SUPPLEMENTARY COMBINATORIAL LEMMAS

ROBERT P. LANGLANDS

Situation as in §8 of Boulder E.S.¹ and notes B.²

- Λ non-singular $\iff \langle \lambda'_F, \Lambda \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \mu'_F, \Lambda \rangle \neq 0$ for all i and F.
- H non-singular $\iff \lambda_F^i(H) \neq 0$ and $\mu_F'(H) \neq 0$ for all i and F.

If F is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$, set

$$\xi_F^{\Lambda}(H) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \begin{cases} \lambda_F^i(H)(\mu_F^i, \Lambda) < 0 & i \in F \\ \mu_F^i(H)(\lambda_F^i, \Lambda) < 0 & i \notin F \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\alpha_F^{\Lambda} = 1 + N(F) + N\left\{\mu_F^i \mid i \in F, \ (\mu_F^i, \Lambda) < 0\right\} + N\left\{\lambda_F^i \mid i \notin F, (\lambda_F^i, \Lambda) < 0\right\}$$

Lemma. If Λ and H are non-singular,

$$\sum_{F} (-1)^{\alpha_F^{\Lambda}} \xi_F^{\Lambda}(H) = 0.$$

(i) The left side is independent of H. We argue as in B. Choose F_1 , F_2 H', and H'' as there. We have to show that

$$(*) \qquad (-1)^{\alpha_{F_1}^{\Lambda}} \xi_{F_1}^{\Lambda}(H') + (-1)^{\alpha_{F_2}^{\Lambda}} \xi_{F_2}^{\Lambda}(H') = (-1)^{\alpha_{F_1}^{\Lambda}} \xi_{F_1}^{\Lambda}(H'') + (-1)^{\alpha_{F_2}^{\Lambda}} \xi_{F_2}^{\Lambda}(H'')$$

[S.2] Some observations

(a)

$$\mu^{j} = \mu_{F_{1}}^{j} = \mu_{F_{2}}^{j}$$

$$\lambda^{j} = \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j} = \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j}$$

$$1 \leq j < k$$

$$k < j \leq p$$

(b)
$$\operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{1}}^{j}(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{1}}^{j}(H'') \qquad 1 \leq j < k$$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j}(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j}(H'') \qquad 1 \leq j < k$$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_{1}}^{j}(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_{1}}^{j}(H'') \qquad k < j \leq p$$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_{2}}^{j}(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_{2}}^{j}(H'') \qquad k < j \leq p .$$

(c) $\lambda_{F_2}^k$ is a positive multiple of $\mu_{F_2}^k$.

¹Editorial comment: Langlands, Robert P., *Eisenstein Series*, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous subgroups, AMS, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol IX.

²Editorial comment: "Notes B" refers to "Some lemmas to be applied to the Eisenstein series."

(e) As a consequence of (b) if $1 \le j < k$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_1}^j(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_2}^j(H') \iff \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_1}^j(H'') = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_2}^j(H'').$$

Because of (d) one of these holds and hence both hold.

Also if $k < j \leq p$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_1}^j(H') = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_2}^j(H') \iff \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_1}^j(H'') = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_2}^j(H'')$$

and because of (d) one of these holds. [S.3]

(f) If $1 \leq j < k$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{1}}^{j}(H')(\mu_{F_{1}}^{j}, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j}(H')(\mu_{F_{2}}^{j}, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{2}}^{j}(H'')(\mu_{F_{2}}^{j}, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{F_{1}}^{j}(H'')(\mu_{F_{1}}^{j}, \Lambda)$$
If $k < j \leq p$

$$\operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_1}^j(H')(\lambda_{F_1}^j, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_2}^j(H')(\lambda_{F_2}^j, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_2}^j(H'')(\lambda_{F_2}^j, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn} \mu_{F_1}^j(H'')(\lambda_{F_1}^j, \Lambda)$$

If any of the numbers in (f) are positive then all terms of (*) are zero and we are done. Suppose they are all negative. Interchanging H' and H'' if necessary suppose that $\lambda_{F_1}^k(H')(\mu_{F_1}^k,\Lambda) < 0$. Then $\lambda_{F_1}^k(\mu_{F_1}^k,\Lambda) > 0$. There are two possibilities.

(A) $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_{F_1}^k, \Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_{F_2}^k, \Lambda)$. Thus the right side is zero. The left side is

$$(-1)^{\alpha_{F_1}^{\Lambda}} + (-1)^{\alpha_{F_2}^{\Lambda}} = 0.$$

(B) $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_{F_1}^k, \Lambda) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda_{F_2}^k, \Lambda)$. Then the questionable equality is

$$(-1)^{\alpha_{F_1}^{\Lambda}} = (-1)^{\alpha_{F_2}^{\Lambda}}.$$

which is valid since $\alpha_{F_1}^{\Lambda} - \alpha_{F_2}^{\Lambda} = 0$ or 2.

Compiled on November 17, 2025.