

Notes on thin matrix groups

PETER SARNAK

Dedicated to the memory of Frances Wroblewski

We give a brief overview of the developments in the theory, especially the fundamental expansion theorem. Applications to diophantine problems on orbits of integer matrix groups, the affine sieve, group theory, gonality of curves and Heegaard genus of hyperbolic three manifolds, are given. We also discuss the ubiquity of thin matrix groups in various contexts, and in particular that of monodromy groups.

1. The fundamental expansion theorem

The Chinese remainder theorem for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ asserts, among other things, that for $q \geq 1$, the reduction $\pi_q : \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ is onto. Far less elementary is the extension of this feature to $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is a suitable matrix algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} . The general form of this phenomenon for arithmetic groups is known as strong approximation and it is well understood [Platonov and Rapinchuk 1994].

There is a quantification of the above that is not as well known as it should be, as it turns out to be very powerful in many contexts. We call this “superstrong” approximation and it asserts that if we choose a finite symmetric ($s \in S$ if $s^{-1} \in S$) generating set S of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then the congruence Cayley graphs (X_q, S) form an expander family as q goes to infinity (see [Hoory et al. 2006] for the definition and properties of expanders). Here the vertices x of the $|S|$ -regular connected graph (X_q, S) are the elements of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ and the edges run from x to sx , $s \in S$. The proof of this expansion property for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has its roots in Selberg’s lower bound of $\frac{3}{16}$ for the first eigenvalue λ_1 of the Laplacian on the hyperbolic surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, Γ a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ [Selberg 1965]. This bound is an approximation to the Ramanujan/Selberg conjecture for automorphic forms on GL_2/\mathbb{Q} . The generalizations of the expansion property to $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is say a semisimple matrix group defined over \mathbb{Q} is also known thanks to developments towards the general Ramanujan conjectures that have been established [Burger and Sarnak 1991; Clozel 2003; Sarnak 2005]. This general expansion for these $G(\mathbb{Z})$ also goes by the name “property τ ” for congruence subgroups [Lubotzky 2005].

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (more generally later on we allow it to be in $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ where K a number field) and denote its Zariski closure: $\mathrm{Zcl}(\Gamma)$, by G . If Γ is of finite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$, then the discussion above of strong and superstrong approximation can be applied. However, if Γ is of infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})) = \infty$ and the techniques used to prove both of these properties don't apply. In this case we call Γ "thin". It is remarkable that under suitable natural hypotheses, strong approximation continues to hold in this thin context. The first result in this direction is [Matthews et al. 1984], and Weisfeiler extended it much further. More recent and effective treatments of this can be found in [Nori 1987] and [Larsen and Pink 2011]. An example of the statement of strong approximation in this context is: suppose that $\mathrm{Zcl}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{SL}_n$, then there is a $q_0 = q_0(\Gamma)$ such that $\pi_q : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ is onto whenever $(q, q_0) = 1$. That the expansion property might continue to hold for thin groups was first suggested by Lubotzky [1993]. Thanks to a number of major developments by many people [Sarnak and Xue 1991; Gamburd 2002; Helfgott 2008; Bourgain and Gamburd 2008b; Bourgain et al. 2010; Pyber and Szabó 2010; Breuillard et al. 2011; Varjú 2012], the general expansion property is now known. The almost final version (almost because of the restriction that q be squarefree) is due to Salehi and Varjú [2012].

Theorem (the fundamental expansion). *Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a finitely generated group with a symmetric generating set S . Then the congruence graphs $(\pi_q(\Gamma), S)$, for q squarefree and coprime to a finite set of primes (which depend on Γ), are an expander family if and only if G^0 , the identity component of $G := \mathrm{Zcl}(\Gamma)$, is perfect (i.e., $[G^0, G^0] = G^0$). Moreover the determination of the expansion constant is in principle effective, if not feasible.¹*

I will not review the techniques leading to the proof of this theorem (they have been discussed in many places including Kowalski and Tao's blogs) other than to point out that it involves three steps, the opening, the middlegame and the endgame. The endgame establishes the expansion by combining sufficiently strong (but still quite crude) upper bounds for the number of closed circuits in these graphs with largeness properties of the dimensions of the irreducible representations of the finite groups $G(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. In some cases (indeed all for which reasonable bounds for the expansion are known) the proof involves the endgame only [Sarnak and Xue 1991; Gamburd 2002]. In the general case, the upper bounds for the number of closed circuits is derived combinatorially. The opening and middlegame involve showing that smaller subsets of $G(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ grow substantially when multiplied by themselves at least three times (see [Helfgott

¹It remains an open problem how to match to some extent in this general setting the quality of expansion that is known when Γ is arithmetic.

2008] and the extensions [Pyber and Szabó 2010] and [Breuillard et al. 2011]). A critical ingredient in the early treatments was the “sum-product” theorem [Bourgain et al. 2004] in finite fields. The middlegame is concerned with moderately large sets and is further handled by the crucial “flattening lemma” [Bourgain and Gamburd 2008b]. The latter also has its roots in combinatorics appealing to the Balog–Szemerédi theorem [Balog and Szemerédi 1994; Gowers 2001]. When q is not prime, the analysis and combinatorics is far more complicated and difficult due to the many subgroups of $G(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. It is handled in [Bourgain et al. 2010] for SL_2 and in [Varjú 2012] in general.

2. Applications

2.1. *The affine sieve and diophantine analysis.* The impetus for developing the expansion property for thin groups arose in connection with diophantine problems (in particular sieve problems for values of polynomials) on orbits of such thin groups [Bourgain et al. 2010]. Both strong approximation and superstrong approximation are crucial ingredients in executing a Brun combinatorial sieve in this setting. The theory is by now quite advanced and in particular the basic theorem of the affine sieve has been established in all cases where it is expected to hold [Salehi Golsefidy and Sarnak 2011].

For various special examples, such as for integral Apollonian packings, which has turned out to be one of the gems of the theory [Sarnak 2011], much more can be said thanks to special features. Firstly, in this case one can develop an archimedean count for the number of points in an orbit in a large region. This is done by combining spectral methods (using techniques which when Γ is a geometrically finite subgroup of $O(n-1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$ go back to [Patterson 1976; Sullivan 1979; Lax and Phillips 1982]) with ergodic theoretic methods [Kontorovich and Oh 2011; Oh and Shah 2013; Lee and Oh 2013; Vinogradov 2012]. For the diophantine applications, one needs an archimedean spectral gap for the induced congruence groups, rather than the combinatorial expansion. [Bourgain et al. 2011] establishes the transfer of this information from the combinatorial to archimedean setting in this infinite volume case.

