

Heuristic! 1

Consider a Dirichlet L-function

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}, \quad s = \sigma + it$$

for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, fixed, and let t vary.

If there exists a distribution function

$\psi_\sigma(u)$ such that if $m_{a,b}(\sigma, T)$ denotes the measure of the set of t with $0 < t < T$, $a < \log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)| < b$, we

have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{a,b}(T)}{T} = \int_a^b \psi_\sigma(u) du,$$

then the Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}_\sigma$ would be given by

$$(1) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (L(\sigma + it, \chi) L(\sigma - it, \bar{\chi}))^{\pi i u} dt$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-\sigma - it})^{-\pi i u} \cdot (1 - \bar{\chi}(p)p^{-\sigma + it})^{\pi i u} \\ &= \prod_p \left(\sum_v (-1)^v \chi(p)^v \binom{-\pi i u}{v} p^{-v\sigma - ivt} \right) \left(\sum_v (-1)^v \bar{\chi}(p)^v \binom{\pi i u}{v} p^{-v\sigma + ivt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the $t \log p$ act much like independent variables mod 2π , so we can expect that the expression (1) may be equal

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{to } \prod_p \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{2v}{v} \bar{\chi}(u)^v p^{-v\sigma} e^{2\pi i v \theta p} \right) \\ & \quad \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{2v}{v} \bar{\chi}(u)^v p^{-v\sigma} e^{-2\pi i v \theta p} \right) d\theta p \\ & = \prod_p \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \binom{2v}{v}^2 p^{-2v\sigma} \right), \text{ a product} \\ & \text{which is convergent for } \sigma > \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\pi^2 u^2}{p^{2\sigma}} + \dots \right)$$

For small $\frac{u}{p^\sigma}$ this is close to

$e^{-\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{p^{2\sigma}}}$, so the product should be approximated by

$$e^{-\pi^2 u^2 \sum_p p^{-2\sigma}}.$$

As $\sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ the expression $\sum_p p^{-2\sigma}$ grows large, this suggests using $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \sum_p p^{-2\sigma}}}$ as a scaling factor, and looking at the distribution of

$$(2) \quad \frac{\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|}{\sqrt{\pi \sum_p p^{-2\sigma}}}$$

instead, we see that the fourier-transform of the distribution function

of (2) approaches $e^{-\pi u^2}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$,
 and so the distribution tends to a
 normal Gaussian distribution on the line.

Not difficult to make this rigorous.
 It does suggest looking at the behaviour
 and distribution of similar functions
 in a closer neighbourhood of $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$
 say when σ is of the form $\frac{1}{2} + \gamma(T)$.
 where $\gamma(T) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and even
 on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ itself.

General class of Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_n \frac{a_n}{n^s}; \quad a_1 = 1; \quad a_n = O(n^\delta)$$

for all $\delta > 0$. $F(s)$ analytic, except inward
 possibly for a pole at $s=1$. $(s-1)^{-m} F(s)$ of
 finite order for m large enough.

There should exist constants
 $|\varepsilon| = 1; \quad Q > 0; \quad \lambda_i > 0, \quad R(\mu_i) \geq 0; \quad i=1, \dots, r$
 such that if we put

$$\phi(s) = \varepsilon Q^s \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_i s + \mu_i) F(s),$$

then

$$\phi(s) = \overline{\phi(1-\bar{s})},$$

(so $\phi(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ is real).

We also assume that

$$\log F(s) = \sum_n \frac{b_n}{n^s},$$

where $b_n = 0$ ~~except when~~ if n is not of the form $n = p^r$, where p is a prime and r a positive integer.

We have clearly $b_p = a_p$ and assume that in general $b_n = O(n^\theta)$ with some fixed $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$.

These last conditions imply that

$$\sum_{n < x} \frac{|b_n|^2}{n} = \sum_{p < x} \frac{|a_p|^2}{p} + O(1).$$

In order to investigate the value distribution of, say, $\log F(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ (with a suitable scaling factor), we shall need some assumptions:

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{|a_p|^2}{p} = n_F \log \log x + O(1),$$

where n_F is a constant dependent on F (actually in all cases where it can be determined it is an integer, and if F can not be factorized into other functions of the same general class, n_F equals 1 in all cases).

5.

If $N(T)$ denotes the zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $F(s)$ which lie in the region $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 < \gamma < T$, then

$$N(T) = \frac{\Lambda}{\pi_n} T(\log T + C) + O(\log T)$$

where $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$; C a constant.

