other cases let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the top root (as defined in Freudenthal-de Vries). Then  $\omega e_{\hat{\beta}} = \eta e_{\hat{\beta}}$ , with  $\eta = \pm 1$ , and  $\omega e_{-\hat{\beta}} = \eta e_{-\hat{\beta}}$ . Thus, taking the standard isomorphism of the group corresponding to

$$\text{Span}\{e_{\hat{\beta}}, e_{-\hat{\beta}}, [e_{\hat{\beta}}, e_{-\hat{\beta}}]\}$$

with  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\omega$  corresponds to the trivial automorphism if  $\eta = 1$  and to the inner automorphism determined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $\eta = -1$ . The reflection corresponding to  $\hat{\beta}$  is determined by

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\omega \delta \omega^{-1} = \delta$  if  $\eta = +1$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $\eta = -1$ . We regard  $\delta$  as an element of  $\hat{G}_0$ . It takes every root which is not orthogonal to  $\hat{\beta}$  to a negative root. The roots orthogonal to  $\hat{\beta}$  are linear combinations of the simple roots orthogonal to  $\hat{\beta}$ .

We consider the various types separately.  $\hat{H}_0$  will be the group corresponding to the algebra generated by root vectors belonging to roots orthogonal to  $\hat{\beta}$ .  $\hat{H}_0$  is invariant under  $\omega$ .  $\hat{H}$  will be  $\hat{H}_0 \cup \omega \hat{H}_0$ . If  $\hat{H}_0$  is simple we may suppose our assertion is proved for  $\hat{H}$ . We use the tables in Fr.-De Vries.

(i)  $A_\ell$ : We identify  $L_-$  and  $\widehat{L}_-$  and  $L_+$  and  $\widehat{L}_+$  as in the previous letter.

$$2\rho = (n-1, n-3, \dots, -(n-1)), \quad n = \ell + 1.$$

Here  $\omega$ , acting on  $\widehat{G}_0$  which we may take as simply connected, is

$$A \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & -1 & \\ \pm 1 & \dots & & & \end{pmatrix} {}^t A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} & & & & \pm 1 \\ & & & 1 & \dots \\ & & -1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix} = \gamma {}^t A^{-1} \gamma^{-1}$$

and  $\epsilon$  is  $\gamma\omega$ . It is clear that  $\omega(\gamma) = \gamma$  so that  $\epsilon^2 = \gamma\omega\gamma\omega = \gamma^2$  which is  $(-1)^{n-1}I$ . It is enough to check the relation

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^{\langle \widehat{\mu}, 2\rho \rangle}$$

for  $\widehat{\mu}$  fundamental. Let

$$\widehat{\mu} = \left( \overbrace{\frac{n-k}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-k}{n}}^{k \text{ times}}, \overbrace{-\frac{k}{n}, \dots, -\frac{k}{n}}^{n-k \text{ times}} \right)$$

Then

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^{k(n-1)}$$

and

$$\langle 2\rho, \widehat{\mu} \rangle \equiv k(n-1) \pmod{2}.$$

The result follows.

(ii)  $B_\ell (= \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Again the notation is that of my previous letter.

$$2\rho = (2\ell, 2(\ell-1), \dots, 2),$$

$\widehat{\beta}$  is  $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ . The automorphism  $\omega$  is trivial; so  $\eta = 1$ .  $\widehat{H}_0$  corresponds to the diagram of  $B_\ell$  with  $\rho_3$  omitted. Thus if  $\delta_1$  is the reflection corresponding to  $\rho_2$  and  $\delta_2$  is an automorphism of the group obtained by removing  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_3$  from the diagram of  $B_\ell$  we may take  $\epsilon = \omega\delta_1\delta_2$  and, since  $\delta$ ,  $\delta_1$ , and  $\delta_2$  commute,

$$\epsilon^2 = \delta^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2.$$

By induction

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^x$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_1 + \omega_2 \rangle + \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_1 - \omega_2 \rangle + \left\langle \widehat{\mu}, (0, 0, 2(\ell-2), \dots, 2) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \widehat{\mu}, (2, 0, 2(\ell-2), \dots, 2) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The difference between  $2\rho$  and the element of  $\widehat{L}_+$  appearing here is

$$(2(\ell-1), 2(\ell-1), 0, \dots, 0).$$

The value of this element at any  $\widehat{\mu}$  is even.

