

# *Some Remarks and Examples on Continuous Systems and Moduli*

PHILLIP A. GRIFFITHS\*

*Communicated by S. S. CHERN*

We are primarily concerned with some examples of continuous systems and moduli of general complex varieties. After preliminary remarks in Section 1, we give in paragraphs 2 and 3 examples of continuous systems whose parameter space is nowhere reduced. The first such example was given by Mumford (*Amer. J. Math.*, **85** (1962) 642–648); in our case, we compute explicitly the cohomological obstructions. In Section 4 we use the second example above to give a moduli space in higher dimensions. Paragraph 5 is devoted to some simple examples of continuous systems generated by curves in projective space; the point to be made here is that the available criterion for completeness of the characteristic system seldom applies in practice. In Appendix I we discuss the relation between continuous systems and deformations via monoidal transformations; and, in Appendix II, we discuss the Riemann–Roch theorem for prime divisors by using continuous systems.

**1. Characteristic systems of continuous systems.** Let  $X$  be a compact, connected complex submanifold of a complex manifold  $W$ . The notion of a *continuous system*  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  of compact submanifolds  $X_\tau \subset W$  and for which  $X = X_o$  for some  $o \in A$  has been defined by Kodaira [1]. Here  $A$  is an analytic space and the  $X_\tau$  are to depend holomorphically on  $\tau \in A$ . If  $\mathbf{N}_\tau \rightarrow X_\tau$  is the normal bundle of  $X_\tau \subset W$ , then there is defined the *infinitesimal displacement mapping* [1]

$$(1) \quad \rho_\tau : \mathbf{T}_\tau(A) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{N}_\tau).$$

(If  $\partial/\partial t^\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_o(A)$ , then we may write

$$\rho_o\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha}\right) = \left.\frac{\partial X_\tau}{\partial t^\alpha}\right]_{\tau=o}$$

where  $\tau = (t^1, \dots, t^m) \in A$ .)

---

\* Supported partly by Office of Naval Research, Contract 3656(14)

Here  $T_\tau(A)$  = Zariski tangent space to  $A$  at  $\tau$  (for our purposes we may always assume that  $A \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ ) and we write  $H^0(\mathbf{N}_\tau) = H^0(X_\tau, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{N}_\tau))$ . The image  $\rho_\tau(T_\tau(A)) \subset H^0(\mathbf{N}_\tau)$  is the *characteristic system* cut out on  $X_\tau$  by the continuous system  $\{X_\tau\}$ ; the continuous system is said to be *complete* at  $\tau$  if  $\rho_\tau(T_\tau(A)) = H^0(\mathbf{N}_\tau)$ ; the continuous system is said to be *effectively parametrized* if  $\rho_\tau$  is injective.

The concept of a *maximal continuous system* is defined in the obvious way—any other continuous system is contained in it—and the following theorem can be proven [2]:

**Theorem** *Given  $X \subset W$ , there exists a maximal continuous system  $\Sigma = \{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  containing  $X = X_0$ . We may assume that  $A \subset H^0(\mathbf{N})$  where  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$  is the normal bundle, and  $\rho_0$  is then the identity mapping. The continuous system is complete if  $H^1(\mathbf{N}) = 0$ .*

Because of this result, we may speak of the characteristic system of  $X \subset W$ . Perhaps the simplest incomplete characteristic system is the following: Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $p \geq 1$ , let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be a coordinate covering of  $X$ , and suppose that  $\lambda \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$  is given by a cocycle  $\{\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\}$ ,  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . We form a manifold  $W$  from  $\bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha \times \mathbf{C}$  by the equivalence relation:  $(u_\alpha, \xi_\alpha) \sim (u_\beta, \xi_\beta)$  if, and only if,  $u_\alpha = u_\beta$  and

$$\xi_\alpha = \frac{\xi_\beta}{1 + \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(u_\beta)\xi_\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(u_\beta, \xi_\beta).$$

From  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_{\beta\gamma} = \lambda_{\alpha\gamma}$  we find  $g_{\alpha\beta}(g_{\beta\gamma}) = g_{\alpha\gamma}$  so that  $W$  is a surface which is fibered over  $X$  with  $\mathbf{C}$  as fibre. We may embed  $X \subset W$  by the local equation  $\xi_\alpha = 0$ , and the normal bundle  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$  has transition functions  $\partial g_{\alpha\beta} / \partial \xi_\beta|_{\xi=0} = 1$ ; thus  $\mathbf{N}$  is analytically trivial. On the other hand, the continuous system  $\Sigma$  generated by  $X$  consists of  $X$  alone since, if  $X' \in \Sigma$ ,  $X' \neq X$ , then  $X \cap X' = \emptyset$  (since  $\mathbf{N}$  is trivial) and so  $X'$  would be given locally by  $\xi_\alpha = \eta_\alpha(u_\alpha) \neq 0$ . If  $\varphi_\alpha = 1/\eta_\alpha$ , then from  $\eta_\alpha = \eta_\beta/(1 + \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\eta_\beta)$  it follows that  $\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}$  or  $\lambda = 0$  in  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is incomplete. This example is essentially due to Zappa [3].

**2. An everywhere obstructed family.** Let  $X$  be a compact, complex manifold with  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$  and take  $\varphi \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ . For  $|\lambda| < \epsilon$ , the line bundles  $\mathbf{T}_\lambda = \exp(\lambda\varphi) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$  may be assumed to satisfy  $\mathbf{T}_\lambda \cong \mathbf{T}_{\lambda'}$  if, and only if,  $\lambda = \lambda'$ . Let  $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow X$  be a line bundle, set  $\mathbf{L}_\lambda = \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbf{T}_\lambda$ , and assume:

$$(2) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L}_\lambda)) = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \neq 0, \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})) \neq 0.$$

( $\mathbf{L}$  = trivial bundle will do.)