Two recent highlights of these developments are the “almost all” local-to-global results of [Bourgain and Kontorovich 2013a; 2013b]. The first concerns integral Apollonian packings and the question is which numbers are curvatures? The expected local-to-global conjecture [Graham et al. 2003; Fuchs and Sanden 2011] is proven for all but a zero density set of integers (the conjecture asserts that there are only a finite number of exceptions). Prior to that Bourgain and Fuchs [2011] had shown that the number of integers that are achieved is of positive density. The second development concerns the Zaremba problem, which

asserts that if $A \geq 5$, the set of integers $q \geq 1$ for which there is a $1 \leq b \leq q$, $(b, q) = 1$ and for which the coefficients of the continued fraction of b/q are bounded by A , consists of all of \mathbb{N} . In [Bourgain and Kontorovich 2013b] the theory of thin subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is extended to thin semi(sub)groups (one has to abandon direct spectral methods and replace them by dynamical ones [Lalley 1989; Bourgain et al. 2011]). In [Bourgain and Kontorovich 2013b] it is shown that for $A \geq 50$, the set of exceptions to the Zaremba conjecture is of zero density in \mathbb{N} .

2.2. Random elements in Γ . It is well known that for any reasonable notion of randomness, the random $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible and has Galois group the full symmetric group on the degree of f symbols. In [Rivin 2008] the study of such questions for the characteristic polynomial f_γ of a random element γ in $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and more general Γ s, was initiated. The random element in $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by running a symmetric random walk with respect to a measure μ whose support generates $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. The expansion property is used via a sieving argument to show that the probability that f_γ is reducible is exponentially small. This and some generalizations are then coupled with the theory of the mapping class group M to show that the random element in M is pseudo-Anosov. These irreducibility questions and much more,² are extended and refined, especially in terms of the sieves that are applied, in [Kowalski 2008; Jouve et al. 2013; Lubotzky and Rosenzweig 2012]. Again, strong and superstrong approximation plays a central role.

In a different direction, Lubotzky and Meiri [2012] examine some group theoretic questions for linear groups using a random walk and a sieve. An example of what they show is: Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ which is not virtually solvable, then the set of proper powers $P := \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} \{\gamma^m : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, is exponentially small (in terms of hitting P in a long random walk). In particular, this resolved an open question as to whether finitely many translates of P can cover Γ , the answer being no.

2.3. Gonality and Heegaard genus. A compact Riemann surface of genus g can be realized as a covering of the plane of degree at most $g+1$ (Riemann–Roch). The gonality $d(X)$ of X is the minimal degree of such a realization. Unlike $g(X)$, $d(X)$ is a subtle conformal invariant. In [Zograf 1984] (see also [Yau 1996; Abramovich 1996]) the differential geometric inequality of [Yang and Yau 1980] is extended to the setting of $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, a finite area quotient (orbifold) of the hyperbolic plane. If $A(X)$ is its area and $\lambda_1(X)$ its first Laplace eigenvalue,

²For example to showing that it is very unlikely that a random three dimensional manifold in the Dunfield–Thurston model [2006], has a positive first Betti number.

then

$$d(X) \geq \frac{\lambda_1(X)A(X)}{8\pi}. \tag{2-1}$$

This together with the known bounds towards the Ramanujan/Selberg conjectures for congruence (arithmetic) X s (see [Blomer and Brumley 2011] for the best bounds for GL_2/K , where K is a number field which is what is relevant here) imply that for these X s, the ratio of any two of $d(X)$, $A(X)$ and $(g(X) + 1)$ is bounded universally from above and below.

There is a generalization of (2-1) to finite volume quotients $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^m$ (orbifolds) of hyperbolic m -space [Agol et al. 2008]. This is stated in terms of Li and Yau’s notion [1982] of conformal volume. It gives an inequality between $\text{Vol}(X)$, $\lambda_1(X)$ and the conformal volume of a piecewise conformal map of X into S^n . Again, this, together with the known universal lower bounds for $\lambda_1(X)$ when X is congruence arithmetic [Burger and Sarnak 1991; Clozel 2003], gives a linear in the volume, lower bound for the conformal volume of a conformal map of X to S^m . This has a nice application to reflection groups. A discrete group of motions of \mathbb{H}^m is called a reflection group if it is generated by reflections (a reflection of \mathbb{H}^m is a nontrivial isometry which fixes an $m - 1$ dimensional hyperplane). Using the inequalities mentioned above, one shows (see [Long et al. 2006] for $m = 2$ and [Agol et al. 2008] for $m > 2$) that the set of maximal arithmetic reflection groups is finite for each m . Now Vinberg [1984] and Prokhorov [1986] have shown that for $m \geq 1000$, a reflection group can never be a lattice. Thus the totality of all maximal arithmetic reflection groups is finite.

Equation (2-1) has interesting applications to diophantine equations. As observed in [Abramovich 1991; Frey 1994], Faltings’ finiteness theorem [1991] for rational points on subvarieties of abelian varieties can be used to prove finiteness of rational points on curves, whose coordinates lie in the union of all number fields of a bounded degree, as long as one can show the gonality of the curve is large enough. For example, if $X_0(N)/\mathbb{Q}$ is the familiar modular curve of level N and if D is given, then for $N \geq 230D$ (this value following from (2-1) and explicit Ramanujan bounds), the set of points on $X_0(N)$ with coordinates in the union of all number of fields of degree at most D , is finite! Recently Ellenberg, Hall and Kowalski [Ellenberg et al. 2012] have applied similar reasoning to a diophantine problem on a tower of curves. It arises from questions of reducibility and symmetry of specializations of members of a 1-parameter family of varieties. The curves that arise (as the parameter) are determined by the monodromy group Γ of the family (see below), and it lies in $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and is assumed to be Zariski dense in $\text{Sp}(2g)$. In order to show that the gonality of the curves in question increase quickly enough, they use the combinatorial expansion that is provided

by the fundamental expansion theorem. Typically it is not known if Γ is thin or not in this context (see Section 3), but the beauty of the fundamental theorem is that one does not need to know!

There is an inequality similar to (2-1) for the Heegaard genus of a hyperbolic 3-manifold X . It is known that such an X can be decomposed into two handle bodies with common boundary a surface of genus h (called a Heegaard splitting). The minimal genus of such a surface in a splitting is called the Heegaard genus of X which we denote by $g(X)$. Like the gonality, it is a much more subtle (this time topological) invariant of X than its volume. In [Lackenby 2006] (see Theorem 4.1 and [Buser 1982]) it is shown that for complete X of finite volume

$$g(X) \geq \frac{\min[\lambda_1(X), 1] \cdot \text{Vol}(X)}{32\pi}. \quad (2-2)$$

Applying this together with the universal lower bounds for λ_1 for congruence arithmetic X 's shows that the Heegaard genus of a congruence hyperbolic three manifold is in order of magnitude, a linear function of its volume. In particular, any arithmetic 3-manifold has an infinite tower (by congruence subgroups) of coverings whose Heegaard genus grows linearly with the volume. One can ask if the same is true for any hyperbolic 3-manifold and the answer is yes as was shown in [Long et al. 2008]. Using local rigidity of lattices in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ one can realize Γ where $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$, as a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(K)$, where K is some number field. If Γ is not arithmetic then Γ is thin (in $\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ perhaps allowing denominators at finitely many places), since its projection on the identity embedding of K into \mathbb{C} is discrete. Using the fundamental expansion theorem gives a lower bound on λ_1 for a ‘‘congruence tower’’ of Γ and one then applies (2-2).

