

$$\xi(\Delta, \chi) = \overline{\xi(1-\bar{\Delta}, \chi)}$$

for χ primitive and even, where $q > 0$

and

$$\xi(\Delta, \chi) = \varepsilon_{\chi} \pi^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} q^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right) L(\Delta, \chi),$$

and for χ odd

$$\xi(\Delta, \chi) = \varepsilon'_{\chi} \pi^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} q^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta+1}{2}\right) L(\Delta, \chi),$$

and finally for the case $q=1$

$$\xi(\Delta) = \xi(1-\Delta),$$

where

$$\xi(\Delta) = \Delta(\Delta-1) \pi^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right) \xi(\Delta).$$

The ξ are all integral functions with all of their zeros in the strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, and symmetrically to the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma. If $f(z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq R$; $f(0) \neq 0$ and $|f(z)| < M$ for $|z| \leq R$, then the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the circle $|z| \leq r$ where $r < R$

is bounded by

$$\frac{\log \frac{M}{|f(0)|}}{\log \frac{R}{2}}$$

Denote the zeros of $f(z)$ in the circle $|z| \leq R$ by α_i and write $n_i = |\alpha_i|$,

write $g(z) = \prod_i \frac{z - \alpha_i}{R - \frac{\alpha_i z}{R}}$

We have $|g(0)| = \prod_i \frac{n_i}{R} \leq \left(\frac{R}{R}\right)^n$
if n is the number of zeros.

Since $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$ is regular in $|z| \leq R$

and $|g(z)| = 1$ on the boundary, we have

$$\left(\frac{R}{R}\right)^n |f(0)| \leq \frac{|f(0)|}{|g(0)|} \leq M,$$

which gives the bound above.

Using Stirling's formula

$$\log \Gamma(z) = \left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z + \log \sqrt{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right),$$

valid ^{uniformly} outside any angle which contains the negative real axis in its interior, we get easily from our earlier estimations

3

of $L(s, \chi)$ and $\xi(s)$ for $\sigma \geq \delta > 0$

that for $|s-2| < 2R$, $\xi(s)$

is bounded by $O(R^R)$ and

$\xi(s, \chi)$ by $O(q^R R^R)$, so

the number of zeros in $|s-2| < R$ is found to be $O(R \log q R)$.

More precise results are obtained by following the variation of the argument of $\xi(s)$ or $\xi(s, \chi)$ around

the rectangle with the vertices

$2, 2+iT, -1+iT, -1$

We shall denote the number of zeros in this rectangle by

$N(T)$ for $\xi(s)$ (or $\xi(s, \chi)$) and

$N(T, \chi)$ for $\xi(s, \chi)$ (or $L(s, \chi)$),

and counting zeros that may lie on the short sides of the rectangle with one half their multiplicity.

4

We may consider the variation of the argument of $\xi(s)$ or $\xi(s, \chi)$ from $\frac{1}{2}, 2, 2 + iT, \frac{1}{2} + iT$, and the upper half of the rectangle will add the same amount by virtue of the functional equation.

a factor

$$\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} q^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \text{ or } \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} q^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)$$

gives in both cases that the argument increases from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ by

$$\frac{T}{2} \left(\log \frac{q^T}{2\pi} - 1 \right) + O(1).$$

Also the variation of the argument of $L(s, \chi)$ or $\xi(s)$ on $\sigma = 2$ is seen to be bounded since

$$\Re L(s, \chi) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} > \frac{1}{5}.$$

It remains to estimate the variation of the argument of $\xi(s)$ or $L(s, \chi)$ on the stretch $2 + iT, \frac{1}{2} + iT$ and $\frac{1}{2}, 2$. We assume at first that no zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ has $\gamma = T$ and we look at

$$f(z) = L(2+iT-z, \chi) + \overline{L(2+iT-\bar{z}, \chi)},$$

We have $|f(0)| > \frac{2}{3}$ and in the

circle $|z| \leq \frac{7}{4}$ we have

$$|f(z)| \leq c \varphi(1+T).$$

Thus by our earlier lemma, the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq \frac{3}{2}$ is bounded by

$$n \leq c' \log \varphi(2+T).$$

But the zeros on the positive real axis are simply the points where

$L(2+iT-z, \chi)$ is purely imaginary.

Between these the argument of L can vary at most π so the total variation of $L(\sigma+iT, \chi)$ when σ goes from 2 to $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\leq (n+2)\pi$.

$$= O(\log \varphi(2+T)),$$

A similar argument on the stretch $(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ gives $O(\log \varphi)$.

The total variation around the rectangle is thus

$$T \left(\log \frac{q^T}{2\pi} - 1 \right) + O(\log q^{(2+T)})$$

dividing by 2π , we find

$$N(T, \chi) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \left(\log \frac{q^T}{2\pi} - 1 \right) + O(\log q^{(2+T)})$$

which holds whether χ is even or odd, and also in the case $q=1$, for $N(T)$.

