

Lecture 7

PREPARATION FOR THE COARSE χ -EXPANSION

I: STATEMENT OF LEMMAS

R. Langlands

Recall that the right side of the basic identity is

$$\sum_{P_1 \subset P_2} \sum_{P_1 \backslash G} \sigma_1^2(H(\delta g)) \sum_{P_1 \subset P \subset P_2} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \Lambda^{T, P_1} K_P(\delta g, \delta g) \quad ,$$

where

$$a_P^\varepsilon = \dim \mathfrak{a}_P^\varepsilon / \mathfrak{a}_G^\varepsilon \quad .$$

Since the χ -expansion can not be introduced without recalling facts from the theory of Eisenstein series, we begin by proving the absolute convergence of

$$\int_{G \backslash G'} \sum_{P_1 \backslash G} \sigma_1^2(H(\delta g) - T) \left(\sum_{P_1 \subset P \subset P_2} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \Lambda^{T, P_1} K_P(\delta g, \delta g) \right) dg \quad .$$

This will not be a simple matter and will provide us with techniques and lemmas necessary for the proof of the absolute convergence of the χ -expansion.

We prove in fact the stronger assertion that

$$\int_{P_1 \backslash G} \sigma_1^2(H(g) - T) \left| \sum_{P_1 \subset P \subset P_2} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \Lambda^{T, P_1} K_P(g, g) \right| dg < \infty \quad .$$

Notice - Chouh was an even stronger assertion which is indeed proved later on.

Recall that

$$\mathbf{G}^1 = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid |\chi(g)| = 1 \forall \chi \in X^*(G)\} .$$

We begin the proof with a sequence of preliminary reductions. Since

$$\mathbf{G}^1 = (\mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{G}^1) \cdot K ,$$

the integral can be replaced by an integral over $\mathbf{P}_1 \backslash (\mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{G}^1) \times K$, the measure on $\mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{G}^1$ being the left-invariant Haar measure. Let

$$\mathbf{P}_1^1 = \{p \in \mathbf{P}_1 \mid |\chi(p)| = 1 \forall \chi \in X^*(\mathbf{P}_1)\}$$

and let $A_1^G(\mathbf{R})^\circ = \exp \mathfrak{a}_1^G$ be the connected component of $A_1^G(\mathbf{R})$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{G}^1 = \mathbf{P}_1^1 \cdot A_1^G(\mathbf{R})^\circ$$

and the left-invariant Haar measure on $\mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{G}^1$ is given by

$$d(pa) = \rho_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{-2}(a) dp da ,$$

with

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{-2}(a) = |\mathrm{ad}(a)|_{\mathfrak{P}_1}^{-1} ,$$

\mathfrak{P}_1 being the Lie algebra of \mathbf{P}_1 . To simplify the notation in this lecture I shall abbreviate $A_1^G(\mathbf{R})^\circ$ to A_1^G .

Let Ω be a compact subset of \mathbf{G} satisfying $K\Omega K = \Omega$ and set

$$\Phi(g) = \sup_{k, k' \in \Omega} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{P}_1 \subset P \subset \mathbf{P}_2} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \Lambda^{T, \mathbf{P}_1} K_P(gk, gk') \right| .$$

It is clearly enough to show that for any real number r

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1} \sigma_1^2(H(p)+H(a)-T)(1+\|H(p)\|+\|H(a)\|)^r \phi(pa) \rho_{\mathbb{P}_1}^{-2}(a) dp da < \infty .$$

Let $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}^{\mathbb{P}_1}(T_0, \omega) \cap \mathbb{P}_1^1$ be a Siegel domain in \mathbb{P}_1^1 . It is certainly enough to show that for any arbitrary \mathfrak{G} the integral

$$(1) \int_{\mathfrak{G} \times \mathbb{A}_1} \sigma_1^2(H(p)+H(a)-T)(1+\|H(p)\|+\|H(a)\|)^r \phi(pa) \rho_{\mathbb{P}_1}^{-2}(a) dp da$$

is finite. We may suppose that on \mathfrak{G}

$$c' |\ln |p|| - c_2 \leq \|H(p)\| \leq c_1 |\ln |p|| + c_2 .$$

The proof has two aspects. One first shows that the integrand is zero on a large subset of the domain of integration, and then uses the estimates of the previous lecture on the set on which it does not vanish.