A related application of the expansion is to some questions in knot theory. Answering a question of Gromov, Pardon [2011] recently showed that there are isotopy classes of knots in S^3 which have arbitrary large distortion. In fact he shows that torus knots have this property. In [Gromov and Guth 2012] a large family of knots with large distortion is constructed using hyperbolic 3-manifolds X . Such an X can be realized as a degree 3 cover of S^3 branched over a knot K [Hilden 1976; Montesinos 1976]. Gromov and Guth [2012] show that the distortion $\delta(K)$ of this K satisfies $\delta(K) \gg \text{Vol}(X)\lambda_1(X)$, (the implied constant being universal). From this and the lower bound for λ_1 when X varies over congruence arithmetic 3-manifolds (or a congruence thin tower and using the fundamental expansion theorem) one concludes that K and all knots isotopic to it has arbitrarily large distortion by choosing such X of large volume.

2.4. Rotation groups. Let $\Gamma = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t \rangle$ be a finitely generated subgroup of the group $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$. There is an archimedean analogue of the expander property

for the congruence graphs in this setting and which likewise has many applications [Lubotzky 1994; Sarnak 1990]. Define T_σ to be the averaging operator on functions on the two sphere S^2 by:

$$T_\sigma f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^t [f(\sigma_j x) + f(\sigma_j^{-1} x)]. \quad (2-3)$$

T_σ is self adjoint on $L^2(S^2, dA)$, where dA is the rotation invariant area element on S^2 , and its spectrum is contained in $[-2t, 2t]$. The spectral gap property is that $2t$ (which is an eigenvalue with eigenvector the constant function) is a simple and isolated point of the spectrum. It is not hard to see that this property depends only on Γ and not on the generators. It is conjectured that Γ has such a spectral gap if and only if $\text{Zcl}(\Gamma) = \text{SO}_3$ (which in this case is equivalent to the topological closure of Γ being $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$). A lot is known towards this conjecture. The first example of a Γ with a spectral gap was given by Drinfeld [1984] and this provided the final step in the solution of the Ruziewicz problem; that the only finitely additive rotationally invariant measure defined on Lebesgue measurable subsets of S^2 , is a multiple of dA . His proof of the spectral gap makes use of an arithmetic such Γ together with the full force of automorphic forms and the solution of the Ramanujan conjectures for holomorphic cusp forms on the upper half plane. In [Gamburd et al. 1999] many thin Γ s are shown to have a spectral gap. The best result known is the analogue of the fundamental expansion theorem in this context [Bourgain and Gamburd 2008a; 2010], and it suffices for most applications. It asserts that if the matrix elements of members of Γ are algebraic, then the conjecture is true for Γ . Like the very thin cases of the fundamental expansion theorem, part of the proof here relies on additive combinatorics. This time one needs the full force of the proof of the local Erdős–Volkmann ring conjecture [Edgar and Miller 2003; Bourgain 2003] — that a subset of \mathbb{R} which is closed under addition and multiplication has Hausdorff dimension zero or one. As far as some concrete applications of the spectral gap for these groups, we mention the speed of equidistribution of directions associated with general quaquaversal tilings of three dimensional space [Draco et al. 2000; Radin and Sadun 1998] and constructions of quantum gates in the theory of quantum computation (the Solovay–Kitaev theorem; see [Harrow et al. 2002]).

3. Ubiquity of thin groups

Given a finitely generated group Γ in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, one can usually compute $G = \text{Zcl}(\Gamma)$ without too much difficulty. On the other hand, deciding if Γ is thin can be formidable. In fact one is flirting here with questions that have no

decision procedures (I thank Rivin for alerting me to these pitfalls that are close by). For example, if $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ then there is no decision procedure to determine if an element $A \in \Gamma$ is in the group generated by a general set of say seven elements [Mikhailova 1958]. Even for Gromov hyperbolic groups, the question of whether a finitely generated subgroup generates a finite index subgroup, has no decision procedure [Rips 1982; Baumslag et al. 1994]. Mercifully strong and superstrong approximation only ask about $\mathrm{Zcl}(\Gamma)$. Still one is curious about thinness when applying these theorems and sometimes for good reason. For example, in the affine sieve setting, the quality of the expansion impacts the results dramatically (see [Nevo and Sarnak 2010] for the cases when Γ is a lattice) while the diophantine orbit problems become more standard ones of integer points on homogeneous varieties, when Γ is a lattice. Whether the typical Γ is thin or not is not so clear, and may depend on how Γ arises.

3.1. Schottky, ping-pong. Schottky groups in which the generators play ping-pong [Tits 1972; Breuillard and Gelfand 2003] are one of the few classes of discrete groups whose group theoretic structure is very simple. If one chooses A_1, A_2, \dots, A_ℓ independently and at random in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ($n \geq 2$), then with high probability $\Gamma = \langle A_1, \dots, A_\ell \rangle$ will be free on these generators, Zariski dense in SL_n and thin. If the A_j are chosen at the m -th step of a μ -random walk ($m \rightarrow \infty$) and support (μ) generates $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then this was proved in [Aoun 2011]. A more geometric version is proven in [Fuchs and Rivin ≥ 2012] where the A s are chosen independently and uniformly by taking them from the set of B s with $\max(\|B\|, \|B^{-1}\|)$ less than X . Here $\|\cdot\|$ is any euclidean norm on the space of matrices and $X \rightarrow \infty$. Not only is Γ thin but it is very thin in the sense that the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of Γ acting on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is arbitrarily small.

3.2. Nonarithmetic lattices. If $\Gamma \leq G$ with $G \neq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, is an irreducible nonarithmetic lattice in a semisimple real group G , then Γ is naturally thin in the appropriate product by its conjugates. The argument is the same as the one in Section 2.3 using local rigidity. The certificate of being thin is that Γ is discrete in the factor corresponding to G . Examples of this kind which come from monodromy of hypergeometric differential equations in several variables are given in [Deligne and Mostow 1986] and in one variable in [Cohen and Wolfart 1990]. It appears that these were the first examples of thin monodromy groups (see Section 3.5 below). Other examples of thin monodromy groups in products of SL_2 s are given in [Nori 1986] and these examples aren't even finitely presented. Teichmüller curves in the moduli space M_2 of curves of genus 2, give via Abel–Jacobi, curves in A_2 whose monodromies (inclusion of fundamental

groups) are thin [McMullen 2003]. Here too the Zariski closure is a nontrivial product in Sp_4 and the thinness follows from having a discrete projection.