(iii)  $C_\ell (= \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

$$2\rho = (2\ell-1, 2\ell-3, \dots, 1)$$

$\widehat{\beta}$  is  $2\omega_1$ .  $\omega$  is again the trivial automorphism.  $\widehat{H}_0$  is now the group obtained by removing  $\omega_1$  from the Dynkin diagram. If  $\delta_1$  is the element of  $\widehat{H}_0$  taking roots to their negatives we may take  $\epsilon = \omega\delta\delta_1$  so that  $\epsilon^2 = \delta^2\delta_1^2$ . By induction

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^x$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle \widehat{\mu}, (0, 2\ell - 3, \dots, 1) \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{\mu}, (1, 2\ell - 3, \dots, 1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The difference between  $2\rho$  and  $(1, 2\ell - 3, \dots, 1)$  is  $(2(\ell - 1), 0, \dots, 0)$ . The value of this element of  $L_+$  at any  $\widehat{\mu}$  in  $\widehat{L}_+$  is even.

(iv)  $D_\ell(=\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Here

$$\rho = (2\ell - 2, 2\ell - 4, \dots, 0).$$

$\widehat{\beta}$  is  $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ . We take  $\ell > 3$ . We take  $\widehat{H}_0$  by removing  $\rho_4$  from the Dynkin diagram. We may take

$$e_{\widehat{\beta}} = [e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_5}, [\dots, [e_{\rho_\ell}, [e_{\rho_3}, [\dots, [e_{\rho_{\ell-1}}, [e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_\ell}, e_{\rho_1}] \dots]]]]]]]$$

Now

$$[e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_\ell}, e_{\rho_1}]] + [e_{\rho_1}, [e_{\rho_2}, e_{\rho_\ell}]] + [e_{\rho_\ell}, [e_{\rho_1}, e_{\rho_2}]] = 0.$$

the last term is 0; so

$$[e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_\ell}, e_{\rho_1}]] = [e_{\rho_1}, [e_{\rho_\ell}, e_{\rho_2}]].$$

If  $\ell$  is even,  $\omega$  is trivial and  $\eta$  is 1. If  $\ell$  is odd it follows from these calculations that  $\eta$  is also 1, because  $\omega e_{\rho_2} = e_{\rho_1}$  and  $\omega e_{\rho_1} = e_{\rho_2}$ . Let  $\delta_1$  be the reflection corresponding to  $\rho_3$  and let  $\delta_2$  be that element of the Weyl group of  $\widehat{H}_0$  taking positive roots to negative roots. Take  $\epsilon = \omega\delta\delta_1\delta_2$ . Then by induction

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\epsilon^2) = (-1)^x$$

with

$$x = \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_1 + \omega_2 \rangle + \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_1 - \omega_2 \rangle + \langle \widehat{\mu}, (0, 0, 2\ell - 6, \dots, 0) \rangle = \langle \widehat{\mu}, (2, 0, 2\ell - 6, \dots, 0) \rangle.$$

The difference between  $\rho$  and  $(2, 0, 2\ell - 6, \dots, 0)$  is  $(2\ell - 4, 2\ell - 4, 0, \dots, 0)$  which takes an even value at every  $\widehat{\mu}$ . If  $\ell = 4$  the induction argument cannot be used. In this case  $\delta_2$  is the product of the reflection corresponding to  $\rho_1$  and that corresponding to  $\rho_2$ . Thus

$$\xi_{\widehat{\mu}}(\delta_2^2) = (-1)^y$$

with

$$y = \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_3 - \omega_4 \rangle + \langle \widehat{\mu}, \omega_3 + \omega_4 \rangle.$$

This justifies the use of the induction.