We shall need to assume either:

R.H. that is, all zeros in the strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ lie on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ ($\beta = \frac{1}{2}$)

(This has not been proved for any function $F(s)$ in the class so far), or:

D.H. $N(\sigma, T) = O(T^{1-\alpha(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} \log T)$,

where α is a positive constant, and

$N(\sigma, T)$ denotes the number of

zeros ~~in the region~~ with $\beta > \sigma$,

$|\gamma| < T$. D.H. has been proved for

some extensive classes of $F(s)$, for

instance $\zeta(s)$, all Dirichlet L-functions,

and the Dirichlet series with Euler-product that are associated with ^{analytic} cusp forms for congruence subgroups

of the modular group.

We shall need some approximation formulas for $\log F(\sigma+it)$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$

I. Assuming R.H.

For $x \geq 2$ define

$$\theta_x(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq x \\ 2 - \frac{\log \frac{m}{x}}{\log x} & \text{for } x \leq m \leq x^2 \\ 0 & \text{for } m \geq x^2. \end{cases}$$

We write $b_x(m) = b_m \theta_x(m)$, let $2 \leq x \leq t^2$ and $\sigma_x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x}$. Then, for $\sigma_x \leq \sigma^* \leq \sigma$

$$\log F(\sigma+it) = \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m)}{m^{\sigma+it}} + \\ + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}}{(\sigma^* - \frac{1}{2}) \log^2 x} \left(\left| \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m) \log m}{m^{\sigma^*+it}} \right| + \log |t| \right) \right).$$

Also, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_x$, if we write

$$\eta_t = \min_p |t - \gamma|,$$

$$\log F(\sigma+it) = \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m)}{m^{\sigma+it}} +$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1 + \log \frac{1}{\eta_t \log x}}{\log x} \left(\left| \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m) \log m}{m^{\sigma+it}} \right| + \log |t| \right) \right).$$

The constants in O symbols depend on F only.

7.

Also if we take the imaginary part of the last formula, we can drop the term $\log^+ \frac{1}{\gamma + \log x}$.

II Assuming D.H.

We now define a new $\theta_x(m)$ as follows

$$\theta_x(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq x \\ 1 - 2 \left(\frac{\log \frac{m}{x}}{\log x} \right)^2 & \text{for } x \leq m \leq x^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ 2 \left(\frac{\log \frac{x^2}{m}}{\log x} \right)^2 & \text{for } x^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq m \leq x^2 \\ 0 & \text{for } m \geq x^2. \end{cases}$$

Also if ρ runs over the zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ with $|\gamma| \leq \frac{x^{2\beta-1}}{\log x}$,

we define

$$\sigma_{x,t} = \frac{1}{2} + 2 \max_{\rho} \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{\log x} \right).$$

We then have for $\sigma_{x,t} \leq \sigma^* \leq \sigma$, if we again write $b_x(m) = \log \theta_x(m)$

$$\log F(\sigma + it) = \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m)}{m^{\sigma + it}} +$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma}}{(\sigma^* - \frac{1}{2}) \log x} \left(\left| \sum_{m < x^2} \frac{b_x(m) \log m}{m^{\sigma^* + it}} \right| + \log |t| \right) \right),$$

and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{x,t}$

$$\log F(\sigma+it) = \sum_{m \leq x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(m)}{\eta^{\sigma_{x,t}+it}} +$$

$$+ O\left((\sigma_{x,t}-\frac{1}{2}) \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{\eta t \log x}\right) \left(\left|\sum_{m \leq x^2} \frac{\Lambda_x(m) \log \eta}{\eta^{\sigma_{x,t}+it}}\right| + \log |t|\right)\right).$$

If $(\frac{2}{3}x)^2 < T^{\alpha'}$ and $x > T^{\frac{\alpha''}{k}}$, where $0 < \alpha'' < \frac{1}{2}$; $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$ are positive constants (the α is the one occurring in the D.H.),

then

$$\int_0^T (\sigma_{x,t}-\frac{1}{2})^k \xi^{2\sigma_{x,t}-\frac{1}{2}} dt = O\left(\frac{k^k e^{Ak} T}{(\log T)^k}\right),$$

where A depends on α, α' and α'' . This shows that on the average $\sigma_{x,t}-\frac{1}{2}$ is quite small.

We can now prove assuming either R.H. or D.H.:

Th. 1. Let k be a positive integer $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $T^{\frac{\alpha}{k}} \leq x \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}$, then for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \log F(\sigma+it) - \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{ap}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt$$

$$= O\left(k^{4k} e^{Ak}\right),$$

here the constant A depends on a and F . If we replace the expression in the $| \quad |$ symbol by its imaginary part, we can replace the k^{4t} in the \mathcal{Q} symbol by t^{2t} .