3.3. Reflection groups in hyperbolic space. Let f be an integral quadratic form in n -variables and of signature $(n - 1, 1)$. For $n \geq 3$, $O_f(\mathbb{Z})$ the group of integral automorphs of f is a lattice in $G = O_f(\mathbb{R})$. The reflective subgroup R_f is the subgroup of $O_f(\mathbb{Z})$ which is generated by all the hyperbolic reflections which are in $O_f(\mathbb{Z})$. R_f is a normal subgroup of $O_f(\mathbb{Z})$ and if it is nontrivial, then $\mathrm{Zcl}(R_f) = O_f$. Vinberg [1984] and Nikulin [1987] have examined the question of when R_f is of finite index in $O_f(\mathbb{Z})$ (they call such an f reflective). In particular, in [Nikulin 1987] it is shown that there are only finitely many f s (up to integral equivalence) which are reflective. Thus for all but finitely many f s, R_f , if it is nontrivial, is a thin group in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (albeit infinitely generated). Note that Nikulin's theorem fails for $n = 2$. If f is a binary quadratic form, then f is reflective if and only if it is ambiguous in the sense of Gauss (see [Sarnak 2007]) and Gauss determined the ambiguous forms in his study of genus theory.

3.4. Rotation groups. An interesting family of rotation groups are the groups $\Gamma(m, n)$, $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 3$ generated by σ_m and τ_n where

$$\sigma_m = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi/m & \sin 2\pi/m & 0 \\ -\sin 2\pi/m & \cos 2\pi/m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2\pi/n & \sin 2\pi/n \\ 0 & -\sin 2\pi/n & \cos 2\pi/n \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, $\Gamma(m, n)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_f(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$, generated by two rotations about orthogonal axes and of orders m and n respectively. These arise in the theory of quaquaversal tilings of 3-space and their generalizations [Conway and Radin 1998; Radin and Sadun 1998].

As abstract groups, these are free products of two cyclic (or dihedral) groups amalgamated over a similar such group (except for $\Gamma(4, 4)$ which is finite and which we avoid); see [Radin and Sadun 1999]. This description can be used to decide the question of whether $\Gamma(m, n)$ is thin or not and also to show that thin is the rule rather than the exception. If

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\cos 2\pi/m, \sin 2\pi/m, \cos 2\pi/n, \sin 2\pi/n),$$

then K is a totally real Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with abelian Galois group $G_{m,n}$. It is plain that $\Gamma(m, n)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_f(O[\frac{1}{2}])$, where O is the ring of integers of K . Moreover, since $\Gamma(m, n)$ is infinite, the powers of 2 in the denominators of the matrix entries of $\Gamma(m, n)$ must be unbounded (otherwise $\Gamma(m, n)$ would be a discrete subgroup of the compact group $\prod_{v|\infty} \mathrm{SO}_f(K_v)$). Hence the smallest S -arithmetic group to contain a subgroup commensurable with $\Gamma(m, n)$ is $\mathrm{SO}_f(O_S)$ where O_S are the S -integers of K , and S consists of the places of K dividing

2. Our thinness question is whether $\Gamma(m, n)$ is of finite or infinite index in the latter. If $|S| \geq 2$, then any finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_f(O_S)$ is a lattice in the higher rank group, $\prod_{\nu|(2)} \mathrm{SO}_f(K_\nu)$. By well known rigidity properties of such lattices [Margulis 1991] (or one can argue with vanishing of first cohomology groups) and the description of $\Gamma(m, n)$ mentioned above, it follows that $\Gamma(m, n)$ cannot be such a lattice. That is if $|S| \geq 2$, then $\Gamma(m, n)$ is thin and the former holds most of the time (for example if $G_{m,n}$ is not cyclic then $|S| \geq 2$). If $|S| = 1$, then $\Gamma(m, n)$ may be arithmetic and it is so in some special cases.³ Perhaps the most interesting cases where $|S| = 1$ are when $m = 4$ and $n = 2^\nu$, $\nu \geq 3$, for which 2 is totally ramified. These have been investigated in [Robinson 2006; Serre 2009]. Serre shows that for $\nu = 3$ and 4, $\Gamma(4, 2^\nu)$ is arithmetic (in fact, $\Gamma(4, 2^\nu) = \mathrm{SO}_f(O[\frac{1}{2}])$) while for $\nu \geq 5$, it is thin. The thinness is proven by comparing the Euler characteristics $\chi(\mathrm{SO}_f(O[\frac{1}{2}]))$ and $\chi(\Gamma(4, 2^\nu))$, the first using a Tamagawa number computation and the second from the abstract group description of $\Gamma(4, 2^\nu)$.

3.5. Monodromy groups. The oldest and perhaps most natural source of finitely generated linear groups comes from monodromy in all of its guises. These include the very classical case of monodromy of the hypergeometric differential equation which we discuss further below, as well as that of a family of varieties varying over a base with its monodromy action on cohomology. For large families, and in cases where the monodromy has been computed, it appears almost always to be arithmetic. The question as to whether such monodromy groups are arithmetic was first raised in [Griffiths and Schmid 1975]. For example for the universal family of smooth projective hypersurfaces of degree d and dimension n in projective space, the monodromy representation on $H^n(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$, X_0 a base hypersurface, is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(H^n(X_0))(\mathbb{Z})$; see [Beauville 1986] where the exact level in $G(\mathbb{Z})$ is determined. For smaller families such as cyclic covers of \mathbb{P}^1 , which have recently been studied in [McMullen 2013] in connection with the thinness question, the story is similar. More precisely, consider the family of curves (in affine coordinates) given by

$$C_a : y^d = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_{n+1}), \quad (3-1)$$

where the parameters a vary so that $a_i \neq a_j$, for $i \neq j$. The fundamental group of the space of a s is the pure braid group and it has a monodromy representation on $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, g the genus of C_a , and again C is a fixed base curve. Answering a question in [McMullen 2013], Venkataramana [2012] shows that if $n \geq 2d$, then

³The quaquaversal tiling [Conway and Radin 1998] has symmetry group $\Gamma(3, 6)$, which is arithmetic [Serre 2009], while the dite/kart tiling [Radin and Sadun 1998] has symmetry $\Gamma(10, 4)$, for which $K = \mathbb{Q}(\cos \pi/10)$, $G_{10,4} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $|S| = 2$; hence the latter is thin.

the image of the monodromy representation of the braid group in $GL(H_1(C))(\mathbb{Z})$ is arithmetic. This generalizes a result of [A'Campo 1979] for $d = 2$. The proof is based on another result of Venkataramana [1994] which asserts that for \mathbb{Q} rank two or higher arithmetic groups, a Zariski dense subgroup which contains enough elements from opposite horospherical subgroups is necessarily arithmetic. If $n < 2d$, then as observed in [McMullen 2013], there are examples based on the nonarithmetic lattices of [Deligne and Mostow 1986] in $SU(2, 1)$ which are thin (one such is $n = 3$ and $d = 18$).