Let now  $D = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < \epsilon\}$  and suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is a holomorphic function with  $f(0) = 0$ . Define a complex manifold  $W_f = \bigcup_{\lambda \in D} \mathbf{L}_{f(\lambda)}$  as follows: If  $\{U_\alpha\}$  is a coordinate covering of  $X$  relative to which  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\varphi$  have transition functions  $\psi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ ,  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , respectively, then  $W_f$  is

formed from  $\cup_{\alpha} \{U_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{C} \times D\}$  by the equivalence relation:  $(u_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}) \sim (u_{\beta}, \xi_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta})$  if, and only if,  $\lambda_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda$ ,  $u_{\alpha} = u_{\beta} = u \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ , and  $\xi_{\alpha} = \psi_{\alpha\beta}(u) \exp(f(\lambda)\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(u))\xi_{\beta}$ . (We may think of  $W_f$  as  $\cup_{\lambda \in D} L_{f(\lambda)}$ .) Let  $\sigma \in H^0(X, O(\mathbf{L}))$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$ , and set  $X_{\sigma} = \sigma(X) \subset W_f$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A \subset H^0(X, O(\mathbf{L}))$  be a small neighborhood of  $\sigma$ . Then the maximal continuous system of  $X_{\sigma} \subset W_f$  is given by  $\{X_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in A}$  where  $X_{\tau} = \tau(X)$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that there are projections  $\pi : W_f \rightarrow X$ ,  $\theta : W_f \rightarrow D$  given locally by  $\pi(u_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}) = u_{\alpha}$ ,  $\theta(u_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}) = \lambda_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\{X_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in A}$  be the maximal continuous system of  $X_{\sigma} \subset W_f$ . Then  $\pi(X_{\tau}) = X$  and  $\theta(X_{\tau}) = \eta(\tau)$  where  $\eta(\tau)$  is a holomorphic function from  $A$  to  $D$ . Thus  $X_{\tau}$  is a section of  $L_{\eta(\tau)}$  and so by (2)  $\eta(\tau) = 0$ , and the assertion is now obvious.

Let  $\mathbf{N}_1 \rightarrow X_{\sigma}$  be the normal bundle of  $X_{\sigma} \subset W_f$ ; under the isomorphism  $X_{\sigma} \cong X$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_1$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{L}$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{N}_2$  the normal bundle of  $\mathbf{L} \subset W_f$ . We have then the exact sequence:

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{N}$  = normal bundle of  $X \subset W_f$ . Obviously  $\mathbf{N}_2$  is trivial and so, under the isomorphism  $X \cong X_{\sigma}$ , (3) is uniquely given by an element  $e \in H^1(X, O(\mathbf{L}))$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $e = f'(0)(\varphi \cdot \sigma)$  where  $\varphi \cdot \sigma$  is the cup product

$$H^1(X, O) \otimes H^0(X, O(\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow H^1(X, O(\mathbf{L})).$$

*Proof.* Set  $\zeta_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} - \sigma_{\alpha}(u_{\alpha})$  so that  $X_{\sigma}$  is given locally by  $\zeta_{\alpha} = 0 = \lambda_{\alpha}$ . Then  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X_{\sigma}$  has transition functions

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \zeta_{\alpha}}{\partial \zeta_{\beta}} & \frac{\partial \zeta_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta}} \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_{\alpha}}{\partial \zeta_{\beta}} & \frac{\partial \lambda_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}_{\zeta=0=\lambda}.$$

Then

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\alpha\beta} & f'(0)\sigma_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha\beta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the Lemma follows.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f'(0) = 0$ , then  $\{X_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in A}$  is everywhere incomplete. In fact, if  $f''(0) = 0$ ,  $f^{n+1}(0) \neq 0$ , then there is everywhere on  $A$  an  $n^{\text{th}}$  obstruction to completing the continuous system generated by  $X_{\tau}$  ( $\tau \in A$ ).*

*Proof.* If  $f'(0) = 0$ , then by Lemma 2,  $\mathbf{N}_{\tau} = \mathbf{L} \oplus \mathbf{1}$  (under isomorphism  $X_{\tau} \cong X$ ) and so  $\{X_{\tau}\}$  is everywhere incomplete.

The assertion about the  $n^{\text{th}}$  obstruction is straightforward to check.

**3. Another example of an obstructed family.** This example is more interesting than that of Sec. 2, although the geometric principle is essentially the same. Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $p > 2$  and whose normalized period matrix is  $(I, Z_0)$  where  $Z_0 \in \mathbf{H}_p =$  Siegel's generalized upper half-space in genus  $p$ . Now each point  $Z \in \mathbf{H}_p$  gives rise to a canonically polarized Abelian variety  $A_Z$ , and we let  $U \subset \mathbf{H}_p$  be an open neighborhood of  $Z_0$ ,  $W = \bigcup_{Z \in U} A_Z$ . Then  $W$  is an open complex manifold of dimension  $p(p+3)/2$  which contains  $A_{Z_0}$ . On the other hand,  $A_{Z_0} = J$  is the Jacobian variety of  $X$  and there is an embedding  $X \subset J$ .