Now clear that

$$\xi(s) = c' e^{c\lambda} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda}{p}},$$

and

$$\xi(s, \chi) = c'_\chi e^{c_\chi \lambda} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda}{p}},$$

where in each case p runs through the zeros of the function on the left-hand side and c' and c constants and the c'_χ and c_χ constants depending on χ only. Product-formulas can also be given for $\xi(s)$ and $L(s, \chi)$. We shall instead look at the logarithmic

derivative

$$\xi'(\Delta) = c'' - \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-2n} + \frac{1}{2n} \right),$$

and for χ primitive and even

$$\frac{L'}{L}(\Delta, \chi) = c''_{\chi} + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-2n} + \frac{1}{2n} \right),$$

and χ primitive odd,

$$\frac{L'}{L}(\Delta, \chi) = c''_{\chi} + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-(2n+1)} + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right).$$

From our results about $N(\bar{\tau}, \chi)$ easy to show

$$\sum_{\rho} \left| \frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right| = O(\log^2(2+|\kappa|))$$

for $\Delta = \sigma + it$; $A > \sigma \geq 1 + \delta$.

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2-n} + \frac{1}{n} \right| = O(\log(2+|\kappa|))$

Let $\alpha > 1$, we have,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ x-1 & \text{for } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus if

$$f(s) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s}$$

is absolute convergent for $\sigma > 1$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} f(s) ds = \sum_{n \leq x} (x-n) c_n =$$

$$= \int_0^x \left(\sum_{n \leq t} c_n \right) dt.$$

We define $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ for $n = p^r, r \geq 1$
for other n .
(von Mangoldt function).

$$\text{Then } \sum_n \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = - \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)},$$

$$\text{and } \sum_n \chi(n) \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = - \frac{L'(s, \chi)}{L(s, \chi)}.$$

$$\text{Write } \psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n),$$

and

$$\psi_\chi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \Lambda(n),$$

9

then

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) dt = \sum_{\alpha} (x-\alpha) \Lambda(\alpha)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} \right) ds.$$

Here we may use the previous expansion for $-\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)}$ and can integrate term by term since integral exists if we take absolute values everywhere.

We get easily

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) dt = \frac{x^2}{2} - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} + O(x),$$

and similarly

$$\int_0^x \psi_x(t) dt = - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} + O(x),$$

where the ρ run through the zeros of $\xi(s)$ or $\xi(s, x)$ respectively.

To proceed we need to show that neither $\xi(s)$ or any of the $L(s, \chi)$ have a zero with real part 1. For $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$R(-3 \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(\sigma) + 4 \frac{L'}{L}(\sigma + it, \chi) - \frac{L'}{L}(\sigma + 2it, \chi^2)) \geq 0.$$

If either $t \neq 0$ or $\chi^2 \neq \chi_0$ we get easily a contradiction if $1 + it$ is a zero of $L(s, \chi)$, by letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ and seeing that the left hand side of the above equation then would tend to $-\infty$.

In the case $t = 0, \chi^2 = \chi_0$, we look at $\xi(s) L(s, \chi)$ and see that

$$\xi(s) L(s, \chi) = \sum \frac{c_m}{m^s} \quad \text{with } c_m \geq 0, c_{m^2} \neq 0.$$

If $L(s, \chi)$ has a zero at $s = 1$ then

$\xi(s) L(s, \chi)$ is an integral function, so its power series around $s = 2$ converges everywhere. The k 'th coefficient is in absolute value

$$\frac{1}{k!} (-1)^k (\xi(s) L(s, \chi))^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum \frac{c_m \log^k m}{m^2}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k} \sum \frac{2^k \log^k m}{m^4},$$

comparing this to the k 'th coefficient in the power series of $\zeta(s)$ around $s=2$ we find that to be in absolute value

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_n \frac{2^k \log^k n}{n^4},$$

but this power series can not converge beyond $s = \frac{1}{2}$ since $\zeta(s)$ has a pole there. This gives us a contradiction so $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$.

From this we now easily conclude

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) dt = \frac{x^2}{2} + o(x^2)$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^x \psi_\chi(t) dt = o(x^2).$$

All that is needed is to show that in each case

$$\sum_p \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} = o(x^2)$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$.

We can always choose a T so large that

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \geq T} \frac{1}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

if ϵ is a given positive quantity.

Then

$$\sum_p \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} < \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x^2,$$

since in the finite sum $|\gamma| < T$ all exponents $\beta+1 < 2$; this will be $< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x^2$ for x sufficiently

large. So

$$\sum_p \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} < \varepsilon x^2$$

for $x > x_0$, which proves the asymptotic relations.

If we write

$$\psi_{q,\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n)$$

We see that

$\psi_{q,\varepsilon}(x)$ differs only slightly from

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{q' | q} \sum_{\chi_{q'}} \bar{\chi}(1) \psi_{\chi}(x)$$

(The difference being $\leq v(q) \log x$

$v(q)$ being m of primefactors of q .)

From this we get easily

13

$$\int_0^x \psi_{q,r}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \frac{x^2}{2} + o(x^2)$$

in addition to our previous

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) dt = \frac{x^2}{2} + o(x^2)$$

From these relations

$$\psi(x) = x + o(x)$$

and

$$\psi_{q,r}(x) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} x + o(x)$$

follow easily, we have

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x \psi(t) dt \leq \psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \psi(t) dt$$

\approx

$$x - h - \frac{1}{h} o(x^2) \leq \psi(x) \leq x + h + \frac{1}{h} o(x^2)$$

Take $h = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x$ and x so large

that $\frac{2}{\varepsilon x} o(x^2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x$, and we

get

$$x - \varepsilon x \leq \psi(x) \leq x + \varepsilon x$$

for $x > x_0$. Similarly for $\psi_{q,r}(x)$.