$E_6$ : The toproot is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 2 & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & & \end{array}$$

$$4 - 3 = 1$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 2-2=0 & 4-3-1=0 & 6-2-2-2=0 & 4-3-1=0 & 2-2=0 & & \end{array}$$

$$e_{\hat{\beta}} = [e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_6}, [e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_6}, [e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_3}, [e_{\rho_1}, [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_6}], \dots]]]]]]]]$$
$$[e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_6}, [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_6}, [e_{\rho_2}, [e_{\rho_1}, [e_{\rho_3}, [e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_6}], \dots].$$
$$[e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_5}, e_{\rho_6}]] + [e_{\rho_6}, [e_{\rho_4}, e_{\rho_5}]] + [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_6}, e_{\rho_4}]] = 0,$$
$$[e_{\rho_4}, [e_{\rho_5}, e_{\rho_6}]] = [e_{\rho_5}, [e_{\rho_4}, e_{\rho_6}]].$$
$$\xi_{\hat{\mu}(\epsilon^2)} = (-1)^{\langle \hat{\mu}, \beta + 2\rho_0 \rangle},$$

				.22		
$2\rho$	$\longleftrightarrow$	.16	.30	.42	.30	.16
				.0		
$2\rho_0$	$\longleftrightarrow$	.5	.8	.9	.8	.5

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & .20 & & \\ .10 & .20 & .30 & .20 & .10 \end{array}$$

$E_7$  : In this case  $\omega$  is trivial. The toproot is

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & & & .2 & & \\ .1 & .2 & .3 & .4 & .3 & .2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & \cdot & & & \cdot & \\ 2-2=0 & & 4-4=0 & & 6-6=0 & & 8-8=0 & & 6-6=0 & & 4-3=1 \\ & \cdot & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \end{array}$$

Thus  $\widehat{H}_0$  is of type  $D_6$ . Let  $2\rho_0$  be the sum of the positive roots for this  $D_6$ . We have to show that  $\rho - \beta - 2\rho_0$  is a sum of simple roots in which each root enters an even number of times. Using the tables as before, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & & & & & .49 & & \\
 2\rho & \longleftrightarrow & .27 & .52 & .75 & .96 & .66 & .34 \\
 & & & & & .15 & & \\
 2\rho_0 & \longleftrightarrow & .10 & .18 & .24 & .28 & .15 & .0
 \end{array}$$

Everything checks.

As an extra check let's work out  $G_2$  and  $F_4$  along these lines. Again the Lie algebras and their duals are the same.

$F_4$ : Toproot is

$$2 \text{ --- } 3 \Rightarrow 4 \text{ --- } 2$$

$\omega$  is of course trivial. The diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 2 & & 2 \\
 \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\
 & -1 & \\
 & \Rightarrow & \\
 1 & & 1 \\
 \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\
 & -1/2 & \\
 & -1 &
 \end{array}$$

Thus  $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\alpha})$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 2 & & 2 \\
 \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\
 4-3=1 & & 6-2-4=0 \\
 & \Rightarrow & \\
 1 & & 1 \\
 \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\
 4-1-3=0 & & 2-4/2=0
 \end{array}$$

$\widehat{H}_0$  is in this case  $C_3$  and its dual is  $B_3$ .

$$2\rho_0 \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cc} \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\ 0 & & 9 \end{array} \Leftarrow \begin{array}{cc} \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \\ 8 & & 5 \end{array}$$

Note that in passing to the dual the direction of the arrow is reversed.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 22 & & 42 \\
 2\rho & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{array}{cc} \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array} \Leftarrow \begin{array}{cc} 30 & & 16 \\ \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array} \\
 2 & & 3 \\
 \beta & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{array}{cc} \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array} \Leftarrow \begin{array}{cc} 2 & & 1 \\ \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

because  $(\beta, \rho_2) = (\beta, \rho_4) = (\beta, \rho_3) = 0$ . Note again that arrows are reversed. Note also that there is only one positive root orthogonal to  $\rho_2$ ,  $\rho_3$  and  $\rho_4$ . In any case it checks.

$G_2$ : Toproot is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 2 & & 3 \\
 \bullet & \Rightarrow & \bullet
 \end{array}$$

The diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & & 1 \\ \bullet & \Leftarrow & \bullet \\ & -3/2 & \end{array}$$

$(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\alpha})$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \Rightarrow & \bullet \\ 6 - \frac{9}{2} = \frac{3}{2} & & 3 - \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2 = 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, in this case,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2\rho_0 & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \Leftarrow & \bullet \\ 0 & & 1 \end{array} \\ 2\rho & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \Leftarrow & \bullet \\ 10 & & 6 \end{array} \end{array}$$

and, since  $\beta$  annihilates the root on the right

$$\beta \longleftrightarrow 2 \Leftarrow 1.$$

Everything checks in this case also.

Compiled on November 17, 2025.