**Theorem 2.** (i) *The continuous system  $\Sigma$  generated by  $X \subset J$  is complete if and only if  $X$  is non-hyperelliptic; (ii) if  $X$  is hyperelliptic, then  $\Sigma$  is everywhere obstructed; (iii) the continuous system generated by  $X \subset W$  is complete.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{T} =$  tangent bundle of  $J$ , then we have over  $X$  the exact sheaf sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow O_X(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow O(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Theta =$  tangent sheaf to  $X$ ,  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$  is the normal bundle of  $X \subset J$ . If  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$  are a basis for the Abelian differentials on  $X$  whose period matrix is  $(I, Z_0)$ , then there is induced a trivialization  $O_X(\mathbf{T}) \cong \{O_X\}^p$  and likewise  $O_J(\mathbf{T}) \cong \{O_J\}^p$ . (A germ  $\theta \in O_J(\mathbf{T})$  is written  $\theta = \sum f_i \partial/\partial w^i$  where  $\langle \partial/\partial w^i, \omega^k \rangle = \delta_i^k$  and  $f_i \in O_J$ .) From (4) we find the exact cohomology diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \{H^0(O_J)\}^p & & \{H^1(O_J)\}^p & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\Theta) & \rightarrow & \{H^0(O_X)\}^p & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^0(O(\mathbf{N})) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \{H^1(O_X)\}^p \rightarrow \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The dual space to  $H^1(O_X)$  is  $H^0(\mathbf{K})$  and so  $\sigma$  in (5) induces a mapping:

$$(6) \quad {}^t\sigma : H^0(\mathbf{K}) \otimes H^0(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{K}^2),$$

(using  $\{H^0(\mathbf{K})\}^p \cong H^0(\mathbf{K}) \otimes H^0(\mathbf{K})$  via  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$ ).

**Lemma 3.** *The mapping  ${}^t\sigma$  in (6) is the cup product.*

*Proof of Lemma.* If  $w^1, \dots, w^p$  are Euclidean coordinates such that  $J = \mathbf{C}^p/\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  being the lattice generated by the columns of  $(I, Z_0)$ , then  $dw^\alpha|_X = \omega^\alpha$  and the mapping  $\Theta \rightarrow \{O_X\}^p$  is given by sending  $\theta \rightarrow (f_1, \dots, f_p)$  where

$$\theta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p f_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\alpha}$$

is a vector field along  $X$ .

Let now  $\theta \in H^1(\Theta)$ . In terms of a local coordinate  $z$  on  $X$ ,  $\theta = g(z) \partial/\partial z \otimes d\bar{z}$  and  $\sigma(\theta) = (f_1, \dots, f_p)(g(z)d\bar{z})$  where  $\partial/\partial z = \Sigma f_\alpha \partial/\partial w^\alpha$  and  $(f_\alpha g) d\bar{z} \in H^1(O_X)$ . Thus, if  $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^p) \in \{H^0(\mathbb{K})\}^p$  (= dual space of  $\{H^1(O_X)\}^p$ ), then

$$\langle {}^t\sigma(\varphi), \theta \rangle = \langle \varphi, \sigma(\theta) \rangle \\ = \int_X (\Sigma f_\alpha \varphi^\alpha) \wedge g d\bar{z} = \int_X \Sigma \left\langle \omega^\alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle \varphi^\alpha \wedge g d\bar{z} = \langle \Sigma \omega^\alpha \varphi^\alpha, \theta \rangle,$$

where  $\Sigma \omega^\alpha \varphi^\alpha \in H^0(\mathbb{K}^2)$ . Thus  ${}^t\sigma(\varphi) = \Sigma \omega^\alpha \varphi^\alpha$  or  ${}^t\sigma$  in (6) is the cup product, and this proves the Lemma.

Returning now to (5), we see that  $\delta$  is zero if and only if the cup product

$$(7) \quad H^0(\mathbb{K}) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^0(\mathbb{K}^2)$$

is onto; while, on the other hand, we have

**Noether's Theorem.** (See [4]) *Assuming genus  $(X) > 2$ ,  $\mu$  in (7) is onto if and only if  $X$  is non-hyperelliptic.*

(We may restate Noether's theorem geometrically as follows: if  $X \subset P_{p-1}$  is a canonical curve, then the quadrics on  $P_{p-1}$  cut out, on  $X$ , a complete linear system and  $X$  lies on  $\frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-3)$  such quadrics.)

Let now  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  be the continuous system generated by  $X \subset J$ . If  $C$  is an analytic curve through the origin  $0 \in A$ , and if  $\xi \in H^0(\mathbb{N})$  is the tangent to  $C$  at 0, then, assuming that  $X$  is general in  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$ ,  $\delta(\xi) = 0$  since, if this were not so, then  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in C}$  would be a family of non-singular curves  $X_\tau \subset J$  and from  $\delta(\xi) \neq 0$  it follows that not all the  $X_\tau$  are biregularly equivalent. (This follows from the following result of Kodaira-Spencer: If  $\{Y_t\}_{t \in B}$  is a complex analytic family with  $\dim H^q(Y_t, \Theta_t) = \text{constant}$  for all  $q$ , and if all the  $Y_t$  are biregularly equivalent, then the mappings  $\rho_t : \mathbf{T}_t(B) \rightarrow H^1(Y_t, \Theta_t)$  are zero.) But then this contradicts Torelli's theorem [4].

The conclusion is then that the continuous system  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  consists of the translations of  $X$  in  $J$ , and there is everywhere an obstruction if  $X$  is hyperelliptic. This proves (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2.

The fact that the maximal continuous system  $\{X_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$  of  $X \subset W$  is complete and  $\dim S = 4p - 3$  is easy to verify using Teichmüller's theorem.

**Remark.** If  $X$  is non-hyperelliptic,  $\dim H^0(\mathbb{N}) = p$  and  $\dim H^1(\mathbb{N}) = p^2 - 3p + 3$ ; if  $X$  is hyperelliptic,  $\dim H^0(\mathbb{N}) = 2p - 2$  and  $\dim H^1(\mathbb{N}) = p^2 - 2p + 1$ . The obstruction in this case is of the same nature as that of theorem 1 above.

**4. An example of a moduli space.** An interesting question in the theory of moduli is the problem of *stability of analytic objects*: If  $X$  is a compact, complex manifold,  $\{X_t\}_{t \in B}$  a deformation of  $X$ , and  $\gamma$  an analytic object on  $X$ , then when can  $\gamma$  be continued analytically to  $\gamma_t$  on  $X_t$ ? For example, if  $X$  is obtained from a variety  $Y$  by blowing up along a submanifold  $S \subset Y$ , and if  $Z \subset X$

is the total transform of  $S$ , then  $Z$  is stable and so there exists  $Z_t \subset X_t$ , but it is not known if  $X_t$  can be blown down along  $Z_t$  (see [5] and Appendix I below).