From this one can deduce

Th 2. For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} + (\log T)^{-\delta}$ where $\delta > 0$ is fixed, the function

$$\mathcal{X}(\sigma, t) = \mathcal{X}_F(\sigma, t) = \frac{\log F(\sigma + it)}{\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{p < t} \frac{1_{\mathcal{A}}(p)}{p^{2\sigma}}},$$

has a normal Gaussian distribution in the complex plane. Also the real and imaginary part of $\mathcal{X}(\sigma, t)$ have a normal Gaussian distribution on the real line -

more precisely: Let $\chi_{a,b}(u)$ denote the characteristic function of the interval (a, b) , then

$$\int_0^T \chi_{a,b}(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{X}(\sigma, t)) dt = T \int_a^b e^{-\pi u^2} du + \mathcal{O}\left(T \frac{(\log \log \log T)^2}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}\right),$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \chi_{a,b}(T_m x(\sigma, t)) = T \int_a^b e^{-\pi u^2} du + O\left(T \frac{\log \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}\right).$$

Since in the region for σ considered in Th 2, we have

$$\sum_{p < T} \frac{|a_p|^2}{p^{2\sigma}} = n_F \log\left(\min\left(\frac{1}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}, \log T\right)\right) + O(1).$$

The proofs of the more precise results depend on approximating functions, in this case the $\chi_{a,b}(x)$, by functions whose Fourier transform has compact support. We can show that there is a bounded function g such that

$$\left| \chi_{a,b}(x) - \int_{-M}^M \frac{\sin \pi(b-a)u}{u} e^{2\pi i(x - \frac{a+b}{2})u} g_1\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) du \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 \pi M(x-a)}{(M\pi(x-a))^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \pi M(b-x)}{(M\pi(b-x))^2} \right),$$

for all x , and $M > 0$. This can be used to find polynomial approximations

10'

$\exists g(u)$ bounded on $(-1, 1)$ such that for $M > 0$

$$|x| \geq \int_{-M}^M \frac{1 - \cos 2\pi x u}{u^2} g\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) du,$$

$$|x| \leq \int_{-M}^M \frac{1 - \cos 2\pi x u}{u^2} g\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) du + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin^2 \pi M x}{M^3 (\pi x)^2}.$$

$$\int_0^T \sum_n a_n u^{it} \overline{\sum_n b_n u^{it}} dt = T \sum_n a_n \bar{b}_n + O\left(\left(\sum_n n |a_n|^2 \cdot \sum_n n |b_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_P a_p p^{it}\right)^h &= \sum_n A_n^{(h)} n^{it}, \\ \int_0^T \left(\sum_P a_p p^{it}\right)^h \overline{\left(\sum_P b_p p^{it}\right)^k} dt \\ &= \delta_{h,k} T \sum_n A_n^{(h)} \bar{B}_n^{(k)} + \\ &+ O\left((h!k!)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_P p |a_p|^2\right)^{\frac{h}{2}} \left(\sum_P p |b_p|^2\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

to $\chi_{a,b}(x)$ whose error can be easily estimated by observing that

$$\left| e^{2\pi i x u} \sum_{n < N} \frac{(2\pi i x u)^n}{n!} \right| \leq \frac{(2\pi |x u|)^N}{N!},$$

We also appeal to the results

$$\int_0^T \left| \operatorname{Re} \log F(\sigma + it) - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p < x} \frac{a_p}{p^{\sigma + it}} \right|^{2k} dt = O(T k^{4k} e^{Ak})$$

for $T^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq x \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}$, and

$$\int_0^T \left| \operatorname{Im} \log F(\sigma + it) - \operatorname{Im} \sum_{p < x} \frac{a_p}{p^{\sigma + it}} \right|^{2k} dt = O(T k^{2k} e^{Ak})$$

Choosing M , N and x judiciously, we obtain our result.

We can improve the remainder terms in Th 2. somewhat if σ is of the form $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varphi(T)}{\log T}$, where $\varphi(T)$ tends to infinity with T (but slower than $(\log T)^{1-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$).

Slides
wrapped
in this

2

Om man ser på fordelingen $\overbrace{a_0}^{\text{melle}} F(s) - a$
ser man at om $a \neq a_0$ i det væsentlige
samme fordeling for a -punkter. i strip
 $-A < \sigma < A$. hvor A vilstr. stor, (en anden
fam ligger over hinville mellep.).
Bevis ved argument rundt om