The thinness story for monodromy groups of one parameter families is less clear. We discuss in some detail the very rich examples of the classical hypergeometric equation. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and consider the ${}_nF_{n-1}$ algebraic hypergeometric equation:

$$Du = 0, \tag{3-2}$$

where $D = (\theta + \beta_1 - 1)(\theta + \beta_2 - 1) \cdots (\theta - \beta_n - 1) - z(\theta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\theta + \alpha_n)$ and $\theta = z d/dz$.

The equation is regular outside $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and the fundamental group

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$$

has a representation in GL_n gotten by analytic continuation of a basis of solutions to (3-2) along curves in the thrice punctured sphere. Its image in GL_n is denoted by $H(\alpha, \beta)$ and is the monodromy group in question (defined up to conjugation in GL_n). $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is generated by the local monodromies A, B, C ($C = A^{-1}B$) gotten from loops about $0, \infty$ and 1 , respectively; see [Beukers and Heckman 1989] for a detailed description. We restrict to H s which can be conjugated into $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which is equivalent to the characteristic polynomials of A and B being products of cyclotomic polynomials.⁴ Such $H(\alpha, \beta)$ are self-dual and according to [Beukers and Heckman 1989], their Zariski closures $G(\alpha, \beta)$ are either finite, O_n or Sp_n , and they determine which it is explicitly in terms of α and β . Our interest is whether $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is of finite or infinite index in $G(\alpha, \beta)(\mathbb{Z})$. Other than the cases where $H(\alpha, \beta)$ (or equivalently $G(\alpha, \beta)$) are finite, all of which are listed in [Beukers and Heckman 1989], there are few cases where $H(\alpha, \beta)$ itself is known.

Recently Venkatamarana [2012] has shown that for n even and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{n+1} \right), \\ \beta &= \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{n} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3-3}$$

⁴We assume further that (α, β) are primitive in the sense of [Beukers and Heckman 1989].

$H(\alpha, \beta)$ is arithmetic (here $G(\alpha, \beta) = \mathrm{Sp}(n)$). He deduces this by showing that for these exact parameters, the monodromy representation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$ factors through a representation of the braid group on (3-1) with $d = 2$. In particular the arithmeticity follows from the arithmeticity of the latter.

The very fruitful Dwork family (see [Katz 2009; Harris et al. 2010]) $n \geq 4$ even, and

$$\alpha = (0, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\beta = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{2}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{n}{n+1} \right), \tag{3-4}$$

is apparently different. Again $G(\alpha, \beta) = \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ and for $n = 4$, the local monodromies are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3-5}$$

Very recently, Brav and Thomas [2012] have shown that A and C in (3-5) play generalized ping-pong on certain subsets of \mathbb{P}^3 , from which it follows that $H(\alpha, \beta) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$. From rigidity, or the first cohomology properties of finite index subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $H(\alpha, \beta)$ must be thin. It seems likely that $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is thin for the whole Dwork family, that is, $n \geq 4$, but other than showing that the corresponding A and C s play ping-pong, there appear to be no known means of proving this and no infinite family of thin $H(\alpha, \beta)$ s with $G(\alpha, \beta)$ symplectic is known. For $n = 4$ there are 112 such $H(\alpha, \beta)$ s in $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ [Singh and Venkataramana 2012].⁵ Using extensions of the technique in [Venkataramana 2012] it is shown in [Singh and Venkataramana 2012] that of these, 63 are arithmetic. Of these, three⁶ correspond to the 14 hypergeometrics associated with certain Calabi–Yau three folds (see [Doran and Morgan 2006; Chen et al. 2008]). Of the other 11, seven are shown to be thin in [Brav and Thomas 2012], again by finding ping-pong sets in \mathbb{P}^3 . This leaves four of these Calabi–Yau’s for which the thinness question is open. It would be interesting to understand the geometric significance, if there is one, for $H(\alpha, \beta)$ being thin or not in these families.

What is lacking above is a certificate for $H(\alpha, \beta)$ being thin that can be applied for example to families (i.e., $n \rightarrow \infty$). A robust such certificate has been provided in the case that $G(\alpha, \beta)(\mathbb{R})$ is of rank one and $n > 3$ [Fuchs et al. 2013]. In these cases $G(\alpha, \beta)$, as a group defined over \mathbb{Q} is O_f , where f is a rational

⁵Remarkably, all of these are realized geometrically [Doran and Morgan 2006, Theorem 2.12].

⁶ $((0, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6})), ((0, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6})), ((0, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{9}{10}))$.

quadratic form in an odd number of variables and of signature $(n - 1, 1)$ (over \mathbb{R}). We call these (α, β) s hyperbolic hypergeometrics and besides a (long) list of sporadic examples, they come in seven infinite parametric families [Fuchs et al. 2013]. Our conjecture for these is that thin rules, that is for all but finitely many of the hyperbolic hypergeometrics, $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is thin. This is proved in [Fuchs et al. 2013] for a number (but not all) of the seven families. For example for n odd consider the two families

$$\alpha = \left(0, \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{2}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}, \frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}, \dots, \frac{n}{n+1}\right),$$

$$\beta = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}\right),$$
(3-6)

and

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2n-2}, \frac{3}{2n-2}, \dots, \frac{2n-3}{2n-2}\right),$$

$$\beta = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{2}{n-2}, \dots, \frac{n-3}{n-2}\right).$$
(3-7)

Both of these families are hyperbolic hypergeometrics and for both $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is thin for $n \geq 5$ and is arithmetic for $n = 3$.

The proof is based on the following principle: if $\psi : G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K$ is a morphism onto a group K for which $|\psi(H(\alpha, \beta)) \setminus K| = \infty$, then certainly $H(\alpha, \beta)$ is of infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$. Now in the higher rank cases there are no useful such ψ s (by the Margulis normal subgroup theorem [1991] in these cases if K is infinite then $\ker(\psi)$ is finite), however, in the rank one case such ψ s may exist and yield a certificate of thinness. Indeed in this hyperbolic case if R_f is the Vinberg reflection subgroup described in Section 3.3, then as mentioned there, except for finitely many f s, $K_f := O_f(\mathbb{Z})/R_f$ is infinite. To use this one needs to analyze the image of $H(\alpha, \beta)$ in K_f . The key observation is that up to the finite index the hyperbolic hypergeometrics are generated by Cartan involutions.⁷ These are linear reflections of \mathbb{Q}^n which induce isometries on hyperbolic space given by geodesic inversions in a point [the hyperbolic reflections are generated by root vectors v in \mathbb{Z}^n outside the light cone ($f(v) > 0$) while the Cartan involutions by root vectors w in \mathbb{Z}^n inside the light cone, in fact $f(w) = -2$]. In order to examine the image of a group generated by such Cartan involutions in K_f , consider the “minimum distance graph,” X_f . Its vertices are the integral Cartan root vectors $V_{-2}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n : f(v) = -2\}$, and v and w are joined if $f(v, w) = -3$. One can show that the components of X_f consist of finitely many isomorphism types and each is the Cayley graph of a finitely generated

⁷The local monodromy C about 1 is always a pseudoreflection and in these cases yields a Cartan involution.