We shall prove that this is so in a special case, and the construction thus leads to a moduli space in higher dimensions.

Let  $S$  be a curve of genus  $p > 2$  and  $J$  the Jacobian variety of  $S$ . Suppose that  $X$  is the algebraic manifold obtained by blowing up  $J$  along  $S$ ; denote by  $\pi : X \rightarrow J$  the canonical projection and set  $Z = \pi^{-1}(S)$ . Then  $Z \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  is a fibre bundle with fibre the projective space  $P = P_{p-2}$  and, if  $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow Z$  is the normal bundle of  $Z \subset X$ , then  $\mathbf{L} \mid P = -\mathbf{H}$  where  $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow P$  is the hyperplane bundle.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\{X_t\}_{t \in B}$  be an analytic deformation of  $X = X_0$ . Then there exists a family  $\{J_t\}_{t \in B}$  of Abelian varieties and a family  $\{S_t\}_{t \in B}$  of curves such that  $S_t \subset J_t$ ,  $J_t$  is the Jacobian of  $S_t$ , and  $X_t =$  monoidal transform of  $J_t$  along  $S_t$ .*

*Briefly: Moduli  $(X) \cong$  Moduli  $(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R_r^q(\mathbf{L})$  be the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Leray sheaf of the pair  $(O_Z(\mathbf{L}); Z \xrightarrow{\pi} S)$ . Then, for  $U \subset S$  a small disc,

$$H^0(U, R_r^q(\mathbf{L})) = H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), O_Z(\mathbf{L})) \cong \sum_{r+s=q} H^r(P, O_P(-\mathbf{H})) \hat{\otimes} H^s(U, O_U) = 0,$$

for all  $q$ . By the Leray spectral sequence,  $H^q(Z, O_Z(\mathbf{L})) = 0$  for all  $q$ .

From  $H^0(Z, O_Z(\mathbf{L})) = 0 = H^1(Z, O_Z(\mathbf{L}))$ , we conclude that there exists a unique family  $\{Z_t\}_{t \in B}$  of compact submanifolds  $Z_t \subset X_t$  such that  $Z_0 = Z$ . Furthermore, there exists a fibering of  $Z_t$  by projective spaces  $P = P_{p-2}$  such that  $Z_t \rightarrow S_t (= Z_t/P)$  is a projective fibre bundle over a curve  $S_t$  ([5], p. 87). If  $\mathbf{L}_t \rightarrow Z_t$  is the normal bundle of  $Z_t \subset X_t$ , then clearly  $\mathbf{L}_t \mid P = -\mathbf{H}$ .

Now the normal bundle  $\mathbf{N}$  of  $S$  in  $J$  is *positive* (the Euclidean second fundamental form of  $S$  in  $J$  is positive), and so we may blow down  $X_t$  along  $Z_t$  to obtain a compact, complex manifold  $J_t$ , which contains  $S_t$ , and is such that  $X_t =$  monoidal transform of  $J_t$  along  $S_t$  [6]. Clearly  $J_t =$  Jacobian variety of  $S_t$ .

In Appendix I we shall prove the following

**Lemma 4.** *We have the following exact sequences:*

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \rightarrow H^0(J, \Theta_J) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} H^0(S, O_S(\mathbf{N})) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^1(X, \Theta_X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^1(J, \Theta_J) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} H^1(S, O_S(\mathbf{N})) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \Theta_X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^q(J, \Theta_J) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 2) \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho_*$  is induced from  $\Theta_J \mid S \rightarrow O_S(\mathbf{N})$  and  $\pi_*$  is induced from  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} J$ .

The conclusions which we may draw are:

- (a)  $X$  has  $3p - 3$  moduli and  $X_t \cong X_{t'}$  if and only if  $S_t \cong S_{t'}$ ;
- (b) If  $S$  is non-hyperelliptic,  $\rho_*$  is onto and  $\dim H^1(X, \Theta_X) = \dim (\ker \rho_*) = 3p - 3$ ;

(c) If  $S$  is hyperelliptic,  $\rho_0$  has co-rank  $p - 2$  and  $\dim H^1(X, \Theta_X) = p - 2 + \dim(\ker \rho_1) = 3p - 3$ ;

(d) For any  $S$ ,  $X$  has  $3p - 3 = \dim H^1(X, \Theta_X)$  moduli and moduli  $(X) \cong \text{moduli}(S)$ ; also  $\dim H^2(X, \Theta_X) = \frac{1}{2}p^2(p - 1)$ .

**5. Curves in projective space.** Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $p > 1$  non-singularly embedded in  $P_N$ . If  $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow P_N$  is the canonical positive line bundle and  $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow P_N$  is the tangent bundle, we recall the exact sequence:

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow O_{P_N} \xrightarrow{\lambda} O\{\mathbf{L}\}^{N+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} O(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\{L\}^{N+1} = \underbrace{L \oplus \cdots \oplus L}_{N+1}.$$

If  $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_N$  are homogeneous coordinates in  $P_N$ , then  $\xi_\alpha \in H^0(P_N, O(\mathbf{L}))$  and  $\lambda(f) = (f\xi_0, \dots, f\xi_N)$ ,  $\pi(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_N) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \eta_\alpha \partial/\partial \xi_\alpha$ . The exactness of (9) is equivalent to Euler's relation on derivatives of homogeneous polynomials. By restricting (9) to  $X$ , we find the exact sheaf diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & O_X & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & O_X\{\mathbf{L}\}^{N+1} & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Theta & \rightarrow & O_X(\mathbf{T}) & \rightarrow & O_X(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where  $\Theta$  = tangent sheaf of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$  is the normal bundle. The exact cohomology diagram of (10) gives

