

FEB 6 2022

ADDED NOTES TO MY JAN 30 2022 LETTER TO SARAH AND VALERIYA: ①

The formulation of the several variable Bunyakovsky conjecture in terms of Zariski density is very natural and it places it as an extension of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem. The latter asserts that for any $n \geq 2, 1 \leq r \leq n$, if $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is irreducible the specializations of f in $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n]$ are irreducible for a Zariski dense set of integers $(\alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ in A^{n-r} . The several variable formulation of Bunyakovsky asserts that the same is true over \mathbb{Z} when specializing all of the variables. In what follows unless otherwise stated we assume that the homogeneous part of f is non-degenerate ($n \geq 2$). Also to avoid archimedean conditions we treat $-p$ with p a prime, as prime. So $(\pm p)$ is a prime ideal in \mathbb{Z} , and an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which $f(x) = \pm p$ is an f -prime.

BUN. CONJECTURE:

$f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ irreducible and such that $f(x) \pmod{p}$ is not identically 0 for every prime p , then $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is prime for a Zariski dense set of $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

BUN in several variables is equivalent to the original Bunyakowsky conjecture which follows by specialization and Hilbert. However unlike the original which is not known for any f of degree bigger than one, there are plenty cases known in several variables; and I summarize below what I found can be deduced from known results.

The BUN conjecture for a set of polynomials \mathcal{Y} will be denoted by $BUN(\mathcal{Y})$. The quantitative Bateman-Horn Conjecture (3') of Desagnol-Sofos is denoted by $BH(\mathcal{Y})$. As we noted difference $BH(\mathcal{Y}) \Rightarrow BUN(\mathcal{Y})$.

There is a fundamental difference between $n=1$ and $n \geq 2$ in that for $n=1$ f , while irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , factors into linear factors over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The typical f for $n \geq 2$ is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (absolutely irreducible). If f factors into linear factors in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we say that it is factorizable and we denote these polynomials by \mathbb{P}^{FACT} . The homogeneous polynomials will be denoted by \mathbb{P}_{HOM} . Note that for $n=2$ any $f \in \mathbb{P}_{HOM}$ is factorizable, a feature which is exploited below. The notation $\mathbb{P}(d, n)$ denotes polynomials of degree d in n variables.

(to me)

③

Table of known cases of BUN and BH:

(i) BH ($\mathbb{P}(1, n)$) , $n \geq 1$. [DIR]

(ii) BUN ($\mathbb{P}(2, n)$) , $n \geq 2$ [IW]

(iii) BH ($\mathbb{P}_{\text{HOM}}(2, n)$) , $n \geq 2$ [DU].

(iv) BH ($\mathbb{P}_{\text{HOM}}(3, 2)$) . [H-B/M]

(v) BUN ($\mathbb{P}_{\text{HOM}}(3, n)$) , $n \geq 2$.

(vi) BH ($\mathbb{P}_{\text{HOM}}^{\text{FAC}}(d, n)$) , $\frac{3d}{4} \leq n \leq d$. [HEIC], [MAY].

(vii) BH ($\mathbb{P}(d, n)$) , $n \geq \max(4, (d-1)2^{d-1} + 1)$, [D-S].

CLASSICAL EXAMPLES

(viii) INVARIANT POLYNOMIALS FOR AFFINE SYMMETRIC SPACES

EXAMPLES:

BH ($\det(X)$, X $n \times n$ matrix)

BH ($\det(X)$, X $n \times n$ symmetric matrix)

BH ($\text{pfaf}(X)$: X $2n \times 2n$ skew symmetric) , [Oh].

(ix) BH ($x_1^2 + x_2^4$) [F-I].

(x) BUN ($x_1 x_2 \dots x_n + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$) , $n \geq 2$ [IW]

COMMENTS

I have not checked the full details in the table and there may be small conditions omitted in places (see below).