Coxeter group. The main lemma [Fuchs et al. 2013] asserts that if $\Sigma \subset V_{-2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a connected component of X_f then the image of the group generated by the Cartan involutions r_v with roots $v \in \Sigma$, is a finite subgroup of K_f .⁸ This together with Vinberg and Nikulin's theorems gives a robust certificate for the thinness of these hyperbolic hypergeometric monodromies. As far as I know (3-6) and (3-7) give the first family of thin monodromy groups in high dimensions for which G is simple.

We end with some comments about the arithmetic Ramanujan conjectures. The gonality of a congruence arithmetic surface being linear in its genus and the Heegaard genus of a congruence hyperbolic three manifold being linear in its volume, as well as the proof that there are only finitely many maximal arithmetic reflection groups, all appeal to the uniform lower bounds for λ_1 for all such manifolds. This follows from what is known towards the Ramanujan conjectures but it does not follow from the fundamental expansion theorem since the latter only applies to one tower at a time. As far as the general Ramanujan conjectures, some progress has been made since [Sarnak 2005]. Namely in [Arthur 2013] a precise formulation of the Ramanujan conjectures for these groups is given, and moreover it is shown (assuming forms of the fundamental lemma which themselves should be theorems before too long) that these conjectures will follow if one can prove the Ramanujan conjectures for GL_m .

Acknowledgement

These brief notes cover a lot of ground. I thank my collaborators, the people whose work is quoted and the many mathematicians with whom I have discussed aspects of the theory connected with these thin groups. Thanks to the referee for pointing me to the relevant applications in [Gromov and Guth 2012].

References

- [Abramovich 1991] D. Abramovich, *Subvarieties of abelian varieties and of jacobians of curves*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1991, <http://search.proquest.com/docview/303906247>.
- [Abramovich 1996] D. Abramovich, "A linear lower bound on the gonality of modular curves", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1996**:20 (1996), 1005–1011.
- [A'Campo 1979] N. A'Campo, "Tresses, monodromie et le groupe symplectique", *Comment. Math. Helv.* **54**:2 (1979), 318–327.
- [Agol et al. 2008] I. Agol, M. Belolipetsky, P. Storm, and K. Whyte, "Finiteness of arithmetic hyperbolic reflection groups", *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **2**:4 (2008), 481–498.
- [Aoun 2011] R. Aoun, "Random subgroups of linear groups are free", *Duke Math. J.* **160**:1 (2011), 117–173.

⁸The proof makes use of the quite special feature of the binary form $g = x^2 + 3xy + y^2$ of being integrally equivalent to $-g$ (called reciprocal in [Sarnak 2007]).

- [Arthur 2013] J. Arthur, *The endoscopic classification of representations: Orthogonal and symplectic groups*, Colloquium publications **61**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [Balog and Szemerédi 1994] A. Balog and E. Szemerédi, “A statistical theorem of set addition”, *Combinatorica* **14**:3 (1994), 263–268.
- [Baumslag et al. 1994] G. Baumslag, C. F. Miller, III, and H. Short, “Unsolvable problems about small cancellation and word hyperbolic groups”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26**:1 (1994), 97–101.
- [Beauville 1986] A. Beauville, “Le groupe de monodromie des familles universelles d’hypersurfaces et d’intersections complètes”, pp. 8–18 in *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry* (Göttingen, 1985), edited by H. Grauert, Lecture Notes in Math. **1194**, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [Beukers and Heckman 1989] F. Beukers and G. Heckman, “Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$ ”, *Invent. Math.* **95**:2 (1989), 325–354.
- [Blomer and Brumley 2011] V. Blomer and F. Brumley, “On the Ramanujan conjecture over number fields”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174**:1 (2011), 581–605.
- [Bourgain 2003] J. Bourgain, “On the Erdős–Volkmann and Katz–Tao ring conjectures”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13**:2 (2003), 334–365.
- [Bourgain and Fuchs 2011] J. Bourgain and E. Fuchs, “A proof of the positive density conjecture for integer Apollonian circle packings”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**:4 (2011), 945–967.
- [Bourgain and Gamburd 2008a] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, “On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $SU(2)$ ”, *Invent. Math.* **171**:1 (2008), 83–121.
- [Bourgain and Gamburd 2008b] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, “Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167**:2 (2008), 625–642.
- [Bourgain and Gamburd 2010] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, “Spectral gaps in $SU(d)$ ”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **348**:11–12 (2010), 609–611.
- [Bourgain and Kontorovich 2013a] J. Bourgain and A. Kontorovich, “On the strong density conjecture for integral circle packings”, preprint, 2013. arXiv 1205.4416
- [Bourgain and Kontorovich 2013b] J. Bourgain and A. Kontorovich, “On Zaremba’s conjecture”, preprint, 2013. arXiv 1107.3776
- [Bourgain et al. 2004] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, and T. Tao, “A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14**:1 (2004), 27–57.
- [Bourgain et al. 2010] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, and P. Sarnak, “Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product”, *Invent. Math.* **179**:3 (2010), 559–644.
- [Bourgain et al. 2011] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, and P. Sarnak, “Generalization of Selberg’s $\frac{3}{16}$ theorem and affine sieve”, *Acta Math.* **207**:2 (2011), 255–290.
- [Brav and Thomas 2012] C. Brav and H. Thomas, “Classical and derived monodromy of the quintic threefold”, preprint, 2012, <http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/brav/monodromy.pdf>.
- [Breuillard and Gelander 2003] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander, “On dense free subgroups of Lie groups”, *J. Algebra* **261**:2 (2003), 448–467.
- [Breuillard et al. 2011] E. Breuillard, B. Green, and T. Tao, “Approximate subgroups of linear groups”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **21**:4 (2011), 774–819.
- [Burger and Sarnak 1991] M. Burger and P. Sarnak, “Ramanujan duals, II”, *Invent. Math.* **106**:1 (1991), 1–11.
- [Buser 1982] P. Buser, “A note on the isoperimetric constant”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **15**:2 (1982), 213–230.
- [Chen et al. 2008] Y.-H. Chen, Y. Yang, and N. Yui, “Monodromy of Picard–Fuchs differential equations for Calabi–Yau threefolds”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **616** (2008), 167–203.