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & H^0(O) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \{H^0(\mathbf{L})\}^{N+1} & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(O(\mathbf{T})) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathbf{N}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H^1(O_X) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \{H^1(\mathbf{L})\}^{N+1} & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \leftarrow & H^1(\mathbf{N}) & \leftarrow & H^1(\mathbf{T}) & \leftarrow & H^1(\Theta). \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

If now the degree  $\delta$  of  $X$  is  $> 2p - 2$ , then  $H^1(\mathbf{L}) = 0 = H^1(\mathbf{N})$  and the continuous system  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  generated by  $X \subset P_N$  is complete and,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } \dim H^0(\mathbf{L}) = m, \quad \dim A = (N - 3)m + 4\delta \quad (m = \delta - p), \\ (12) \quad & \text{if } N = 2, \text{ then } \dim A = 3\delta + p - 1, \\ & \text{if } N = 3, \quad \dim A = 4\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the case  $\delta = 2p - 2$ ,  $N = p - 1$  so that  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{K}$  is the canonical bundle and  $X \subset P_{p-1}$  is a *canonical curve*.

**Lemma 5.** *The mapping  $\psi : H^1(O) \rightarrow \{H^1(\mathbf{K})\}^p$  in (11) is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The mapping  $\psi : O \rightarrow \{O(\mathbf{K})\}^p$  is given by  $\psi(f) = (f\omega^1, \dots, f\omega^p)$  where  $f \in O$  and  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^p$  are a basis for  $H^0(\mathbf{K})$ . The transpose of  $\psi$  is  ${}^t\psi : \{H^0(O)\}^p \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{K})$  where  ${}^t\psi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) = \sum \lambda_i \omega^i$  and thus  $\psi$  is an isomorphism. Q.E.D.

It follows from Lemma 5 that  $H^1(\mathbf{N}) = 0$  so that  $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in A}$  is complete and

$$(13) \quad \dim A = (3p - 3) + (p^2 - 1).$$

For use below, we observe that the proof of Lemma 3 shows that, in (11)  ${}^t\psi : \{H^1(\mathbf{L})^*\}^{N+1} \rightarrow H^1(O_X)^*$  or  ${}^t\psi : \{H^0(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{L})\}^{N+1} \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{K})$  is given by

$$(14) \quad {}^t\psi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \xi_\alpha \cdot \lambda_\alpha,$$

where  $\lambda_\alpha \in H^0(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{L})$ ,  $\xi_\alpha \in H^0(\mathbf{L})$ , and  $\xi_\alpha \cdot \lambda_\alpha$  is the cup product in cohomology.

The condition  $\delta > 2p - 2$  is too strong to have real interest; for example, if  $C \subset P_3$  is the complete intersection of surfaces of degrees  $n_1, n_2$  ( $n_1 + n_2 > 4$ ), then

$$n_1 n_2 = \delta = \frac{2p - 2}{n_1 + n_2 - 4}$$

and so  $\delta < 2p - 2$ . In this case, the continuous system generated by  $C$  is complete but  $H^1(\mathbf{N}) \neq 0$  in general, as the following shows:

Let  $S_1, S_2$  be non-singular surfaces in  $P_3 = P$  of degrees  $n_1, n_2$  respectively, and set  $C = S_1 \cdot S_2$  where we suppose that  $C$  is a non-singular curve. If  $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow P$  is the hyperplane bundle, then  $O_C(\mathbf{N}) = O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}) \oplus O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_2})$  where  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow C$  is the normal bundle of  $C \subset P$ .

**Lemma 6.** *We have*

$$H^0(P, O_P(\mathbf{L}^{n_i})) \rightarrow H^0(C, O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_i})) \rightarrow 0,$$

for  $i = 1, 2$  while  $H^1(C, O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_i})) \neq 0$  if  $n_2 > 4$ .

*Proof.* From

$$0 \rightarrow O_{S_2} \rightarrow O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}) \rightarrow O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}) \rightarrow 0$$



and

$$0 \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{L}^{n_1-n_2}) \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}) \rightarrow O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we find

$$H^0(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$H^0(O_P(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow H^0(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives

$$H^0(O_P(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $H^1(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) = 0$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(O_C(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) \rightarrow H^2(O_{S_2}) \rightarrow H^2(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1}))$$

while

$$\dim H^2(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_1})) = \dim H^0(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{-n_1+n_2-4})) < \dim H^0(O_{S_2}(\mathbf{L}^{n_2-4})) = \dim H^2(O_{S_2}),$$

provided  $n_2 > 4$ .

This proves the Lemma.

If now  $Q_k$  = homogeneous polynomials on  $P_3$  of degree  $k$ , if  $f_1, f_2$  are the defining equations of  $S_1, S_2$ , and if  $n_1 > n_2$ , then

$$(15) \quad H^0(O_C(\mathbf{N})) \cong \{Q_{n_2}/(f_2)\} \oplus \{Q_{n_1}/(f_2)Q_{n_1-n_2}/(f_1)\}$$

Thus the continuous system generated by  $C \subset P$  is complete and is formed by perturbing  $S_2$  and  $S_1 \pmod{f_2}$ . On the other hand,  $H^1(O_C(\mathbf{N})) \neq 0$  in case  $n_1 > 4$  or  $n_2 > 4$ .