We begin by finding a convenient expression for

$$(2) \sum_{\mathbb{P}_1 \subset P \subset \mathbb{P}_2} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \Lambda^{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}_1} K_P(h, g) .$$

Recall that

$$K_P(h, g) = \omega(g) \sum_{Z_0 \setminus M} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \phi(h^{-1} \gamma n \varepsilon(g)) dn .$$

It follows immediately from the definition of $\Lambda^{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}_1}$ that

$$\Lambda^{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}_1} \varphi = \Lambda^{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}_1} \psi$$

if

$$\psi(g) = \int_{N_1 \backslash \mathbb{N}_1} \phi(n_1 g) dn_1 .$$

Thus when studying the expression (3) we may replace $K_P(h, g)$ by

$$\int_{N_1 \backslash \mathbb{N}_1} K_P(n_1 h, g)$$

and this equals

$$\omega(g) \int_{Z_0 N \backslash P} \int_{\mathbb{N}_1 / N_1} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \phi(h^{-1} n n_1 \gamma \varepsilon(g)) dn dn_1 ,$$

because \mathbb{N} is a normal subgroup of \mathbb{N}_1 . This expression is in turn equal to

$$\omega(g) \int_{\gamma \in P_1 \backslash P} \int_{\delta \in Z_0 N_1 \backslash P_1} \int_{\mathbb{N}_1} \phi(h^{-1} n_1 \delta \gamma \varepsilon(g)) dn_1 = \omega(g) \int_{\gamma \in P_1 \backslash P} K_{P_1}(h, \gamma \varepsilon(g)) ,$$

where K_{P_1} now denotes the kernel for the case that ε is the trivial automorphism.

The expression (3) becomes

$$\omega(g) \int_{\gamma \in P_1 \backslash P_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{P_1 \subset P \subset P_2 \\ \gamma \in P}} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} \right) \wedge^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(h, \gamma \varepsilon(g)) .$$

If there is no ε -invariant parabolic subgroup between P_1 and P_2 the sum is empty, equals 0, and the convergence of (1) is trivial.

Otherwise let Q be the largest such subgroup. For a given γ let P_γ

be the smallest such subgroup containing γ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{P_1 \subset P \subset P_2 \\ \gamma \in P}} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon} = \sum_{P_\gamma \subset P \subset Q} (-1)^{a_P^\varepsilon},$$

and this is clearly 0 unless $P_\gamma = Q$, when it is 1.

Let $F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_2)$ be the set of all $\gamma \in Q$ (taken modulo P_1) for which $P_\gamma = Q$. Then (β) is equal to

$$(3) \quad (-1)^{a_Q^\varepsilon} \omega(g) \sum_{\gamma \in F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_2)} \int_{\Lambda} \int_{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(h, \gamma \varepsilon(g)) \quad .$$

To prove the convergence we need a number of lemmas. These we next state, explaining how the convergence follows from them, postponing the proof of the lemmas until the next lecture. It will be convenient to introduce a notational convention. We denote by C a compact set and by c a constant both depending only on Ω and the support of ϕ , and by $c(\phi)$ a semi-norm on $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{G})$. All three are allowed to vary from line to line. The first lemmas are concerned with the support of the integrand in (2).

LEMMA 7.1. There is a compact set C in \mathfrak{a}_Q such that

$$\int_{\Lambda} \int_{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(gk, \gamma \varepsilon(gk')) \neq 0$$

for some $\gamma \in Q = Q(\mathbf{Q})$ and some $k, k' \in \Omega$ implies that

$$H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}_0^Q + \mathfrak{a}_Q^\varepsilon + C.$$

Until now Ω has needed only to contain K . Now we suppose

that in addition it contains $\exp C \cdot K$, with the C of the lemma. Then, at the cost of taking a slightly different T , we can replace the integral in (2) by an integral over $\mathfrak{G} \times A_1^Q A_Q^\varepsilon$. Indeed T is fixed. Thus there is a $C \in \mathfrak{a}_1^Q + \mathfrak{a}_Q^\varepsilon$ such that

$$\sigma_1^2(H(p) + H(a) - T) = \sigma_1^2(H(a) - T) \neq 0$$

implies that $H(a) = H + X$ with $X \in C$ and $\sigma_1^2(H) \neq 0$. This allows us, again at the cost of enlarging Ω , to take $T = 0$.

LEMMA 7.2. If $p, p' \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $k, k' \in \Omega$, $\gamma \in Q$, $a, a' \in A_1^Q$, $b \in A_Q^\varepsilon$ then

$$\Lambda^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(pabk, \gamma \varepsilon(p'abk')) = \Lambda^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(pak, \gamma \varepsilon(p'ak')) \rho_{P_1}^2 \left(\frac{b}{\phi} \right) .$$

LEMMA 7.3. If $H = H_1^Q + H_Q^\varepsilon$ with $H_1^Q \in \mathfrak{a}_1^Q$, $H_Q^\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{a}_Q^\varepsilon$ and $\sigma_1^2(H) \neq 0$ then $\alpha(H_1^Q) > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_1^Q$ and

$$\|H_Q^\varepsilon\| \leq c(1 + \|H_1^Q\|) .$$

These two lemmas and the previous reductions allow us to majorize (2) by

$$(4) \quad c \int_{\mathfrak{G} \times A_1^Q} \tau_1^Q(H(a)) (1 + \|H(p)\| + \|H(a)\|)^r \phi(pa) \rho_{P_1}^{-2}(a) dp da ,$$

it being however understood that the integration over A_Q^ε , or to be more precise over

$$\{b \in A_Q^\varepsilon \mid \|H(b)\| \leq c(1+\|H(a)\|)\} ,$$

has forced us to increase the exponent r . The function τ_1^Q is the characteristic function of

$$\{H \in \mathcal{A}_1^Q \mid \alpha(H) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta_1^Q\} .$$