- [Clozel 2003] L. Clozel, “Démonstration de la conjecture τ ”, *Invent. Math.* **151**:2 (2003), 297–328.
- [Cohen and Wolfart 1990] P. Cohen and J. Wolfart, “Modular embeddings for some nonarithmetic Fuchsian groups”, *Acta Arith.* **56**:2 (1990), 93–110.
- [Conway and Radin 1998] J. H. Conway and C. Radin, “Quaquaversal tilings and rotations”, *Invent. Math.* **132**:1 (1998), 179–188.
- [Deligne and Mostow 1986] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow, “Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and nonlattice integral monodromy”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **63** (1986), 5–89.
- [Doran and Morgan 2006] C. F. Doran and J. W. Morgan, “Mirror symmetry and integral variations of Hodge structure underlying one-parameter families of Calabi–Yau threefolds”, pp. 517–537 in *Mirror symmetry, V*, edited by N. Yui et al., AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Draco et al. 2000] B. Draco, L. Sadun, and D. Van Wieren, “Growth rates in the quaquaversal tiling”, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **23**:3 (2000), 419–435.
- [Drinfeld 1984] V. G. Drinfeld, “Finitely-additive measures on S^2 and S^3 , invariant with respect to rotations”, *Funct. Anal. and Appl.* **18**:3 (1984), 245–246.
- [Dunfield and Thurston 2006] N. M. Dunfield and W. P. Thurston, “Finite covers of random 3-manifolds”, *Invent. Math.* **166**:3 (2006), 457–521.
- [Edgar and Miller 2003] G. A. Edgar and C. Miller, “Borel subrings of the reals”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**:4 (2003), 1121–1129.
- [Ellenberg et al. 2012] J. S. Ellenberg, C. Hall, and E. Kowalski, “Expander graphs, gonality, and variation of Galois representations”, *Duke Math. J.* **161**:7 (2012), 1233–1275.
- [Faltings 1991] G. Faltings, “Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **133**:3 (1991), 549–576.
- [Frey 1994] G. Frey, “Curves with infinitely many points of fixed degree”, *Israel J. Math.* **85**:1-3 (1994), 79–83.
- [Fuchs and Rivin ≥ 2012] E. Fuchs and I. Rivin, “Finitely generated subgroups of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ are generically thin”.
- [Fuchs and Sanden 2011] E. Fuchs and K. Sanden, “Some experiments with integral Apollonian circle packings”, *Exp. Math.* **20**:4 (2011), 380–399.
- [Fuchs et al. 2013] E. Fuchs, C. Meiri, and P. Sarnak, “Hyperbolic monodromy groups for the hypergeometric equation and Cartan involutions”, preprint, 2013. arXiv 1305.0729
- [Gamburd 2002] A. Gamburd, “On the spectral gap for infinite index “congruence” subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ ”, *Israel J. Math.* **127** (2002), 157–200.
- [Gamburd et al. 1999] A. Gamburd, D. Jakobson, and P. Sarnak, “Spectra of elements in the group ring of $SU(2)$ ”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **1**:1 (1999), 51–85.
- [Gowers 2001] W. T. Gowers, “A new proof of Szemerédi’s theorem”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11**:3 (2001), 465–588.
- [Graham et al. 2003] R. L. Graham, J. C. Lagarias, C. L. Mallows, A. R. Wilks, and C. H. Yan, “Apollonian circle packings: Number theory”, *J. Number Theory* **100**:1 (2003), 1–45.
- [Griffiths and Schmid 1975] P. Griffiths and W. Schmid, “Recent developments in Hodge theory: A discussion of techniques and results”, pp. 31–127 in *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and applications to moduli* (Bombay, 1973), edited by W. L. Baily, Oxford Univ. Press, Bombay, 1975.

- [Gromov and Guth 2012] M. Gromov and L. Guth, “Generalizations of the Kolmogorov–Barzdin embedding estimates”, *Duke Math. J.* **161**:13 (2012), 2549–2603.
- [Harris et al. 2010] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron, and R. Taylor, “A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **171**:2 (2010), 779–813.
- [Harrow et al. 2002] A. W. Harrow, B. Recht, and I. L. Chuang, “Efficient discrete approximations of quantum gates”, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**:9 (2002), 4445–4451.
- [Helfgott 2008] H. A. Helfgott, “Growth and generation in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167**:2 (2008), 601–623.
- [Hilden 1976] H. M. Hilden, “Three-fold branched coverings of S^3 ”, *Amer. J. Math.* **98**:4 (1976), 989–997.
- [Hoory et al. 2006] S. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson, “Expander graphs and their applications”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **43**:4 (2006), 439–561.
- [Jouve et al. 2013] F. Jouve, E. Kowalski, and D. Zywinia, “Splitting fields of characteristic polynomials of random elements in arithmetic groups”, *Israel J. of Math.* **193**:1 (2013), 263–307.
- [Katz 2009] N. M. Katz, “Another look at the Dwork family”, pp. 89–126 in *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: In honor of Yu. I. Manin, II*, edited by Y. Tschinkel and Y. Zarhin, Progr. Math. **270**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2009.
- [Kontorovich and Oh 2011] A. Kontorovich and H. Oh, “Apollonian circle packings and closed horospheres on hyperbolic 3-manifolds”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**:3 (2011), 603–648.
- [Kowalski 2008] E. Kowalski, *The large sieve and its applications: Arithmetic geometry, random walks and discrete groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **175**, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [Lackenby 2006] M. Lackenby, “Heegaard splittings, the virtually Haken conjecture and property (τ) ”, *Invent. Math.* **164**:2 (2006), 317–359.
- [Lalley 1989] S. P. Lalley, “Renewal theorems in symbolic dynamics, with applications to geodesic flows, non-Euclidean tessellations and their fractal limits”, *Acta Math.* **163**:1-2 (1989), 1–55.
- [Larsen and Pink 2011] M. J. Larsen and R. Pink, “Finite subgroups of algebraic groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**:4 (2011), 1105–1158.
- [Lax and Phillips 1982] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, “The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **46**:3 (1982), 280–350.
- [Lee and Oh 2013] M. Lee and H. Oh, “Effective circle count for Apollonian packings and closed horospheres”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23**:2 (2013), 580–621.
- [Li and Yau 1982] P. Li and S. T. Yau, “A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces”, *Invent. Math.* **69**:2 (1982), 269–291.
- [Long et al. 2006] D. D. Long, C. Maclachlan, and A. W. Reid, “Arithmetic Fuchsian groups of genus zero”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **2**:2 (2006), 569–599.
- [Long et al. 2008] D. D. Long, A. Lubotzky, and A. W. Reid, “Heegaard genus and property τ for hyperbolic 3-manifolds”, *J. Topol.* **1**:1 (2008), 152–158.
- [Lubotzky 1994] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics **125**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [Lubotzky 2005] A. Lubotzky, “What is . . . property (τ) ?”, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **52**:6 (2005), 626–627.
- [Lubotzky and Meiri 2012] A. Lubotzky and C. Meiri, “Sieve methods in group theory, I: Powers in linear groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25**:4 (2012), 1119–1148.