An interesting special case is  $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ . Then  $p = 1$ ,  $H^1(O_C(\mathbf{N})) = 0$ , and  $\dim H^0(O_C(\mathbf{N})) = 18$ . The resulting family of elliptic curves is classical. If  $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 2$ , then  $p = 4$  and  $C \subset P_3$  is a canonical curve (since  $2p - 2 = 6 = n_1 n_2$ ) and  $\dim H^0(O_C(\mathbf{N})) = 20 = (3p - 3) + (p^2 - 1)$ . The fact that every  $C$  lies on a unique quadric is a special case of Noether's theorem (*c.f.* [4] and the geometric statement of Noether's theorem above). This last remark generalizes to curves  $C = S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_3$  in  $P_4$  where  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 2$ . Then  $\delta = 8, p = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 5) \delta + 1 = 5$  so that  $C \subset P_4$  is a canonical curve of genus 5 which, as above, lies on  $3 = \frac{1}{2}(p - 2)(p - 3)$  quadrics. In this case,  $\dim H^0(O_C(\mathbf{N})) = 36 = (3p - 3) + (p^2 - 1)$ .

As a final remark, if we assume that the hyperplane system is complete, then, by (14)

$${}^t\psi : H^0(\mathbf{L}) \otimes H^0(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{K})$$

is just the multiplication between a linear series and its adjoint; if  ${}^t\psi$  is injective (*e.g.*  $\deg \mathbf{L} = p - 1$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is non-special), then  $H^1(O_X(\mathbf{N})) = 0$  and the continuous system generated by  $X$  is complete. For example, if  $C = S_1 \cdot S_2 \subset P_3$

is a complete intersection and  $n_1 = n_2 = 3$ , then

$$p - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2 - 4)n_1n_2 = n_1n_2$$

and, in fact,  $\mathbf{K}_C = \mathbf{L}^2$ . Thus  $H^1(O_C(\mathbf{L})) \cong H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L}))$  and dualizing

$$H^1(O_C) \xrightarrow{\psi} \{H^1(\mathbf{L})\}^4 \xrightarrow{\pi} H^1(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow 0$$

gives

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L})) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L})) \otimes H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L})) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^0(O_C(\mathbf{L}^2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $\psi$  is injective (which is equivalent to saying that the canonical series on  $C$  is cut out by quadrics in  $P_3$ ) and  $\dim H^0(\mathbf{T}) = 15$ ,  $\dim H^0(\mathbf{N}) = 36$ ,  $\dim H^1(\Theta) = 27$ ,  $\dim H^1(\mathbf{T}) = 6$ , and  $H^1(\mathbf{N}) = 0$ . The mapping  $H^0(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow H^1(\Theta)$  has rank 21 and co-rank 6.

If  $C = S_1 \cdot S_2$  where  $n_1 = 4$ ,  $n_2 = 2$ , then again  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}^2$ ,  $\psi$  is onto, and  $\dim H^0(\mathbf{T}) = 15$ ,  $\dim H^0(\mathbf{N}) = 33$ ,  $\dim H^1(\Theta) = 24$ ,  $\dim H^1(\mathbf{T}) = 7$ , and  $\dim H^1(\mathbf{N}) = 1$ . The mapping  $H^0(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow H^1(\Theta)$  now has rank 18 and co-rank 6.

**Appendix I: The groups  $H^q(\Theta)$  and monoidal transformations.** Let  $X$  be a compact, complex manifold and  $Z \subset X$  a non-singular subvariety of codimension  $r > 1$ . We let  $Y$  be the monoidal transform of  $X$  along  $Z$  and  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  the birational projection;  $W = \pi^{-1}(Z)$  is the total transform of  $Z$ . If  $\mathbf{N}_Z$  is the normal sheaf of  $Z$  in  $X$ , the restriction gives a map  $\rho: \Theta_X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_Z$  whereas, on  $Y - W$ , the projection gives  $\pi_*: \Theta_Y \rightarrow \Theta_X$ .

**Theorem 4.** *We have the following exact sequence:*

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Theta_Y) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^0(X, \Theta_X) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} H^0(Z, \mathbf{N}_Z) \\ \rightarrow H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^1(X, \Theta_X) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} H^1(Z, \mathbf{N}_Z) \rightarrow \dots$$

*Proof.* Let  $R_\pi^q(\Theta)$  be the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Leray sheaf of the pair  $(\Theta_Y: Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X)$ ; thus,  $R_\pi^q(\Theta)$  comes from the presheaf  $U \rightarrow H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), \Theta_Y)$  for  $U \subset X$  an open set. It is clear that  $R_\pi^q(\Theta)_x = 0$  for  $q > 0$ ,  $x \notin Z$  and  $R_\pi^0(\Theta)_x \cong \Theta_x$  for  $x \notin Z$ . What we claim is that  $R_\pi^q(\Theta) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , and that  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  induces an inclusion

$$0 \rightarrow R_\pi^0(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \Theta_X$$

with  $\Theta_X/R_\pi^0(\Theta) \cong \mathbf{N}_Z$ . Thus we will have:

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_\pi^0(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \Theta_X \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \mathbf{N}_Z \rightarrow 0;$$

and (17) follows then from (18) and the Leray spectral sequence [7].

Now, if  $x \in Z$ , we may take a polycylinder  $U \subset X$ , with center  $x$ , coordinates  $z^1, \dots, z^n$ , and such that  $Z \cap U$  is given by  $z^{n-r+1} = \dots = z^n = 0$ . If  $\theta \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \Theta_Y)$ , then, on  $\pi^{-1}(U) - \pi^{-1}(U) \cap W$ ,

$$\pi_*(\theta) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \theta^\alpha(z^1, \dots, z^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}$$

where  $\theta^\alpha$  are holomorphic functions on  $U - U \cap Z$ . Then, by Cauchy's formula,  $\theta^\alpha$  is holomorphic in  $U$  and so  $\pi_*(\theta) \in \Gamma(U, \Theta_X)$ . This gives the injection

$$0 \rightarrow R_\pi^0(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \Theta_X.$$

If now  $\theta$  is a vector field in  $\pi^{-1}(U)$ , then it is clear that, along  $W \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$ ,  $\theta$  is tangent to  $W$ ; and so, along  $Z \cap U$ ,  $\pi_*(\theta)$  is tangent to  $Z$ . More generally then we see that  $\Gamma(U, R_\pi^0(\Theta)) =$  vector fields  $\theta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \theta^\alpha \partial/\partial z^\alpha$  in  $U$  which are tangent to  $Z \cap U$ . On the other hand,  $\rho: \Theta_X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_Z$  is given by

$$\rho(\theta) = \sum_{\lambda=n-r+1}^n \theta^\lambda(z^1, \dots, z^{n-r}, 0, \dots, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\lambda},$$

and the exactness of (18) is now obvious.