(i) can be proved in many ways. If $n=1$ it is just the prime number theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions. For $n \geq 2$ it can be proved as in the letter using the solution of the Linnik problem in this linear case which is elementary. In fact (ii) and (viii) are proved this way. For (iii) when $n=2$, that is a homogeneous binary quadratic form BH follows from Hecke's equidistribution of primes in sectors theorem. For $n=3$ it follows from Duke's solution of Linnik's problem for general quadratics in 3-variables. For $n \geq 4$ one can use the circle method as in (vii) and even deal with non-homogeneous quadratic f's. For (vii) in the case of det of symmetric matrices, Oh [Oh] shows that the Linnik problem is "Hardy-Littlewood" and I expect, but did not check, that the sum over product of densities $w(p)$ can be executed over primes p .

For (ii) and $n=2$, Iwaniec's half dimensional sieve gives the asymptotic count with upper

and lower bounds of the same order (see (5) [F-I 2] Section 21.2) which yields $BUN(\mathbb{P}(2,2))$. Hilbert together with specialization arguments then give $BUN(\mathbb{P}(2,n))$, $n \geq 2$. (iv) is a striking result of Heath-Brown and Moroz. It exploits that elements of $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Hom}}(3,2)$ factorize over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into three linear forms and treat the problem as a linear hyperplane section of a norm form in three variables. One deals with the type I and type II bilinear sieve sums which are highly structured, by following the breakthrough of Friedlander and Iwaniec [F-I 1] who deal with (ix). This establishes $BUN(\mathbb{P}_{\text{Hom}}(3,2))$ and then ^{using} Hilbert and specialization arguments with 2-dimensional linear subspaces one deduces $BUN(\mathbb{P}_{\text{Hom}}(3,n))$, $n \geq 2$.

The case (vi) when $n=d$ is again Hecke's prime in sectors theorem and the extension of Heath-Brown/Moroz to these incomplete norm forms is due to Maynard [MA] (He has some added conditions on the resulting number fields that he imposes and I didn't check if these can be relaxed).

(X) is the Markoff-Hurwitz type polynomial (6) and it can be proved by specializations to the BUN(P(2,2)) case (ii').

It appears that the several variable versions of both BUN and BH are ripe for further exploration by new methods. Some immediate case that come to mind are

(A) From (v) BUN($x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$) is true. It would be interesting to see if the conditional results of Wang [Wa] can be extended to BH($x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$).

for the Markoff form,

(B) Similarly, BUN($x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$) holds according to (X), can one extend the analysis of Ghosh-Sarnak [G-S] to establish BH($x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$)? Note that

essentially

$$\text{MARKOFF}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Let

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 18x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_2^2x_3^2 - 4x_1x_3^3 - 4x_2^3x_4 - 27x_1^2x_4^2$$

be the discriminant of binary cubic form whose coefficients are x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . f generates the ring of invariants for the SL_2 action on binary cubics. Note that setting $x_2 = x_4 = 0, x_3 = 1$

shows that $f(\mathbb{Z}^4) \supset 4\mathbb{Z}$. This illustrates why we need to at least impose the Zerkinski density condition when seeking f -primes. From the point of view of Davenport [Da] who counts cubic forms with $|f| \leq F$, the above forms are singular and he also removes the reducible ones as well. The problem is to prove $BUN(f)$ or better still $BH(f)$.

A well known related open problem is $BUN(x_1^2 + x_2^3)$ and its quantification $BH(x_1^2 + x_2^3)$.

Best regards

Peter.

References :

[DA] H. Davenport LONDON MATH SOC. 26 (1951) 183-192

[DI] L. Dirichlet ABH. DER KÖNIGLICHEN AKADEMIE DER WISSENSCHAFTEN BERLIN; 48 45-71 (1837)

[F-I 1] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec Annals of Math 148 (1998) 945-1040.

[F-I 2] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec. OPERA DE CRIBO AMS COLLOQ. VOL 57 (2010)

[G-S] A. Ghosh and P. Sarason ArXiv 1706.06712 (2017)

[HEC] E. HECKE MATH ZEIT 1, 357-376 (1918).

[HB-M] R. Heath Brown and B. Moroz Proc. LONDON MATH SOC. 88 (2004) 289-312

[IW] H. Iwaniec. Acta Arith 24 (1973/74) 435-459.

[MA] J. Maynard FORUM MATH PI 8 (2020) 128 PAGES.

[OH] H. Oh GAFA VOL 14 (2004) 791-809.

[WA] V. Wang arXiv : 2108.03398 (2021) 136 pages.