- [Lubotzky and Rosenzweig 2012] A. Lubotzky and L. Rosenzweig, “The Galois group of random elements of linear groups”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1205.5290
- [Lubotzky and Weiss 1993] A. Lubotzky and B. Weiss, “Groups and expanders”, pp. 95–109 in *Expanding graphs* (Princeton, NJ, 1992), edited by J. Friedman, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [Margulis 1991] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **17**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [Matthews et al. 1984] C. R. Matthews, L. N. Vaserstein, and B. Weisfeiler, “Congruence properties of Zariski-dense subgroups, I”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **48**:3 (1984), 514–532.
- [McMullen 2003] C. T. McMullen, “Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:4 (2003), 857–885.
- [McMullen 2013] C. T. McMullen, “Braid groups and Hodge theory”, *Math. Ann.* **355**:3 (2013), 893–946.
- [Mikhailova 1958] K. A. Mikhailova, “The occurrence problem for direct products of groups”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **119** (1958), 1103–1105. In Russian.
- [Montesinos 1976] J. M. Montesinos, “Three-manifolds as 3-fold branched covers of S^3 ”, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **27**:105 (1976), 85–94.
- [Nevo and Sarnak 2010] A. Nevo and P. Sarnak, “Prime and almost prime integral points on principal homogeneous spaces”, *Acta Math.* **205**:2 (2010), 361–402.
- [Nikulin 1987] V. V. Nikulin, “Discrete reflection groups in Lobachevsky spaces and algebraic surfaces”, pp. 654–671 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, I* (Berkeley, CA, 1986), edited by A. M. Gleason, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Nori 1986] M. V. Nori, “A nonarithmetic monodromy group”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **302**:2 (1986), 71–72.
- [Nori 1987] M. V. Nori, “On subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ”, *Invent. Math.* **88**:2 (1987), 257–275.
- [Oh and Shah 2013] H. Oh and N. A. Shah, “Equidistribution and counting for orbits of geometrically finite hyperbolic groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26**:2 (2013), 511–562.
- [Pardon 2011] J. Pardon, “On the distortion of knots on embedded surfaces”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **174**:1 (2011), 637–646.
- [Patterson 1976] S. J. Patterson, “The limit set of a Fuchsian group”, *Acta Math.* **136**:3-4 (1976), 241–273.
- [Platonov and Rapinchuk 1994] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, vol. 139, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [Prokhorov 1986] M. N. Prokhorov, “Absence of discrete groups of reflections with a noncompact fundamental polyhedron of finite volume in a Lobachevskii space of high dimension”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **50**:2 (1986), 413–424. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR Izv.* **28**:2 (1987), 401–411.
- [Pyber and Szabó 2010] L. Pyber and Szabó, “Growth in finite simple groups of Lie type”, preprint, 2010. arXiv 1001.4556
- [Radin and Sadun 1998] C. Radin and L. Sadun, “Subgroups of $SO(3)$ associated with tilings”, *J. Algebra* **202**:2 (1998), 611–633.
- [Radin and Sadun 1999] C. Radin and L. Sadun, “On 2-generator subgroups of $SO(3)$ ”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351**:11 (1999), 4469–4480.
- [Rips 1982] E. Rips, “Subgroups of small cancellation groups”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14**:1 (1982), 45–47.

- [Rivin 2008] I. Rivin, “Walks on groups, counting reducible matrices, polynomials, and surface and free group automorphisms”, *Duke Math. J.* **142**:2 (2008), 353–379.
- [Robinson 2006] G. R. Robinson, “A subgroup of $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ generated by rotations of orders 4 and 8”, *J. Algebra* **306**:1 (2006), 201–207.
- [Salehi Golsefidy and Sarnak 2011] A. Salehi Golsefidy and P. Sarnak, “Affine sieve”, preprint, 2011. arXiv 1109.6432
- [Salehi Golsefidy and Varjú 2012] A. Salehi Golsefidy and P. P. Varjú, “Expansion in perfect groups”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **22**:6 (2012), 1832–1891.
- [Sarnak 1990] P. Sarnak, *Some applications of modular forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **99**, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Sarnak 2005] P. Sarnak, “Notes on the generalized Ramanujan conjectures”, pp. 659–685 in *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, edited by J. Arthur et al., Clay Math. Proc. **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Sarnak 2007] P. Sarnak, “Reciprocal geodesics”, pp. 217–237 in *Analytic number theory*, edited by W. Duke and Y. Tschinkel, Clay Math. Proc. **7**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [Sarnak 2011] P. Sarnak, “Integral Apollonian packings”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **118**:4 (2011), 291–306.
- [Sarnak and Xue 1991] P. Sarnak and X. X. Xue, “Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations”, *Duke Math. J.* **64**:1 (1991), 207–227.
- [Selberg 1965] A. Selberg, “On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms”, pp. 1–15 in *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., VIII*, edited by A. L. Whiteman, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965.
- [Serre 2009] J. P. Serre, “Le groupe quaquaversal, vu comme groupe S -arithmétique”, *Oberwolfach Rep.* **6**:2 (2009), 1421–1426.
- [Singh and Venkataramana 2012] S. Singh and T. Venkataramana, “Arithmeticity of certain symplectic hypergeometric groups”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1208.6460
- [Sullivan 1979] D. Sullivan, “The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **50** (1979), 171–202.
- [Tits 1972] J. Tits, “Free subgroups in linear groups”, *J. Algebra* **20** (1972), 250–270.
- [Varjú 2012] P. P. Varjú, “Expansion in $SL_d(\mathbb{O}_K/I)$, I square-free”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14**:1 (2012), 273–305.
- [Venkataramana 1994] T. N. Venkataramana, “On systems of generators of arithmetic subgroups of higher rank groups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **166**:1 (1994), 193–212.
- [Venkataramana 2012] T. Venkataramana, “Arithmeticity of the braid group at roots of unity”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1204.4778v2
- [Vinberg 1984] È. B. Vinberg, “Absence of crystallographic groups of reflections in Lobachevskii spaces of large dimension”, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* **47** (1984), 68–102. In Russian; translated in *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* (1985), 75–112.
- [Vinogradov 2012] I. Vinogradov, “Effective bisector estimate with application to Apollonian circle packings”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1204.5498
- [Yang and Yau 1980] P. C. Yang and S. T. Yau, “Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **7**:1 (1980), 55–63.
- [Yau 1996] S.-T. Yau, “An application of eigenvalue estimate to algebraic curves defined by congruence subgroups”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **3**:2 (1996), 167–172.

[Zograf 1984] P. G. Zograf, “Small eigenvalues of automorphic Laplacians in spaces of parabolic forms”, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **134** (1984), 157–168. In Russian; translated in *J. Soviet Math.* **36**:1 (1987), 106–114.

sarnak@math.princeton.edu *Department of Mathematics, Princeton University,
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000 United States*