We now prove that  $R_\pi^q(\Theta) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . This is a local question around  $x \in Z$  and, as above, we may assume that  $x$  is the center of a polycylinder  $U$  with coordinates  $z^1, \dots, z^n$  and with  $Z \cap U$  given by  $z^{n-r+1} = \dots = z^n = 0$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset U \times P_{r-1}$  is given by the points  $(z^1, \dots, z^n; t^{n-r+1}, \dots, t^n)$  with  $z^\lambda t^\mu - z^\mu t^\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda, \mu = n-r+1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong V \times Q$  where  $V$  is the unit polycylinder in  $z^1, \dots, z^{n-r}$  and where  $Q$  is the quadratic transform of zero in the unit polycylinder in  $\mathbf{C}^{n-r}$ . Because both  $V$  and  $Q$  are pseudo-convex, we may use a Kunneth formula (This may be established by the results of [8].), and it will suffice to prove that  $H^q(Q, \Theta_Q) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . Thus, to simplify notations, we return to our previous case and assume  $r = n$  and  $W = \pi^{-1}(0) = P \subset V = \pi^{-1}(U)$ ,  $P$  being a  $P_{r-1}$ .

Now, if  $\mathbf{L}$  = line bundle on  $V$  determined by the divisor  $P$ , then  $\mathbf{L} \mid P = -\mathbf{H}$  where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the hyperplane bundle, and we have the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow O_V(\mathbf{L}^{-k-1}) \otimes_V \rightarrow O_V(\mathbf{L}^{-k}) \otimes_V \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{H}^k) \otimes_V \mid P \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{H}^k) \otimes_P \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{H}^k) \otimes_V \mid P \rightarrow O_P(\mathbf{H}^{k-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Since  $H^q(V, O_V(\mathbf{L}^{-l}) \otimes_V) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ ,  $l \gg 0$  [6], it will suffice to prove that  $H^q(P, O_P(\mathbf{H}^k) \otimes_P) = 0 = H^q(P, O_P(\mathbf{H}^{k-1}))$  for  $q > 0$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . But this result is well known.

*Proof of Lemma 4.* There we have the notation  $Z$  = a curve  $S$ ;  $X = J$ , the Jacobian of  $S$ ; and  $Y = X$ , the blow up of  $J$  along  $S$ . Since  $H^0(S, \Theta_S) = 0$ , from  $0 \rightarrow \Theta_S \rightarrow O_S(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow O_S(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow 0$  we deduce

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(J, \Theta_J) \rightarrow H^0(S, O_S(\mathbf{N})) \rightarrow H^1(J, \Theta_J) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^1(S, O_S(\mathbf{N})) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \Theta_X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^q(J, \Theta_J) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 2.$$

These exact sequences plus (17) give (8).

**Corollary to Theorem 4.** *If the mapping  $\rho_1$  in (17) is zero, and if there are no obstructions to deformations of  $X$ , then any deformation of  $Y$  is a monoidal transform. This happens if either  $H^1(X, \Theta_X) = 0$  or  $H^1(Z, \mathbf{N}_Z) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\rho_1$  is zero, then a refinement of the argument used in Kodaira [5], Theorem 1 shows that  $Z$  is stable under the deformations of  $X$ . But then it is obvious from (17) that deformations  $(Y) \cong \{\text{deformations } (X)\} \text{ plus } \{\text{continuous system generated by } Z \text{ in } X\}$ , and so any deformation of  $Y$  is a monoidal transform.

*Remark.* The sequence (17) is suggestive for proving, in general, that any deformation of  $Y$  is a monoidal transform. Indeed, *infinitesimally*, kernel of  $\rho_1 = \text{tangents to deformations of } X \text{ where } Z \text{ is stable} = \text{tangent to deformations of } Y/(\text{continuous systems})$ ; and so any deformation of  $Y$  should be a monoidal transform.

**Appendix II. Continuous systems generated by hypersurfaces.** Let  $X$  be a closed algebraic manifold and  $D \subset X$  an irreducible non-singular hypersurface. Then  $D$  gives rise to a line bundle  $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow X$  with  $\mathbf{L}|_D = \mathbf{N}$ , the normal bundle of  $D$  in  $X$ . In addition to the usual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta_D \rightarrow O_D(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow O_D(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow 0$$

we have now an exact sheaf sequence

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow O_X \xrightarrow{\sigma} O_X(\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow O_D(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\sigma \in H^0(O_X(\mathbf{L}))$  and  $D = \{x \in X : \sigma(x) = 0\}$ .