To estimate $\phi(pa)$ in the integral (5) we observe that it is dominated by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_1)} \left| \int_{\Lambda} \int_{P_1}^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(pak, \gamma\varepsilon(pak')) \right|$$

for some $k, k' \in \Omega$. At this point there are three more steps left for the proof. The first is to show that if this expression does not vanish then $\|H(a)\|$ is controlled by $\|H(p)\|$ and that is the purpose of the next lemma. Then we have to show that the truncation provides us with functions rapidly decreasing at infinity in \mathfrak{G} , and finally that the summation over γ , although it tempers the rate of the decrease, does not destroy it.

LEMMA 7.4. Suppose γ lies in $F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_2)$, $nm \in \mathfrak{G}$, $a \in A_1^Q$, and that $\tau_1^Q(H(a)) \neq 0$. Suppose in addition that for some $k, k' \in \Omega$ and some $m' \in M_1^1 = M_1 \cap P_1^1$ we have

$$\int_{\Lambda} \int_{P_1}^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(m'ak', \gamma\varepsilon(nmak)) \neq 0 .$$

Then for some other m'

$$K_{P_1}(m'ak', \gamma \in (nmak)) \neq 0$$

and

$$\|H(a)\| \leq c(1 + \|H(m)\|) .$$

On A_1^Q we have $|a| \leq ce^{N_1 \|H(a)\|}$. It therefore follows from this and the following lemma together with Lemma 6.6 of the previous lecture that for certain M_1 and N but for an arbitrary M and thus for an arbitrary M'

$$|\Lambda^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(pak, \gamma \in (pak'))| = c |p|^{-M} |a|^N |\gamma \in (p)|^{M_1} \leq c' |p|^{-M'} |\gamma \in (p)|^{M_1}$$

provided $\tau_1^Q(H(a)) \neq 0$.

If Y is an element of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G then we can associate to Y a left-invariant differential operator $R(Y)$ in \mathbf{G} and a right-invariant differential operator $L(Y)$.

LEMMA 7.5. Let Y lie in the universal enveloping algebra of M_1 and let $R(Y)K_{P_1}(h, g)$ be the result of applying $R(Y)$ to K_{P_1} regarded as a function of the first variable, the second argument being held fixed. Then there are constants $c = c(\phi)$ and N such that for $k, k' \in \Omega$

$$|R(Y)K_{P_1}(hk, gk')| \leq c(|h||g|)^N .$$

Proceeding with the proof of convergence of (5) we estimate

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\gamma \in F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_2)} |\gamma \in (pa)|^{M_1} ,$$

where $p \in \mathbf{G}$ and the prime indicates that we sum only over those $\gamma \in F_\varepsilon(P_1, P_2)$ for which

$$\Lambda^{T, P_1} K_{P_1}(\text{pak}, \gamma \in (\text{pak}'))$$

is non-zero for some k, k' in Ω and the given pa .

The next lemma limits the γ which appear in the sum (6).

LEMMA 7.6. There exists a point T_0 in α_0 depending only on the support of ϕ and on the compact set Ω such that

$$\hat{\tau}_1(H(g) - H(h) - T_0) = 1$$

whenever

$$K_{P_1}(\text{mhk}, \text{gk}') \neq 0$$

for some $m \in M_1^1$ and some $k, k' \in \Omega$. Here h and g lie in \mathbf{G} .

The following lemma and Lemma 7.6 taken together allow us to estimate the sum (6).

LEMMA 7.7. Suppose that $T \in \alpha_0$ and $M_1 \geq 0$. Then we can find constants c and M_1^1 and a set $[P_1 \setminus G]$ of representatives for $P_1 \setminus G$ such that for any $h, g \in \mathbf{G}$

$$\sum_{\delta \in [P_1 \setminus G]} |\delta g|^{M_1} \hat{\tau}_{P_1}(H(\delta g) - H(h) - T) \leq c |h|^{M_1} |g|^{M_1^1}.$$

The upshot of these considerations is that the domain of integration

in (5) can be taken to be

$$\{(m, a) \mid m \in \mathfrak{G}, a \in A_1^Q, \|H(a)\| \leq c(1+\|H(m)\|)\}$$

and that the integrand is dominated on this domain by a constant times

$$(1+\|H(m)\|)^r \rho_{P_1}^{-2}(a) |m|^{-M'} |m|^{2M'_1} |a|^{M'_1},$$

where M'_1 is some perhaps large but well determined number and M' is arbitrary. Thus this expression is dominated by a constant times

$$(1+\|H(m)\|)^r |m|^{-M''},$$

where M'' can be taken arbitrarily large. We can integrate over the variable a . Since the integration is over a ball of radius $c(1+\|H(m)\|)$ we are left with

$$c \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (1+\|H(m)\|)^r |m|^{-M''} dm$$

and this integral is finite.