Suppose now that  $\Sigma = \{D_\tau\}_{\tau \in B}$  is the maximal continuous system generated by  $D \subset X$ . If  $P(X)$  is the *Picard variety* [9] of  $X$ , then there is a holomorphic map  $\varphi : B \rightarrow P(X)$  given by  $\varphi(\tau) = \text{algebraic equivalence class of } D_\tau - D_0$ . The fibre  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\tau))$  is a Zariski open set in the complete linear system  $|X_\tau|$ , and the following is an easy computation:

**Lemma 7.** *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0(B) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H^0(O_D(\mathbf{N})) \\ & \searrow \varphi_* & \swarrow \delta \\ & H^1(O_X) & \end{array}$$

where we have used the natural isomorphism  $T_0(P(X)) = H^1(O_X)$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $H^1(O_X(\mathbf{L})) = 0$ , then  $\varphi(B)$  is an open set in  $P(X)$ .*

The above Lemma serves as a basis for an elementary treatment of the *Riemann-Roch Theorem* on curves and surfaces. For example, let  $X$  be a curve and  $D = \sum n_i P_i$  ( $P_i \in X$ ,  $n_i > 0$ ) a divisor of degree  $d$  on  $X$ . We may take  $B$  as the  $d$ -fold symmetric product  $X^{(d)}$  and  $\Sigma = \text{all divisors of degree } d \text{ on } X$  [4]. Furthermore, the Picard variety  $P(X)$  is canonically isomorphic to the Jacobian

variety  $J = J(X)$  and  $\varphi(D) = \sum n_i \varphi(P_i)$  where  $\varphi : X \rightarrow J$  is the usual inclusion. If  $\omega \in \mathbf{T}_0(J)^*$  is a holomorphic differential, then  $\varphi^*(\omega) = \sum n_i \omega(P_i) \in \mathbf{T}_D(X^{(d)})^*$  and so  $\varphi^*(\omega) = 0$  if and only if  $(\omega) \geq D$  and so  $\dim \ker \varphi^* = i(D)$ , the index of speciality of  $D$ .

Now, on the other hand,  $\mathcal{O}_D(\mathbf{N})$  is the sky-scraper sheaf

$$\sum \mathbf{L}_{p_i} \otimes \{ \mathcal{O}_{D, p_i} / (\mathfrak{m}_{p_i})^{n_i} \}^*,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_{D, p_i}$  is the maximal ideal. There is a canonical section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L}))$  and dividing by  $\sigma$  induces a natural isomorphism  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(\mathbf{N})) \cong \mathbf{T}_D(X^{(d)})$ . Also,  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) / (\sigma) \cong \mathbf{T}_0(|X|)$ , and so the exact cohomology sequence of (19) becomes

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_0(|D|) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{T}_D(X^{(d)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathbf{T}_{\varphi(D)}(J) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $i$  = differential of the inclusion  $|D| \subset X^{(d)}$ . From (20) we find

$$\dim |D| - i(D) = d - p \quad (p = \dim J = \dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)),$$

which is the Riemann–Roch theorem for positive divisors on curves. This approach is related to that given by Mattuck and Mayer, *Ann. Scuola Norm.*, Pisa, 1963.

The essential content of the above is to prove the *duality theorem*

$$(\dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) = i(D))$$

by using general remarks on continuous systems and the isomorphism  $P(X) \cong J(X)$ . This last isomorphism follows from the natural isomorphism  $H^0(\Omega_X^1) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ ; which is a special and elementary case of the duality theorem.

In general (*i.e.*,  $\dim X = n$ ), we may prove the formula  $i(D) = \dim H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L}))$  from the elementary isomorphism  $H^0(\Omega_X^n) \cong H^n(\mathcal{O}_X)$  as follows ( $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(\Omega_X^n)$  (Dolbeault theorem) and this gives the natural isomorphism  $H^0(\Omega_X^n) = H^n(\mathcal{O}_X)$ ): From  $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow 0$  we deduce that  $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) \cong$  holomorphic  $n$ -forms  $\omega$  on  $X$  such that  $\omega/\sigma$  is holomorphic; thus  $\dim H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) = i(D)$ .

This is made quite transparent by dualizing (19) to

$$(21) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^n(-\mathbf{L}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \Omega_D^{n-1}(-\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Res } \psi = \psi/d\sigma$  ( $\psi \in \Omega_X^n$ ) is the Poincaré residue operator. The exact cohomology sequence

$$H^q(\Omega_X^n(-\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow H^q(\Omega_X^n) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^q(\Omega_D^{n-1}(-\mathbf{L}))$$

of (21) is dual to the exact cohomology sequence

$$H^{n-q}(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{L})) \xleftarrow{\sigma} H^{n-q}(\mathcal{O}_X) \xleftarrow{i} H^{n-q-1}(\mathcal{O}_D(\mathbf{L}))$$

deduced from (19).

If  $X$  is a surface and  $D \subset X$  is an effective curve, let  $\Sigma = \{D_r\}_{r \in B}$  be the

continuous system generated by  $D = D_0$ . Then we have, assuming  $\Sigma$  is complete,

$$0 \rightarrow T_0(|D|) \xrightarrow{i} T_0(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{e} T_{\varphi(D)}(P(X)) \rightarrow H^1(O_X(\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow H^1(O_D(\mathbf{N})) \\ \rightarrow H^2(O_X) \rightarrow H^2(O_X(\mathbf{L})) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives the Riemann–Roch inequality:

$$\dim |D| + i(D) \geq \frac{1}{2}(D^2 - D \cdot K) - q + p_g \quad (q = \dim P(X), p_g = \dim H^2(O_X)).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KODAIRA, K., *Annals of Math.*, **72** (1962) 146–162.
- [2] GRIFFITHS, PHILLIP, Notes on continuous systems and deformation theory, University of California at Berkeley, 1964.
- [3] SEVERI, F., *Annali di Matematica*, S.IV, **XXIII** (1944) 149–181.
- [4] ANDREOTTI, A., *Amer. J. of Math.*, **80** (1958) 801–828.
- [5] KODAIRA, K., *Amer. J. of Math.*, **85** (1963) 79–94.
- [6] GRIFFITHS, PHILLIP, The extension problem in complex analysis, II, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **88** (2) (1966) 366–446.
- [6] GRIFFITHS, PHILLIP, The extension problem in complex analysis, II, *Amer. J. of Math.*, to appear.
- [7] GODEMENT, R., *Theorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [8] KOHN, J. J., *Annals of Math.*, **78** (1963) 112–148.
- [9] KODAIRA, K., *Amer. J. of Math.*, **78** (1956) 716–744.

University of California

Berkeley

*Date Communicated:* MAY 13, 1966